

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

TUNNEL IP LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

ONKYO U.S.A. CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.:

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT

Now comes, Plaintiff, Tunnel IP LLC (“Plaintiff” or “Tunnel IP”), by and through undersigned counsel, and respectfully alleges, states, and prays as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for patent infringement under the Patent Laws of the United States, Title 35 United States Code (“U.S.C.”) to prevent and enjoin Defendant Onkyo U.S.A. Corporation (hereinafter “Defendant”), from infringing and profiting, in an illegal and unauthorized manner, and without authorization and/or consent from Plaintiff from U.S. Patent No 7,916,877 (“the ‘877 Patent” or the “Patent-in-Suit”), which is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference, and pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271, and to recover damages, attorney’s fees, and costs.

THE PARTIES

2. Plaintiff is a Texas limited liability company with its principal place of business at 6009 West Parker Road – Suite 149-1073, Plano, Texas 75093-8121.

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, having a principal place of business at 18 Park Way, Upper Saddle River, New

Jersey 07458. Upon information and belief, Defendant may be served with process c/o the Corporation Service Company, 251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, Delaware 19808.

4. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant operates the website www.onkyousa.com, which is in the business of providing stereo receivers with analogue and digital capacity and networked connectivity under the Defendant's Onkyo® brand and offering the same for sale to consumers, amongst other things. Defendant derives a portion of its revenue from sales and distribution via electronic transactions conducted on and using at least, but not limited to, its Internet website located at www.onkyousa.com, and its incorporated and/or related systems (collectively, the "Defendant Website"). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that, at all times relevant hereto, Defendant has done and continues to do business in this judicial district, including, but not limited to, providing products/services to customers located in this judicial district by way of the Defendant Website.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This is an action for patent infringement in violation of the Patent Act of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§1 *et seq.*

6. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant by virtue of its systematic and continuous contacts with this jurisdiction and its residence in this District, as well as because the injury to Plaintiff and the cause of action alleged by Plaintiff has arisen in this District, as alleged herein.

8. Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to its substantial business in this forum, including: (i) committing at least a portion of

the infringements alleged herein in this judicial District; (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in this forum state and in this judicial District; and (iii) residing in this District.

9. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1400(b), because Defendant resides in this district. See *TC Heartland v. Kraft Foods Group Brands LLC*, 137 S. Ct. 1514 (2017); *In re Google, LLC*, No. 2019-126, 2020 U.S. App. LEXIS 4588 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 13, 2020).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. On March 29, 2011, the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) duly and legally issued the ‘877 Patent, entitled “Modular interunit transmitter-receiver for a portable audio device” after a full and fair examination. The ‘877 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein as if fully rewritten.

11. Plaintiff is presently the owner of the ‘877 Patent, having received all right, title and interest in and to the ‘877 Patent from the previous assignee of record. Plaintiff possesses all rights of recovery under the ‘877 Patent, including the exclusive right to recover for past infringement.

12. To the extent required, Plaintiff has complied with all marking requirements under 35 U.S.C. § 287.

13. The Abstract of ‘877 Patent teaches a modular inter-unit transmitter/receiver for a portable audio device such as an MP3 player, a handheld device for playback of audio signals, a telephone, etc. More particularly, the unit is configured for an audio player device to enable the player device for wireless transmission and reception of audio signals. In one aspect, a

communication means connects the modular audio unit to the audio player device. The device further comprises a switch in which a user of the audio player device can select to play audio signals received from an inter-unit transmitter/receiver or from the audio player device. The switch further enables the user to select whether to play the audio signal on the audio player device or to play the audio signal while transmitting the signal to a compatible device. See Ex. A, at Abstract.

14. As identified in the '877 Patent, prior art systems designed to enable multi-person use had technological faults. See Ex. A at Cols. 1 & 2.

15. More particularly, the '877 Patent identifies that if an audio device were to be integrated with inter-unit communications, then it would require both re-engineering existing audio players and it did not permit them to be reusable between players. Ex. A at Col.54:65-55:3.

