i	Case 3:20-cv-01511 Document 1 File	d 02/28/20 Page 1 of 12	
1 2 3 4 5 6	Kirk. J. Anderson (SBN 289043) kanderson@budolaw.com BUDO LAW P.C. 5610 Ward Rd., Suite #300 Arvada, CO 80002 (720) 225-9440 (Phone) (720) 225-9331 (Fax) <i>Attorney(s) for Plaintiff Sonohm Licensing</i>	LLC	
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
9			
10	SONOHM LICENSING LLC,	CASE NO.:	
11		CASE NO	
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT	
13	V.	INFRINGEMENT	
14	TANGENT COMPUTER INC.,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
15	Defendant.	JUNI INIAL DEMANDED	
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
20 21			
21			
22			
23			
25			
26			
27			
28			

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

2 Sonohm Licensing LLC ("Sonohm" or "Plaintiff"), by and through its 1. 3 counsel, hereby brings this action for patent infringement against Tangent Computer 4 Inc., ("Tangent" or "Defendant") alleging infringement of the following validly issued 5 patents (the "Patents-in-Suit"): U.S. Patent No. 6,651,207, titled "Method and System" 6 for Improving Voice Quality in Cordless Communications" (the '207 Patent), attached 7 hereto as Exhibit A; and U.S. Patent No. 7,106,705, titled "Method and 8 Communications Systems for Transmitting Data for a Combination of Several Services 9 via Jointly Used Physical Channels" (the '705 Patent), attached hereto as Exhibit B. 10 NATURE OF THE ACTION 11 2. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the United States 12 Patent Act 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq., including 35 U.S.C. § 271. 13 PARTIES 14 Plaintiff Texas limited liability company with its principal place of 3. 15 business at 15922 Eldorado Pkwy, Suite 500-1641, Frisco, TX 75035. 16 On information and belief, Defendant Tangent Computer Inc. is a 4. 17 company incorporated in California and may be served via Douglas J. Monsour at 191 18 Airport Blvd., Burlingame, CA 94010. 19 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 20 5. This lawsuit is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the 21 patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 101 et seq. The Court has subject-matter 22 jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1338(a), and 1367. 23 The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant for the following 6. 24 reasons: (1) Defendant is present within or has minimum contacts within the State of 25 California and the Northern District of California; (2) Defendant has purposefully 26 availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of California and in 27 this district; (3) Defendant has sought protection and benefit from the laws of the State 28

of California; (4) Defendant regularly conducts business within the State of California and within this district, and Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of California and in this district; and (5) Defendant has a regular and established business in California and has purposely availed itself of the privileges and benefits of the laws of the State of California.

Defendant, directly and/or through intermediaries, ships, distributes, uses, 7. 6 offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises products and services in the United States, the 7 State of California, and the Northern District of California including but not limited to 8 the products which infringing the Patents-in-Suit as detailed below. Upon information 9 and belief, Defendant has committed patent infringement in the State of California and 10 in this district; Defendant solicits and has solicited customers in the State of California 11 and in this district; and Defendant has paying customers who are residents of the State 12 of California and this district and who each use and have used the Defendant's products 13 and services in the State of California and in this district. 14

8. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 15 U.S.C. §§ 1400(b). Defendant is incorporated in this district, has a regular and 16 established place of business in this district, has transacted business in this district, and 17 has directly and/or indirectly committed acts of patent infringement in this district. 18

19

1

2

3

4

5

PATENTS-IN-SUIT

20

21

I.

U.S. Patent No. 6,651,207

9. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

On November 18, 2003, United States Patent No. 6,651,207 ("the '207 10. 22 Patent") was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. 23 The '207 Patent is titled "Method and System for Improving Voice Quality in Cordless 24 Communications." A true and correct copy of the '207 Patent is attached hereto as 25 Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference. 26

27

Sonohm is the assignee of all right, title and interest in the '207 patent, 11. including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect 28

4

5

6

7

8

damages for all relevant times against infringers of the '207 Patent. Accordingly, Sonohm possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for 2 infringement of the '207 Patent by Defendant. 3

12. The application leading to the '207 patent was filed August 20, 1999. (Ex. A at cover).

13. The invention in the '207 Patent relates to the field of telecommunications and more particularly improving voice quality in cordless communications. (Id. at col. 1:8-10).

14. In conventional cordless voice communication systems, there is typically 9 a base station which acts as a master supporting a plurality of mobile units, which act 10 as slaves. (Id. at col. 1:13-17). The master base station establishes communication links 11 with the mobile units and has a function to detect errors over the communications links 12 with the mobile units. (Id. at col. 1:17-20). 13

Predictive methods have been used to suppress distorted data packets in 15. 14 order to improve voice quality over the communication link. (Id. at col. 1:21-24). The 15 particular method chosen generally depends on the speed at which errors over the 16 communication links can be detected. (*Id.* at col. 1:24-26). In cordless systems in which 17 the single carrier is used, data packets are correlated from transmission to transmission 18 such that if the quality of a first transmission is poor then it is highly likely that the next 19 transmission will also be poor. (Id. at col. 1:26-28). As a result, from the data packets 20 from the first transmission, the quality of the data packets for the next transmission can 21 be predicted and the base station can suitably and prospectively suppress distorted data 22 packets. (Id. at col. 1:29-33). 23

However, frequency hopping systems, which use various carriers over 16. 24 each communication link and change the carriers from time to time, a problem arises 25 when a communication link encounters interference problems affecting the quality of 26 the communications link. (Id. at col. 1:35-40). In a frequency hopping scheme, the base 27 station and mobile units generally move in sync in time from frequency to frequency. 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

(*Id.* at col. 3:55-57). Mobile units not initially synced with a base unit "listen" to a specific radio frequency to attempt to lock on to the base station. (*Id.* at col. 3:57-61). When the base station hops to that specific frequency, the mobile units identify and receive control data transmitted by the base station, which allows the mobile units to lock with the base station and sync with the frequency hopping scheme. (*Id.* at col. 3:61-65). The frequency hopping scheme therefore helps the wireless communication system to avoid bad channels or frequencies due to radio frequency interference and other problems. (*Id.* at col. 3:65 – col. 4:1).

The challenging problem of the frequency hopping scheme is that the 17. 9 system algorithms ensure that, unlike same carrier wireless communications, the 10 contents of consecutive data packets are not correlated. (Id. at col. 4:4-7). There is also 11 no way to derive from the first transmission the necessary parameters to perform packet 12 suppression for the second transmission. (Id. at col. 1:46-48). In other words, the quality 13 of a prior data packet cannot be used to predict the quality of successive data packets. 14 (Id. at col. 1:42-46, col. 4:7-10). This problem frustrates users and has been a 15 longstanding challenge to the developers of cordless communication devices. (Id. at 16 col. 48-51). The inventors therefore sought ways to improve voice quality in cordless 17 communications that used frequency hopping schemes. 18

The following is an exemplary implementation of the claimed invention. 18. 19 To improve the voice quality over each communication link, the base station can select 20 a frequency in which to establish a link between the base station and a mobile unit. (Id. 21 at col. 4:11-15). The base station monitors the quality of the frequency used on the link. 22 (Id. at col. 4:15-16). The quality of the frequency can be determined by measuring 23 parameters that indicate that signal bursts or parts of signal bursts are lost or corrupted 24 over the communication link, or the strength of the signal over the communication link. 25 (Id. at col. 4:16-20). If the quality of the frequency is unacceptable, the frequency may 26 be marked as bad such that the next time the marked frequency is used in the frequency 27 hopping scheme, the base station corrects the error. (Id. at col. 4:20-27). For example, 28

