

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

SOLUTIONINC LIMITED,

Plaintiff

v.

NOKIA OF AMERICA CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. _____

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff SolutionInc Limited ("SolutionInc"), through its attorneys, complains of Nokia of America Corporation ("Nokia"), and alleges the following:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff SolutionInc Limited is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Canada that maintains its principal place of business at 5692 Bloomfield St., Halifax, NS B3K 1T2, Canada.

2. Defendant Nokia of America Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware that maintains an established place of business at 600 Mountain Ave, New Providence, NJ 07974. On or about November 2016, Nokia completed the acquisition of Alcatel-Lucent, which was merged into their Nokia Networks division. The Accused Product in this case is the Alcatel-Lucent WLAN Hospitality Networking Solutions.

JURISDICTION

3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

4. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it is incorporated in this District's state.

VENUE

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in this District's state.

VENUE

7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District, has an established place of business in this District, and is incorporated in this District's state. In addition, SolutionInc has suffered harm in this district.

PATENT-IN-SUIT

8. SolutionInc is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 7,526,538 (the "'538 Patent"); (the "Patent-in-Suit"); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patent-in-Suit. Accordingly, SolutionInc possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patent-in-Suit by Defendant.

The '538 Patent

9. The '538 Patent is entitled "System using server to provide mobile computer accessing to a different network without reconfiguring the mobile computer," and issued April 28, 2009. The application leading to the '538 Patent was filed on July 8, 2005. A true and correct copy of the '538 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

10. The '538 Patent is valid and enforceable.

COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '538 PATENT

11. SolutionInc incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

12. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '538 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Nokia products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Nokia Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '538 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '538 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '538 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

13. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '538 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

14. The service of this Complaint upon Defendant constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

15. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '538 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Nokia Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '538 Patent. Thus, on information and belief, Defendant is contributing to and/or inducing the infringement of the '538 Patent.

16. **Induced Infringement.** Defendant actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues to induce infringement of the '538 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Nokia Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '538 Patent.

17. **Contributory Infringement.** Defendant actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues materially contribute to their own customers' infringement of the '538 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Nokia Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '538 Patent. Moreover, the Exemplary Nokia Products are not a staple article of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use.

18. Exhibit 2 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '538 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Nokia Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Nokia Products practice the technology claimed by the '538 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Nokia Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '538 Patent Claims.

19. SolutionInc therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 2.

20. SolutionInc is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

JURY DEMAND

21. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, SolutionInc respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, SolutionInc respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the '538 Patent is valid and enforceable;
- B. A judgment that Defendant has infringed, contributorily infringed, and/or induced infringement of one or more claims of the '538 Patent;
- C. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;
- D. A judgment that awards SolutionInc all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's past infringement, and any continuing or future infringement of the Patent-in-Suit, up until the date such judgment is entered, including pre- or post-judgment interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and, if necessary, to adequately compensate SolutionInc for Defendant's infringement, an accounting:
 - i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that SolutionInc be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendant that it incurs in prosecuting this action;
 - ii. that SolutionInc be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and
 - iii. that SolutionInc be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 6, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

Of Counsel:

Isaac Rabicoff
(*Pro Hac Vice admission pending*)
RABICOFF LAW LLC
73 W Monroe St
Chicago, IL 60603
(773) 669-4590
isaac@rabilaw.com

/s/ George Pazuniak
George Pazuniak (DE Bar 478)
O'KELLY & ERNST, LLC
824 N. Market St.
Suite 1001A
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: 302-478-4230
Email: GP@del-iplaw.com

***Counsel for Plaintiff
SolutionInc Limited***