Case	2:20-cv-03827-SVW-RAO Document 1 Filec	1 04/27/20 F	Page 1 of 14	Page ID #:1
1	Steven Ritcheson Insight, PLC			
2	578 Washington Boulevard #503 Marina del Rey, California 90291			
3	Phone: (424) 289-9191			
4 5	swritcheson@insightplc.com			
6	Howard L. Wernow			
7	(<i>pro hac vice forthcoming</i>) Sand, Sebolt & Wernow Co., LPa			
8	Aegis Tower - Suite 1100 4940 Munson Street, N. W.			
9	Canton, Ohio 44718			
10	Phone: (330) 244-1174 howard.wernow@sswip.com			
11				
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff FURY TECHNOLOGIES LLC			
13	IN THE UNITED STAT	FS DISTRI	CT COURI	
14	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
16	FURY TECHNOLOGIES LLC,	Civil Actio	n No ·	
17	Plaintiff,		<u> </u>	
18	V.	TRIAL BY	Y JURY DE	MANDED
19 20				
20 21	DJI TECHNOLOGY, INC.,			
21	Defendant.			
22	COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT			
24	Now comes Plaintiff, Fury Technologies LLC ("Plaintiff" or "Fury"), by and			
25				
26	through undersigned counsel, and respectfully alleges, states, and prays as follows:			
27				
28				
	1 COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT			
	COMITLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT			

1
2

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for patent infringement under the Patent Laws of the 3 United States, Title 35 United States Code ("U.S.C.") to prevent and enjoin 4 Defendant DJI Technology, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant"), from infringing and 5 6 profiting, in an illegal and unauthorized manner, and without authorization and/or 7 consent from Plaintiff from U.S. Patent No 8,965,598 ("the '598 Patent") and U.S. 8 Patent No. 9,352,833 ("the '833 Patent") (collectively the "Patents-in-Suit"), which 9 10 are attached hereto as Exhibits A and B, respectively, and incorporated herein by 11 reference, and pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271, and to recover damages, attorney's fees, 12 and costs. 13 14 **THE PARTIES** 15 Plaintiff is a Texas limited liability company with its principal place of 2. 16 business at 6009 West Parker Road - Suite 149-1089, Plano, Texas 75093. 17 18 Upon information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized 3. 19 under the laws of California, having a principal place of business at 201 South 20 Victory Boulevard, Burbank, California 91502. Upon information and belief, 21 22 Defendant may be served with process c/o CT Corporation System, 818 West 23 Seventh Street – Suite 930, Los Angeles, California 90017. 24 4. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that 25 26 Defendant operates the website enterprise.dji.com. Defendant derives a portion of 27 its revenue from sales and distribution via electronic transactions conducted on and 28 COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT

1	using at least, but not limited to, its Internet website located at enterprise.dji.com,			
2	and its incorporated and/or related systems (collectively the "DJI Website").			
3	Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that, at all times relevant			
5	hereto, Defendant has done and continues to do business in this judicial district,			
6	including, but not limited to, providing products/services to customers located in			
7	this judicial district by way of the DJI Website.			
8 9	JURISDICTION AND VENUE			
10	5. This is an action for patent infringement in violation of the Patent Act			
11	of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§1 et seq.			
12 13	6. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28			
13	U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).			
15	7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant by virtue of its			
16				
17	systematic and continuous contacts with this jurisdiction and its residence in this			
18 19	District, as well as because of the injury to I familit, and the cause of action I familit			
20	has risen in this District, as alleged herein.			
21	8. Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal			
22	jurisdiction pursuant to its substantial business in this forum, including: (i) at least a			
23 24	portion of the infringements alleged herein; (ii) regularly doing or soliciting			
25	business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial			
26	revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in this forum state and in			
27	this judicial District; and (iii) being incorporated in this District.			
28	3			
	COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT			

5

6

27

28

9. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1400(b) because Defendant resides in this District under the Supreme Court's opinion in *TC Heartland v. Kraft Foods Group Brands LLC,* 137 S. Ct. 1514 (2017) through its incorporation, and regular and established place of business in this District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

7
10. On February 24, 2015, the United States Patent and Trademark Office
9
("USPTO") duly and legally issued the '598 Patent, entitled "AUTOMATIC
10
FLIGHT CONTROL FOR UAV BASED SOLID MODELING" after a full and fair
11
examination. The '598 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated
herein as if fully rewritten.