4

the base station may mute the data or communicate to the mobile unit that it should use the prior data packet. (Id. at col. 4:27-29). Because the base station evaluates on a 2 frequency-by-frequency basis, each mobile unit may actively communication with the 3 base station on the same or individual frequencies that minimize the loss of voice 4 information over individual links associated with each unit. (Id. at col. 4:36-41). For 5 example, if a mobile communication system defines twelve different subsets for groups 6 channels within the frequency band, the system can select the current best ten out of 7 the twelve available subsets to communicate and block the remaining two subsets 8 because those subsets represent poor quality for that communication link. (Id. at col. 9 6:17-24). 10

19. The claimed invention has a technical advantage over the prior art through 11 its ability to automatically monitor the quality of the frequency used on an individual 12 communications link so that the base station may then perform data correction on the 13 frequency in response to monitored quality of the frequency. (Id. at col. 2:14-19). This 14 scheme to improve voice quality can be used with any algorithm to prevent interference 15 with multiple base stations in a system. (Id. at col. 4:42-44). Furthermore, this scheme 16 can also avoid selecting frequencies yielding poor quality for individual 17 communication links. (Id. at col. 4:50-52). 18

During the prosecution history of the '207 patent, applicant discussed the 20. 19 unconventional features of the claimed invention that distinguished the invention from 20 the prior art. A distinguishing claim limitation discussed was "selecting another 21 frequency after the first time period to transmit and receive data over the 22 communication link; after selecting the another frequency, selecting, during a second 23 time period, the frequency that was monitored during the first time period; and 24 performing, during the second time period, error correction on the selected frequency 25 in response to the monitored quality monitored during the first time period," and similar 26 limitations. (Ex. B at 8-9). The prior art did not disclose being able to "select and 27 monitor a first frequency, select a second frequency, then select the first frequency 28

again, and then perform error correction for the first frequency in response to the monitoring of the first frequency prior to a selection of the second frequency." (Id. at 2 8). Rather the prior art disclosed using coder and decoder for detection and correction 3 of errors and carrying out judgement and correction of errors in data as the signal is 4 received. (Id. at 8-9). 5

6

7

8

9

1

The '207 patent was cited during the prosecution history of patents and 21. patent applications owned by companies including Sprint Communications Company L.P., Cisco Technology, Inc. AT&T Intellectual Property I, L.P., RF Micro Devices, Inc. Qualcomm Incorporated, and Samsung Electronics Co.

10

II.

U.S. Patent No. U.S. Patent No. 7,106,705

On September 12, 2006, United States Patent No. 7,106,705 ("the '705 22. 11 Patent") was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. 12 The '705 Patent is titled "Method and Communication System for Transmitting Data 13 for a Combination of Several Services via Jointly Used Physical Channels." A true and 14 correct copy of the '705 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C and incorporated herein 15 by reference. 16

23. Sonohm is the assignee of all right, title and interest in the '705 patent, 17 including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect 18 damages for all relevant times against infringers of the '705 Patent. Accordingly, 19 Sonohm possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for 20 infringement of the '705 Patent by Defendant. 21

- 24. The U.S. application leading to the '705 patent was filed May 21, 2001 22 based on a PCT filed date of November 24, 1999. (Ex. C at cover). 23
- 25. The invention in the '705 Patent relates to the field of communication for 24 transmitting data for a combination of a plurality of services via jointly used physical 25 connections. (Id. at col. 1:8-11). 26
- 26. A communication system provides one or more physical transmission 27 channels for transmitting data between a data source and a data sink. (Id. at col. 1:15-28

16). Transmission channels may be a wide variety of types including cable- conducted using electrical or optical signal, or radio transmission via a radio interface using electromagnetic waves. (*Id.* at col. 1:17-20).

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

2

27. Radio transmission is used in mobile radio systems in order to set up a connection to a nonstationary subscriber, such as a mobile station. (*Id.* at col. 1:24-24). A mobile station, for example, can be a mobile phone, a laptop computer, or a Bluetooth device. Within coverage of the network, the mobile stations can request a connection from any desired location, or a connection can be set up to the mobile station. (*Id.* at col. 1:25-28). The most common mobile radio system at the time of the patent application was GSM, which was developed for a single service (voice transmission). (*Id.* at col. 1:28-31).

28. In contrast, at the time the application was filed, Europe was standardizing 12 another mobile radio generation, UMTS, which could provide a plurality of services. 13 (Id. at col. 1:35-40). Such a standardization had documentation that typically provide 14 an overview of how a transmission protocol can support the transport of data for a 15 plurality of services. (Id. at col. 1:41-48). The use of a physical channel for transmitting 16 data for a plurality of services presupposes that a unique mapping specification 17 indicates the allocation of the services to different segments of the physical channel. 18 (Id. at col. 1:49-52). For example, a physical channel could be defined as a frequency 19 band, a spread code, and a time slot within a frame. (Id. at col. 1:52-55). In order to be 20 able to select the currently used combinations of the transport formats for the various 21 services in line with requirements, the TFC¹ needs to be able to be changed and 22

- 23
- 24
- 25

 ²⁶ ¹ TCF is the Transport Format Combination which indicates a possible combination of
 ²⁷ the transport formats for the various services which are mapped onto a common
 ²⁸ physical channel. (*Id.* at col. 2:1-4).

therefore the TFCI² needs to be signaled regularly. (*Id.* at col. 2:15-18). However, this signaling ties up transmission capacity. (*Id.* at col. 2:18-19). The greater the number of possible combination options, the more capacity is required for signaling. (*Id.* at col. 2:19-21).

Recognizing this problem, the inventors developed a method and 29. 5 communication system that reduces the required signaling capacity without limiting the 6 number of combination options and the selection thereof. (Id. at col. 2:25-28). The 7 invention draws a distinction between services with high and low data rate dynamics 8 and uses a matched type of signaling for the transport format currently being used. (Id. 9 at col. 2:33-35). No joint signaling for all services takes place, but instead signaling can 10 be individualized. (Id. at col. 2:41-45). For services with high data rate dynamics, in-11 band signaling of the transport format is carried out, and for services with low data rate 12 dynamics, the transport format is signaled in a separate channel. (Id. at col. 2:45-48). 13 In-band signaling supports the high dynamics of the data rate change in many services 14 by signaling newly chosen transport formats at an appropriate speed, whereas 15 somewhat slower signaling accompanying the connection is chosen for services with 16 data rates which change only slowly or to a limited extent. (*Id.* at col. 2:48-54). 17

30. On the basis of stipulating a combination of the currently used transport formats for the services and the signaling thereof, the data for the services are transmitted via the currently available common physical channels on the basis of the combination of the transport formats and, at the reception end, are evaluated on the basis of the signaled combination of the transport formats. (*Id.* at col. 2:55- 61). With the same number of combination options, less capacity is required for in-band signaling, since only a portion of the services need to be served constantly. (*Id.* at col.

25

1

2

3

4

²⁶ ² TCFO is Transport Format Combination Identifier which indicates the currently
 ²⁷ used combination of the transport formats within the TFCs. (*Id.* at col. 2:9-11).

28

2:62-64).

1

The prosecution history of the '705 patent further explains the 31. 2 unconventional features of the claimed invention. The prior art did not disclose 3 transmitting data for first and second services in a first channel, signaling one or more 4 first transport formats for the first services in-band in the first channel, and signaling a 5 second transport format for the second service in a second, separate channel. (Ex. D at 6 9-10). One reference only disclosed transmitting at different data rates for a single 7 service without disclosing transmission of first and second services having different 8 data rate dynamics. (Id. at 10). Another prior art reference only disclosed transmitting 9 data over a channel that is separate from the signaling information. (Id.). However, in 10 the claimed invention, a combination of data for first and second services is transmitted 11 over one channel, signaling information for the first services (having a high data rate 12 dynamics) is also transmitted over the first channel, and signaling information for the 13 second service (having lower data rate dynamics) is transmitted in a second, separate 14 channel. (Id. at 11). The claimed method was therefore not the conventional operation 15 disclosed in the prior art. The claims where then allowed. 16