16. Claim 17 of the '877 Patent recites “a method of operation for a switching component forming a part of a modular audio unit comprising an inter-unit communication component providing inter-unit communications with at least one peer system, comprising: receiving first signals corresponding to first entertainment content from a player device; receiving second signals corresponding to second entertainment content from the inter-unit communication component; and selectively outputting the first signals and the second signals to a playback component wherein the player device and the playback component are separate from one another and wherein both the player device and the playback component are external to the modular audio unit.” Ex. A at Col.62:24-40.

17. Claim 19 of the '877 Patent recites “the method of claim 17, wherein the playback component comprises a speaker. Ex. A at Col.62:45-46.

18. Claim 20 of the ‘877 Patent recites “the method of claim 17, wherein the second entertainment content is entertainment content received by the inter-unit communication component from a peer system from the one or more peer systems, and selectively outputting comprises outputting the second signals corresponding to the second entertainment content received from the peer system to the playback component.” See Ex. A. at Col.62:47-54.

19. Based on the foregoing assertions, Claims 17, 19, and 20 of the ‘877 Patent provide non-abstract ideas, unconventional inventive concepts, and are a practical application of the invention as described in the specifications.

20. In the alternative and at the very least, whether Claims 17, 19, and 20 of the ‘877 Patent provide a non-abstract idea, unconventional inventive concepts, or practical applications thereof as described in the specification is a genuine issue of material fact that must survive the pleading stage. See *Aatrix Software, Inc. v. Green Shades Software, Inc.*, 882 F.3d 1121, 1128 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (reversing grant of motion to dismiss).

21. Defendant commercializes, inter alia, methods that perform all the steps recited in at least one claim of the ‘877 Patent. More particularly, Defendant commercializes, inter alia, methods that perform all the steps recited in Claims 17, 19, and 20 of the ‘877 Patent. Specifically, Defendant makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, or imports a method that encompasses that which is covered by Claims 17, 19, and 20 of the ‘877 Patent.

DEFENDANT’S PRODUCT(S)

22. Defendant offers products, such as the Onkyo TX-NR696 Stereo Receiver (the “Accused Product”), that includes an inter-unit communication component providing inter-unit communications with at least one peer system, comprising: receiving first signals corresponding to first entertainment content from a player device; receiving second signals corresponding to

second entertainment content from the inter-unit communication component; and selectively outputting the first signals and the second signals to a playback component wherein the player device and the playback component are separate from one another and wherein both the player device and the playback component are external to the modular audio unit.

23. A non-limiting and exemplary claim chart comparing the Accused Product to Claims 17, 19, and 20 of the '877 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B and is incorporated herein as if fully rewritten.

24. For example, as recited in one step of Claim 17, the Accused Product practices a method of operation for a switching component (e.g., the component of the Accused Product which switches the input source from a Phono input to Bluetooth, or vice versa, among other possible switching scenarios) forming a part of a modular audio unit (e.g., the accused product) comprising an inter-unit communication component (e.g., Bluetooth chip of the Accused Product for communication with other Bluetooth devices) providing inter-unit communications (e.g., Bluetooth communication) with at least one peer system (e.g., a smart phone). See Ex. B.

25. Further, as recited in another step of Claim 17, the Accused Product practices receiving first signals (e.g., Phono signals) corresponding to first entertainment content (e.g., content from turntable via phono input) from a player device (e.g., a turntable). See Ex. B. As shown in Exhibit B, the player device (e.g., the turntable) can be selected as an input to the accused product. See Ex. B.

26. Additionally, as recited in another step of Claim 17, the Accused Product practices receiving second signals (e.g., audio signals via Bluetooth from a smartphone) corresponding to second entertainment content (e.g., audio content from the Bluetooth paired

smartphone) from the inter-unit communication component (e.g., Bluetooth chip of TX-NR696 for communication with other Bluetooth devices). See Ex. B.

27. Additionally, as recited in another step of Claim 17, the Accused Product practices selectively outputting the first signals (e.g., audio signals via phono input from a turntable) and the second signals (e.g., audio content from the Bluetooth-paired smartphone) to a playback component (e.g., 5.1 channel audio or 7.1 channel audio speakers), wherein the player device (e.g., turntable) and the playback component (e.g., 5.1 channel audio or 7.1 channel audio speakers) are separate from one another and wherein both the player device and the playback component are external to the modular audio unit (e.g., the Accused Product)). See Ex. B. As shown in Exhibit B, playback component (e.g., speaker A and B), player device (e.g., turntable), and modular audio unit (e.g., the Accused Product) are all individual separate components. See Ex. B. As further shown in Exhibit B, the modular unit receives signal from turntable in the form of a first signal (e.g., Phono signal), and also from Bluetooth paired smartphone in the form of the second signal (e.g., received Bluetooth signal). See Ex. B.