14 11. Plaintiff is presently the owner of the '598 Patent, having received all
right, title and interest in and to the '598 Patent from the previous assignee of
record. Plaintiff possesses all rights of recovery under the '598 Patent, including the
exclusive right to recover for past infringement.

19
12. To the extent required, Plaintiff has complied with all marking
20
21
21
22
23
24
24
25
25
26
27

13. The invention claimed in the '598 Patent comprises an automatic
unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) flight control system for solid modeling.

25 14. Claim 1 of the '598 Patent recites an automatic aerial vehicle (UAV)
26 flight control system for solid modeling.

- 15. Claim 1 of the '598 Patent states:
 - 4

1	"1. An automatic unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) flight			
	control system for Solid modeling, the system comprising:			
2	a UAV with an onboard camera;			
3	a controller capable of communication with a flight control			
4	module of the UAV, the controller configured to:			
5	determine an initial movement path based on an estimate			
6	of a structure to be modeled;			
	capture images of the structure to be modeled;			
7	form surface hypotheses for unobserved surfaces based on the captured images;			
8	determine missing Surface information from the Surface			
9	hypotheses; and			
10	determine a least impact path for the UAV based on the			
	missing Surface information and desired flight param-			
11	eters." See Exhibit A.			
12				
13	16. Defendant commercializes, inter alia, methods that perform all the steps			
14	recited in at least one claim of the '598 Patent More particularly Defendant			
15	recited in at least one claim of the '598 Patent. More particularly, Defendant			
16	commercializes, inter alia, methods that perform all the steps recited in Claim 1 of			
17	the '598 Patent. Specifically, Defendant makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, or			
18	imports a UAV device that encompasses that which is covered by Claim 1 of the			
19	Inforts a OAV device that encompasses that which is covered by Claim 1 of the			
20	'598 Patent.			
21	17. On May 31, 2016, the United States Patent and Trademark Office			
22	("USPTO") duly and legally issued the '833 Patent, entitled "AUTOMATIC			
23	(0.51 10) unity and legally issued the 655 Fatelli, entitled AUTOMATIC			
24	FLIGHT CONTROL FOR UAV BASED SOLID MODELING" after a full and fair			
25	examination. The '833 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated			
26	herein as if fully rewritten			
27	herein as if fully rewritten.			
28				
_0	5			
	COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT			

1	18. Plaintiff is presently the owner of the '833 Patent, having received all			
2	right, title and interest in and to the '833 Patent from the previous assignee of			
3	record. Plaintiff possesses all rights of recovery under the '833 Patent, including the			
4				
5	exclusive right to recover for past infringement.			
6	19. To the extent required, Plaintiff has complied with all marking			
7 8	requirements under 35 U.S.C. § 287.			
8 9	20. The invention claimed in the '833 Patent comprises an automatic			
10	unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) flight control system for solid modeling.			
11				
12	21. Claim 11 of the '833 Patent recites an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV)			
13	system for 3D modeling.			
14	22. Claim 11 of the '833 Patent states:			
15	"11. An unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) system for 3D			
16	modeling, the system comprising:			
17	a UAV capable of communication with a controller, the			
18	UAV configured to:			
19	receive an initial movement path from the controller,			
	wherein the initial movement path is based on an			
20	estimate of a structure to be modeled;			
21	capture one or more images of the structure to be mod-			
22	eled, wherein the one or more images are captured by one or more cameras onboard the UAV:			
23	transmit the captured one or more images to the control-			
24	ler, wherein the captured one or more images are used			
25	to form a surface hypotheses for unobserved surfaces,			
	and wherein missing surface information is deter-			
26	mined from the surface hypotheses; and			
27	receive a least impact path for the UAV from the con-			
28				
	6 COMPLAINT FOR INFRINCEMENT OF DATENT			
	COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT			
	l I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			

troller, wherein the least impact path is based on the missing surface information and desired flight parameters." See Exhibit B.

23. Defendant commercializes, inter alia, methods that perform all the steps recited in at least one claim of the '833 Patent. More particularly, Defendant commercializes, inter alia, methods that perform all the steps recited in Claim 11 of the '833 Patent. Specifically, Defendant makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, or imports a UAV device that encompasses that which is covered by Claim 11 of the '833 Patent.

DEFENDANT'S PRODUCT(S)

24. Defendant offers solutions, such as the "DJI Construction Solution" (the "Accused System"), flight control system for solid modeling.

25. A non-limiting and exemplary claim chart comparing the Accused
System to Claim 1 of the '598 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C and is
incorporated herein as if fully rewritten.

20 26. As recited in Claim 1, a system, at least in internal testing and usage,
21 utilized by the Accused System practices an automatic unmanned aerial vehicle
22 (UAV) flight control system for solid modeling. See Exhibit C.

24 27. As recited in one step of Claim 1, the system, at least in internal testing
25 and usage, utilized by the Accused System comprises a UAV with an onboard
26 camera. See Exhibit C.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

28. As recited in another step of Claim 1, the system, at least in internal testing and usage, utilized by the Accused System comprises a controller capable of communications with a flight control module of the UAV. See Exhibit C.

29. As recited in another step of Claim 1, the system, at least in internal testing and usage, utilized by the Accused System comprises a controller which determines an initial movement path based on an estimate of a structure to be modeled. See Exhibit C.

30. As recited in another step of Claim 1, the system, at least in internal
testing and usage, utilized by the Accused System comprises a controller which
capture images of the structure to be modeled. See Exhibit C.

14 31. As recited in another step of Claim 1, the system, at least in internal 15 testing and usage, utilized by the Accused System comprises a controller which 16 forms surface hypotheses for unobserved surfaces based on the captured images and 17 18 determines missing surface information from the surface hypotheses. See Exhibit C. 19 As recited in another step of Claim 1, the system, at least in internal 32. 20 testing and usage, utilized by the Accused System comprises a controller which 21 22 determines a least impact path for the UAV based on the missing surface 23 information and desired flight parameters. See Exhibit C. 24 33. The elements described in the preceding paragraphs are covered by at

33. The elements described in the preceding paragraphs are covered by at
least Claim 1 of the '598 Patent. Thus, Defendant's use of the Accused System is
enabled by the method described in the '598 Patent.

34. A non-limiting and exemplary claim chart comparing the Accused
System to Claim 11 of the '833 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D and is
incorporated herein as if fully rewritten.

35. As recited in Claim 11, a system, at least in internal testing and usage,
utilized by the Accused System is an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) system for 3D
modeling. See Exhibit D.

36. As recited in one step of Claim 11, the system, at least in internal
 testing and usage, utilized by the Accused System comprises a UAV capable of
 communication with a controller. See Exhibit D.

- 37. As recited in another step of Claim 11, the system, at least in internal
 testing and usage, utilized by the Accused System comprises a UAV which receives
 an initial movement path from the controller wherein the initial movement path is
 based on an estimate of a structure to be modeled. See Exhibit D.

39. As recited in another step of Claim 11, the system, at least in internal
testing and usage, utilized by the Accused System comprises a UAV which
transmits the captured one or more images to the controller, wherein the captured
one or more images are used to form a surface hypotheses for unobserved surfaces,

Ш

1	and wherein missing surface information is determined from the surface hypotheses.
2	See Exhibit D.

3	40. As recited in another step of Claim 11, the system, at least in internal			
4				
5	testing and usage, utilized by the Accused System comprises a UAV which receives			
6	a least impact path for the UAV from the controller, wherein the least impact path is			
7	based on the missing surface information and desired flight parameters. See Exhibit			
8				
9	D.			
10	41. The elements described in the preceding paragraphs are covered by at			
11 12	least Claim 11 of the '833 Patent. Thus, Defendant's use of the Accused System is			
13	enabled by the method described in the '833 Patent.			
14	INFRINGEMENT OF THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT			
15	42. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations			
16				
17	set forth in the preceding paragraphs			
18	43. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant is now, and has been			
19	directly infringing the '598 Patent and the '833 Patent.			
20	14 Defendent has had knowledge of infringement of the 500 Detent and			
21	44. Defendant has had knowledge of infringement of the '598 Patent and			
22	the '833 Patent at least as of the service of the present Complaint.			
23	45. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe at			
24 25	least one claim of the '598 Patent and the '833 Patent by using, at least through			
26				
27	internal testing or otherwise, the Accused Product without authority in the United			
28	States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. As a direct and			
	10			
	COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT			

3

4

5

6

7

8

proximate result of Defendant's direct infringement of the '598 Patent and the '833
 Patent, Plaintiff has been and continues to be damaged.

46. Defendant has induced others to infringe the '598 Patent and the '833Patent by encouraging infringement, knowing that the acts Defendant induced constituted patent infringement, and its encouraging acts actually resulted in direct patent infringement.

9
47. By engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendant has injured
10
Plaintiff and is thus liable for infringement of the '598 Patent and the '833 Patent,
11
12

48. Defendant has committed these acts of infringement without license or
authorization.

49. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '598 Patent and the '833
Patent, Plaintiff has suffered monetary damages and is entitled to a monetary
judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's past infringement,
together with interests and costs.

50. Plaintiff will continue to suffer damages in the future unless
Defendant's infringing activities are enjoined by this Court. As such, Plaintiff is
entitled to compensation for any continuing and/or future infringement up until the
date that Defendant is finally and permanently enjoined from further infringement.

26
27
28
26
51. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify its infringement theories as
27
28

1	contention or claim construction purposes by the claim charts that it provides with			
2	this Complaint. The claim charts depicted in Exhibits C and D are intended to			
3 4	satisfy the notice requirements of Rule 8(a)(2) of the Federal Rule of Civil			
5	Procedure and does not represent Plaintiff's preliminary or final infringement			
6	contentions or preliminary or final claim construction positions.			
7	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
8				
9	52. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all causes of action.			
10	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
11 12	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:			
13	a. That Defendant be adjudged to have directly infringed the '598 Patent and			
14	the '833 Patent either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents;			
15	b. An accounting of all infringing sales and damages including, but not limited to, those sales and damages not presented at trial;			
16				
17	mined to, those sales and damages not presented at that,			
18	c. That Defendant, its officers, directors, agents, servants, employees,			
19 20	attorneys, affiliates, divisions, branches, parents, and those persons in active concert			
20 21	or participation with any of them, be permanently restrained and enjoined from			
22	directly infringing the '598 Patent and the '833 Patent;			
23				
24	d. An award of damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §284 sufficient to compensate			
25	Plaintiff for the Defendant's past infringement and any continuing or future			
26	infringement up until the date that Defendant is finally and permanently enjoined			
27				
28	from further infringement, including compensatory damages;			
	12 COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT			

	#:13			
 e. An assessment of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest a against Defendant, together with an award of such interest and costs, in ac with 35 U.S.C. §284; f. That Defendant be directed to pay enhanced damages, including F attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this lawsuit pursuant to 35 U.S and 	cordance Plaintiff's .C. §285;			
9 g. That Plaintiff be granted such other and further relief as this C	ourt may			
10 deem just and proper.				
11 Dated: April 27, 2020 Respectfully submitted,				
12 13				
14 Steven Ritcheson 14 Insight, PLC				
15 578 Washington Boulevard #503				
16Marina del Rey, California 9029116Phone: (424) 289-9191				
17 swritcheson@insightplc.com				
18 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF				
19				
20 Together with:				
21 SAND, SEBOLT & WERNOW	CO			
22 BAND, SEBOLT & WERNOW LPA	CO.,			
23				
24 Howard L. Wernow (pro hac vice forthcoming)				
25 A agis Tower Suite 1100				
26Aegis Tower - Suite 11004940 Munson Street, N. W.				
27 Canton, Ohio 44718				
28				
COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT				

Case 2	2:20-cv-03827-SVW-RAO	Document 1	Filed 04/27/20	Page 14 of 14	Page ID #:14
1			Phone: 330- Fax: 330-24		
2				vard.Wernow@	sswip.com
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		COMPLAINT FOR	14 R INFRINGEMENT	OF PATENT	