17

ACCUSED PRODUCTS

32. Defendant has made, used, offered for sale and sold in the U.S. products,
 systems, and/or services that infringe the Patent-in-Suit, including, but not limited to
 its Tangent V19T system (the "Accused Products" or "Accused Instrumentality").

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(35 U.S.C. § 271(a) – Direct Patent Infringement of

U.S. Patent No. 6,651,207)

21 22 23

24

33. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

34. Without a license or permission from Plaintiff, Defendant has directly
infringed on one or more claims of the '207 Patent by importing, making, using,
offering for sale, or selling products and devices that embody the patented invention,
including, without limitation, one or more of the patented '207 systems and methods,

1	in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.		
2	35. Defendant has directly infringed by, among other things, practicing all of		
3	the steps of the '207 Patent, for example, through internal testing, quality assurance,		
4	research and development, and troubleshooting. See Joy Techs., Inc. v. Flakt, Inc., 6		
5	F.3d 770, 775 (Fed. Cir. 1993); see also 35 U.S.C. § 271 (2006).		
6	36. By way of example, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe at		
7	least one or more claims of the '207 Patent, including at least Claim 11. Attached hereto		
8	as Exhibit E is an exemplary claim chart detailing representative infringement of Claim		
9	11 of the Patent-in-Suit.		
10	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
11	(35 U.S.C. § 271(a) – Direct Patent Infringement of		
12	U.S. Patent No. 7,106,705)		
13	37. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.		
14	38. Without a license or permission from Plaintiff, Defendant has directly		
15	infringed on one or more claims of the '705 Patent by importing, making, using,		
16	offering for sale, or selling products and devices that embody the patented invention,		
17	including, without limitation, one or more of the patented '705 systems and methods,		
18	in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.		
19	39. Defendant has directly infringed by, among other things, practicing all of		
20	the steps of the '705 Patent, for example, through internal testing, quality assurance,		
21	research and development, and troubleshooting. See Joy Techs., Inc. v. Flakt, Inc., 6		
22	F.3d 770, 775 (Fed. Cir. 1993); see also 35 U.S.C. § 271 (2006).		
23	40. By way of example, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe at		
24	least one or more claims of the '705 Patent, including at least Claim 1. Attached hereto		
25	as Exhibit F is an exemplary claim chart detailing representative infringement of Claim		
26	1 of the Patent-in-Suit.		
27			
28			

Plaintiff Suffered Damages

1

6

9

10

11

15

16

Defendant's acts of infringement of the Patents-in-Suit have caused 41. 2 damage to Plaintiff, and Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages 3 sustained as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial 4 pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. 5

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

42. Plaintiff incorporates each of the allegations in the paragraphs above and 7 respectfully asks the Court to: 8

enter a declaration that Defendant has directly infringed one or more (a) claims of each of the claims of the Patents-in-Suit;

enter a judgment awarding Plaintiff all damages adequate to compensate (b)it for Defendant's direct infringement, but not less than a reasonable royalty, 12 including all pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum rate 13 permitted by law; 14

award Plaintiff all other relief that the Court may deem just and proper. (c)

17	Dated: February 28, 2020	Respectfully submitted,
18		/s/ Kirk J. Anderson
19		Kirk. J. Anderson (SBN 289043)
20		kanderson@budolaw.com BUDO LAW P.C.
21		5610 Ward Rd., Suite #300
22		Arvada, CO 80002 (720) 225-9440 (Phone)
23		(720) 225-9331 (Fax)
24		
25		Attorney(s) for Plaintiff Sonohm Licensing LLC
26		
27		
28		