28. As recited in Claim 19, the playback component utilized by the Accused Product comprises a speaker. See Ex. B.

29. As recited in Claim 20, the Accused Product provides that the second entertainment content (e.g., audio content from the Bluetooth-paired smart device) received by the inter-unit communication component from a peer system (e.g., various Bluetooth-based devices), and selectively outputting comprises outputting the second signals (e.g., received Bluetooth signals) corresponding to the second entertainment content (e.g., audio content from the Bluetooth-paired smartphone) received from the peer system (e.g., the smartphone) to the playback component (e.g., 5.1 channel audio or 7.1 channel audio speakers).. See Ex. B.

30. The elements described in the preceding paragraphs are covered by at least Claims 17, 19, and 20 of the '877 Patent. Thus, Defendant's use of the Accused Product is enabled by the method described in the '877 Patent.

INFRINGEMENT OF THE PATENT-IN-SUIT

31. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs

32. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant is now, and has been directly infringing the '877 Patent.

33. Defendant has had knowledge of infringement of the '877 Patent at least as of the service of the present Complaint.

34. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe at least one claim of the '877 Patent by using, at least through internal testing or otherwise, the Accused Product without authority in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's direct infringement of the '877 Patent, Plaintiff has been and continues to be damaged.

35. Defendant has induced others to infringe the '877 Patent, by encouraging infringement, knowing that the acts Defendant induced constituted patent infringement, and its encouraging acts actually resulted in direct patent infringement.

36. By engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendant has injured Plaintiff and is thus liable for infringement of the '877 Patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

37. Defendant has committed these acts of infringement without license or authorization.

38. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '877 Patent, Plaintiff has suffered monetary damages and is entitled to a monetary judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's past infringement, together with interests and costs.

39. Plaintiff will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendant's infringing activities are enjoined by this Court. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to compensation for any continuing and/or future infringement up until the date that Defendant is finally and permanently enjoined from further infringement.

40. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify its infringement theories as discovery progresses in this case; it shall not be estopped for infringement contention or claim construction purposes by the claim charts that it provides with this Complaint. The claim chart depicted in Exhibit B is intended to satisfy the notice requirements of Rule 8(a)(2) of the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure and does not represent Plaintiff's preliminary or final infringement contentions or preliminary or final claim construction positions.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

41. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all causes of action.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

a. That Defendant be adjudged to have directly infringed the '877 Patent either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents;

b. An accounting of all infringing sales and damages including, but not limited to, those sales and damages not presented at trial;

c. That Defendant, its officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, affiliates, divisions, branches, parents, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, be permanently restrained and enjoined from directly infringing the ‘877 Patent;

d. An award of damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §284, sufficient to compensate Plaintiff for the Defendant’s past infringement and any continuing or future infringement up until the date that Defendant is finally and permanently enjoined from further infringement, including compensatory damages;

e. An assessment of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs against Defendant, together with an award of such interest and costs, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §284;

f. That Defendant be directed to pay enhanced damages, including Plaintiff’s attorneys’ fees incurred in connection with this lawsuit pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §285; and

g. That Plaintiff be granted such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: May 28, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

Together with:

CHONG LAW FIRM PA

SAND, SEBOLT & WERNOW CO., LPA

/s/Jimmy Chong

Howard L. Wernow
(*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
Aegis Tower - Suite 1100
4940 Munson Street, N. W.
Canton, Ohio 44718
Phone: 330-244-1174
Fax: 330-244-1173
Howard.Wernow@sswip.com

Jimmy Chong (#4839)
2961 Centerville Road, Suite 350
Wilmington, DE 19808
Telephone: (302) 999-9480
Facsimile: (877) 796-4627
Email: chong@chonglawfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF