UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

SHAMROCK INNOVATIONS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 6:20-cv-00696

v.

ACER AMERICA CORPORATION and ACER INC.,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Shamrock Innovations, LLC ("Shamrock" or "Plaintiff") files this Complaint for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code, against Defendants Acer America Corporation and Acer Inc. ("Acer" or "Defendant") that relates to the U.S. patents owned by Shamrock: 8,060,675 and 9,535,454 (collectively, the "Patents-in-Suit").

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Shamrock Innovations, LLC is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Illinois, with an office at 125 S. Clark St., 17th Fl. Chicago, IL 60603.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Acer is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Taiwan, with its principal place of business located at 1F, 88, Sec. 1, Xintai 5th Road, Xizhi, New Taipei City 221, Taiwan. On information and belief, Acer, Inc. can be served through its resident agent for service of process in Texas: 1999 Bryan Street Ste 900, Dallas, TX 75201. Acer is a leading manufacturer and seller of laptop computers, desktop computers,

smartphones and tablets in the United States. Upon information and belief, Acer does business in Texas and in the Western District of Texas, directly or through intermediaries.

- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Acer America Corporation is a corporation that operates a regular and established place of business, which includes at least a repair and service facility, at 1394 Eberhardt Rd, Temple, Texas 76504. This office is within the Western District of Texas. Upon information and belief, Defendant Acer America Corporation also operates a regular and established place of business at 900 Guardians Way, Allen, Texas 75013.
- 4. Acer makes, uses, imports, sells and/or offers for sale computing devices, including laptop computers, and related applications and services.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Complaint states causes of action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, and, more particularly 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) in which the district courts have original and exclusive jurisdiction of any civil action for patent infringement.
- 7. The Defendant is subject to this Court's general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 17.042, due at least to its substantial business conducted in this District, including: (i) having solicited business in the State of Texas, transacted business within the State of Texas and attempted to derive financial benefit from residents of the State of Texas in this District, including benefits directly related to the instant patent infringement causes of action set forth herein; (ii) having placed its products and services into the stream of commerce throughout the United States and having been

actively engaged in transacting business in Texas and in this District, including repair and service, and (iii) having committed the complained of tortious acts in Texas and in this District. Alternatively, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Acer, Inc. pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(k)(2) based on Acer, Inc.'s contacts with the United States as a whole.

- 8. Acer, directly and/or through subsidiaries and agents (including distributors, retailers, and others), makes, imports, ships, distributes, offers for sale, sells, uses, and advertises (including offering products and services through its website, https://us-store.acer.com, as well as other retailers) its products and/or services in the United States, the State of Texas, and the Western District of Texas.
- 9. Acer, directly and/or through its subsidiaries and agents (including distributors, retailers, and others), has purposefully and voluntarily placed one or more of its infringing products and/or services, as described below, into the stream of commerce with the expectation that they will be purchased and used by consumers in the Western District of Texas. These infringing products and/or services have been and continue to be purchased and used by consumers in the Western District of Texas. Acer has committed acts of patent infringement within the State of Texas and, more particularly, within the Western District of Texas.
- 10. Venue is proper as to Acer, Inc. because 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(3) provides that "a defendant not resident in the United States may be sued in any judicial district, and the joinder of such a defendant shall be disregarded in determining where the action may be brought with respect to other defendants."
- 11. Venue as to Acer America Corporation is proper in this District under §1400(b), which provides that "any civil action for patent infringement may be brought in the judicial

district where the defendant resides, or where the defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business."

BACKGROUND FACTS REGARDING THE SHAMROCK PATENTS

- 12. Shamrock is the owner of record and assignee of each of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,060,675 ("the '675 Patent") and 9,535,454 ("the '454 Patent") (collectively the "Patents-in-Suit").
- 13. Shamrock purchased the Patents-in-Suit as of December 14, 2017, including all rights to sue for infringement thereof.
- 14. The Patents-in-Suit list Frank Ahern, Desi Rhoden, Jeff Doss and Charles Mollo as the inventors. These named inventors are serial innovators in the fields of wireless telecommunications, consumer electronics, and embedded technology.
- 15. The patented technology was developed to address data transfer performance issues in data processing and computing devices, and the technology was coined Split-BridgeTM. The inspiration for Split-BridgeTM was to achieve low cost, high-speed serial data communications between a parallel system bus and remote devices.
- 16. Split-Bridge™ technology is the predecessor to the Serial ATA standardized technology, which is currently used in an estimated 500 million devices shipped annually around the world.

THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT AND CLAIMS-IN-SUIT

- 17. Shamrock has the exclusive right to sue and the exclusive right to recover damages for infringement of the Patents-in-Suit during all relevant time periods.
- 18. On November 15, 2011, the '675 Patent entitled "Computing Module with Serial Data Connectivity" was duly and legally issued by the USPTO.

- 19. On January 3, 2017, the '454 Patent entitled "Computing Module with Serial Data Connectivity" was duly and legally issued by the USPTO.
- 20. The claims of the Patents-in-Suit, including the asserted claims, when viewed as a whole, including as an ordered combination, are not merely the recitation of well-understood, routine, or conventional technologies or components. The claimed inventions were not well-known, routine, or conventional at the time of the invention, and represent specific improvements over the prior art and prior existing systems and methods.
- 21. At the time of the patented inventions, computing systems were limited in their ability to be divided into modular components due to technical limitations with the technology that was used to transfer data within computer systems.
- transfer data within computer systems were inferior. The most common way to transfer data in computer systems was a PCI bus which utilized a parallel connection. However, the traditional PCI bus was slow and not easily extended over distance. *See e.g.*, '675 Pat.,1:40-58; '454 Pat. 1:34-42. Parallel transmission of data in consumer computing is slower than serial transmission as, by necessity, it must be performed at a lower frequency. Data sent in parallel must be sent in synchronization. In order to ensure synchronicity, as well as to avoid crosstalk between parallel signal lines, transmission must be done at a relatively low frequency. Serial data transmission can be performed at much higher frequencies, which results in a higher net transfer rate, creating a faster connection. At the time of the inventions, serial data transfer was a less common way to transfer data in a computer system. While the typical operation of a traditional serial bus was faster than a parallel PCI bus, the transferred data necessarily included extensive amounts of additional information as it requires the addition of an extensive header of information for every

data transmission on the bus. As such, serial connections required considerable bandwidth to transfer all this data. But in traditional computing, only small amounts of data typically need to be transferred, and so such a high-bandwidth connection was impractical for those types of applications. *See e.g.*, '675 Pat. 2:22-29; 2:49:54; '454 Pat. 2:16-23; 2:44-49. Transferring all the additional information necessarily sent in a traditional serial connection makes the system slower than if the serial connection only had to transfer the same amount of data as required by the parallel PCI bus.

- 23. The claims of the Patents-in-Suit are directed to specific improvements in computer functionality and capabilities over this prior art. Among other things, the claimed inventions improve the functionality of data transfer, creating a low-cost and high-speed serial data communication between a parallel system bus and remote devices. They combine the speed of a serial connection with the more effective bandwidth of the parallel PCI system in a manner not previously implemented. *See e.g.*, '675 Pat. 2:57-62; 3:16-32; '454 Pat. 2:53-58; 3:12-29.
- 24. At the time of the invention, parallel PCI buses were incompatible with any existing protocols for serial buses. It was not known how to combine a PCI bus with a serial connection in the way disclosed by the claims of the Patents-in-Suit. The claims, and the ordered combination of the claims of the Patents-in-Suit, present a novel solution for a new and innovative high-speed but low-cost alternative way to transfer data. They disclose a protocol for a new serial bus which mimics the protocol of a PCI bus. *See e.g.*, '675 Pat. 4:5-24; '454 Pat. 4:3-23. The claims of the Patents-in-Suit disclose an improvement to the traditional PCI bus—short parallel data is serialized only for transmission, and then deserialized once it reaches its destination. This allows the data to be kept in a lower-bandwidth form, and be faster than both traditional serial and parallel connections.

- 25. The claims, and the ordered combination of the claims of the Patents-in-Suit, claim additional advantages over traditional PCI buses for the transfer of data. Traditional PCI buse connections cannot be easily spread across distances, both due to the short physical cables required by a parallel connection and because the brains of the PCI bridge were only present on only one side of the connection. However, as disclosed in the claims of the Patents-in-Suit, the bridge connection is split into two separate and distinct pieces where each side of the bridge has full functionality. See e.g., '675 Pat. 3:16-4:4; '454 Pat. 3:12-4:2. And, the disclosed serial connection allows for longer cables than those required by parallel connections. As such, the claims, and the ordered combination of the claims of the Patents-in-Suit allow for modular computing components to be spread further apart than in a traditional PCI bus. Combined with the increased speed of the data transmission discussed in paragraph 24, the novel invention in the claims of the Patents-in-Suit allow for the separation of what was at the time believed to be inseparable parts of a computer system—such as a computing module and a storage device.
- 26. As noted in the Patents-in-Suit, the claimed technologies comprise innovative systems and methods which are faster and allow for multiple efficiencies resulting in a better user experience and reduced costs. *See e.g.*, '675 Pat. 4:37-43; '454 Pat. 4:36-45. By utilizing the claimed technology of the Patents-in-Suit, a computer system can practicably be separated into interconnected modules, as data can be transferred quickly and efficiently between separate modules via the high-speed serial link which was not previously possible. *See e.g.*, '675 Pat. 3:19-22; '454 Pat 3:15-19. This type of modular computer system allows for the selective upgrade of only one part of the computing system, instead of replacement of the whole unit.
- 27. In view of these specific improvements, the inventions of the asserted claims, when such claims are viewed as a whole and in ordered combination, are not routine, well-

understood, or conventional. The claimed solutions amount to an inventive concept for resolving the particular problems and inefficiencies noted above.

<u>DEFENDANTS' KNOWLEDGE OF THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT</u> <u>AND CONTINUED INFRINGEMENT DESPITE THAT KNOWLEDGE</u>

- 28. On March 16, 2018 Shamrock, through counsel, sent a letter to Michael Barry, Regional General Counsel of Acer, Inc. by email and Federal Express. Attached to the letter were copies of the '675 and '454 patents. Acer has been aware of the '675 and '454 patents since no later than that date.
- 29. In addition to identifying these Patents-in-Suit, Shamrock's March 16, 2018 letter also identified exemplary Acer products and methods practiced by those products that infringe its claims, including Acer computers that include a Serial ATA interface and several specific Acer computer models available at that time.
- 30. Also on March 16, 2018 Shamrock, through counsel, sent illustrative claim charts to Acer, specifically charting infringement of the '454 and '675 patents by six Acer computer models available at that time.
 - 31. Defendant has not agreed to enter into a licensing agreement with Shamrock.
- 32. This Complaint serves as additional notice to Acer of the Patents-in-Suit and the manner in which they are infringed.
- 33. Despite knowledge of the Patents-in-Suit and knowledge of the manner in which the Patents-in-Suit are infringed as demonstrated in the provided claim charts, Acer has continued to infringe and/or induce the infringement of the Patents-in-Suit.

8

INFRINGING PRODUCTS

- 34. Acer made, used, offered for sale, sold, and/or imported into the United States laptops which include a Serial ATA interface. These devices are collectively referred to as "Accused Acer Computers" and include, but are not limited to, the following models: at least Acer Spin 7, Acer Aspire R 14, Acer Aspire V Nitro, Acer Nitro 5 Spin, Acer Predator 17, Acer Predator Helios 300, Acer Swift 1, Acer Spin 3, Acer Aspire 7, Acer Swift 5 Pro, Acer Switch 7, Acer Chromebook CXI3, Acer Swift 3, Acer Swift 5, Acer Switch Alpha 12.
- 35. The Accused Acer Computers are all Acer computers that include a Serial ATA interface that were offered for sale or sold in the United States. For the '675 Patent's method claim, the use of the method claims took place in the U.S between the period that is six years before the filing date of this complaint and the expiration of the Patents-in-Suit. For the '454 Patent, the Accused Acer Computers were those made, sold, imported, and offered for sale in the U.S after the notice date, March 16, 2018. Infringement is ongoing.
- 36. Acer has been, and now is, directly infringing claims of the '675 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by operating the below accused computing devices in this District and elsewhere in the United States in a manner that practices every step in the methods claimed in the '675 Patent, including, for example, Acer's use of said methods during set-up, testing, and demonstration of its computing devices.
- 37. Acer has been, and now is, directly infringing claims of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the below accused computing devices in this District and elsewhere in the United States that include the systems claimed in the '454 Patent and/or by using the methods claimed in the Patents-in-Suit, including,

for example, Acer's use of said systems during set-up, testing, and demonstration of its computing devices.

- 38. Acer has been, and now is, inducing the direct infringement of claims of the Patents-in-Suit pursuant to U.S.C. § 271(b) at least by one or more of making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing the below accused computing devices in this District and elsewhere in the United States that were designed and intended to use and/or practice the methods and processes covered by the Patents-in-Suit. Further, Acer has induced infringement by, for example, providing user guides and other support materials and services to its users and by advertising features that are used, and benefits that are achieved through use of the Patents-in-Suit.
- 39. Despite Acer's awareness of the Patents-in-Suit, Acer has continued these acts of inducement with specific intent to cause and encourage direct infringement of the Patents-in-Suit with willful blindness that such activities occurred, are still occurring, and constitute direct infringement of the Patents-in-Suit.

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PAT. 8,060,675 CLAIM 7

40. Shamrock reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 39 of this Complaint as though set forth fully here.

41. Claim 7 of the '675 Patent provides:

Preamble to	A method comprising:
Claim 7	
Element A	asynchronously, serially transferring first serial data, corresponding to first parallel bus data, from a first module to a second module using a serial link;
Element B	asynchronously, serially receiving second serial data, corresponding to second parallel bus data, from the second module using the serial link; and
Element C	generating a first clock signal, for use by the first module, using the second serial data.

- 42. Acer directly infringes Claim 7 by operating its computing devices, including computers which include a Serial ATA interface ("Accused Acer Computers"), in a manner that practices every step in the claimed method. The Accused Acer Computers include, for example, at least Acer Spin 7, Acer Aspire R 14, Acer Aspire V Nitro, Acer Nitro 5 Spin, Acer Predator 17, Acer Predator Helios 300 devices, Acer Swift 1, Acer Spin 3, Acer Aspire 7, Acer Swift 5 Pro, Acer Switch 7, Acer Chromebook CXI3, Acer Swift 3, Acer Swift 5, Acer Switch Alpha 12.
- 43. Acer's Accused Acer Computers meet each and every element of Claim 7 of the '675 Patent.
- 44. Upon information and belief, Accused Acer Computers include a Serial ATA interface which is connected to a data storage device, for example a hard disk drive or a solid state drive.
- 45. Accused Acer Computers asynchronously and serially transfer a first serial data (e.g., outgoing serial data "TX"), corresponding to first parallel bus data, from a first module (e.g., computing module) to a second module (e.g., data storage device) using a serial link. As the transport layer in a Serial ATA connection maintains no context of ATA commands or previous

FIS (frame information structures) content, no definite phase relationship between data previously received and the new data arriving over the serial link exists, and thus the transmission occurs asynchronously. Parallel data is transformed into serial data in the Physical layer of the Serial ATA interface in the first module before it is transferred to the second module as first serial data (e.g., TX).

- 46. Accused Acer Computers asynchronously and serially receive second serial data (e.g., incoming serial data "RX"), corresponding to second parallel bus data, from the second module (e.g., data storage device) using the serial link. As the transport layer in a Serial ATA connection maintains no context of ATA commands or previous FIS content, no definite phase relationship between data previously received and the new data arriving over the serial link exists, and thus serial data is received asynchronously. The second serial data (e.g., RX) corresponds to parallel data that was transformed into serial data in the Physical layer of the Serial ATA interface of the second module before being received by the first module.
- 47. Accused Acer Computers generate a first clock signal, for use by the first module (e.g., computing module), using the second serial data (e.g., incoming serial data "RX"). The clock signal (e.g., "recovered clock") is derived from the incoming high speed second serial data so the first module (e.g., computing module) can determine when parallel data has been properly formed from the incoming serial data.
- 48. The technology claimed in Claim 7 was not well understood, routine, or conventional at the time that the application was filed and provided a technological solution to a technological problem rooted in computer technology.
- 49. Acer had knowledge of the '675 Patent and allegations of how the Accused Acer Computers infringe Claim 7 of the '675 Patent since at least as early as March 16, 2018.

- 50. Direct infringement of Claim 7 of the '675 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) occurred when Acer operated its computing devices, including computers which include a Serial ATA interface ("Accused Acer Computers"), in a manner that practiced every step in the claimed method.
- 51. Additionally, Acer induced direct infringement of Claim 7 of the '675 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale Accused Acer Computers that that were designed and intended to practice the methods and processes covered by Claim 7 of the '675 Patent. With knowledge of the '675 Patent and knowledge of the infringing nature of Accused Acer Computers (or, at a minimum, willful blindness thereto), Acer has encouraged end users to directly infringe the '675 Patent by, as an example, directing and controlling end users' storing of data onto data storage devices.
- 52. As a direct and proximate result of Acer's acts of patent infringement, Shamrock has been and continues to be injured and has sustained damages.

COUNT II: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PAT. 9,535,454 CLAIM 1

53. Shamrock reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 52 of this Complaint as though set forth fully here.

54. Claim 1 of the '454 Patent provides:

Preamble	A system, comprising:
Element A	a connector configured to be connectable to a serial link; and
Element B	a module coupled to the connector, wherein the module is operable to receive first serial data transmitted over the serial link through the connector and transmit second serial data to the serial link through the connector, and wherein the module includes:
Element C	a receiver operable to receive the first serial data from the serial link through the connector;
Element D	a first circuit configured to deserialize the first serial data to generate deserialized data;
Element E	a decoder configured to decode the deserialized data;
Element F	a first buffer operable to store data that has been describlized and decoded by the first circuit and the decoder, respectively;
Element G	a second buffer operable to receive data, wherein the second buffer is in data communication with a second circuit that is configured to serialize data received via the second buffer to generate the second serial data; and
Element H	a transmitter operable to transmit the second serial data to the serial link through the connector;
Element I	wherein the module is configured to determine whether an amount of data stored in the first buffer equals or exceeds a fill amount associated with a storage capacity of the first buffer; and
Element J	wherein the module is operable to generate a flow control signal in response to the amount of data stored in the first buffer equaling or exceeding the fill amount, and wherein the module is further configured to transmit the flow control signal through the serial link and the connector without passing the flow control signal through the second buffer.

55. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported computing devices, including computers which include a Serial ATA interface ("Accused Acer Computers"). The Accused Acer Computers include, for example, at least Acer Spin 7, Acer Aspire R 14, Acer Aspire

V Nitro, Acer Nitro 5 Spin, Acer Predator 17, Acer Predator Helios 300 devices, Acer Swift 1, Acer Spin 3, Acer Aspire 7, Acer Swift 5 Pro, Acer Switch 7, Acer Chromebook CXI3, Acer Swift 3, Acer Swift 5, Acer Switch Alpha 12.

- 56. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported the Accused Acer Computers that meet each and every element of Claim 1 of the '454 Patent.
- 57. Upon information and belief, Accused Acer Computers include a Serial ATA interface which is connected to a data storage device, for example a hard disk drive or a solid state drive.
- 58. Accused Acer Computers include a connector configured to be connectable to the Serial ATA serial link of its internal data storage device. The connector in Accused Acer Computers can be, for example, a direct connection or a cable.
- 59. Accused Acer Computers include a module (e.g., computing module) coupled to the connector, to transmit data to and from its internal disk drive. The module (e.g., computing module) is operable to receive and transmit serial data over the serial link through the connector. The Serial ATA interface in the computing module receives and transmits data in serial form.
- 60. Accused Acer Computers include a module (e.g., computing module) which has a receiver. The receiver in the Serial ATA interface is operable to receive inbound serial data from the serial link though the connector (e.g., inbound high-speed differential signals "RX").
- 61. Accused Acer Computers include a module (e.g., computing module) which has a first circuit configured to describing the first serial data to generate describing data. The Physical layer in the Serial ATA interface is a circuit which describing inbound data for use by the module. The data received and describing by the Physical layer is then sent to the Link Layer.
 - 62. Accused Acer Computers include a module (e.g., computing module) which has a

decoder configured to decode the deserialized data. The Link layer in the Serial ATA interface includes a decoder which decodes the encoded 8b/10b deserialized character stream received from the Physical layer.

- 63. Accused Acer Computers include a module (e.g., computing module) which has a first buffer, e.g., the receive FIFO. The receive FIFO is operable to store data that has been decoded by the Link layer and descrialized by the Physical layer.
- 64. Accused Acer Computers include a module (e.g., computing module) which has a second buffer, e.g., the transmit FIFO. The transmit FIFO then communicates received parallel data to the Physical layer. The Physical layer is configured to serialize the received parallel data and generate second serial data for transmit.
- 65. Accused Acer Computers include a module (e.g., computing module) which has a transmitter. The transmitter in the Serial ATA interface is operable to transmit outbound serial data from the serial link though the connector (e.g., outbound high-speed differential signals TX).
- 66. Accused Acer Computers include a module (e.g., computing module) which is configured to determine whether an amount of data stored in the first buffer equals or exceeds a fill amount associated with a storage capacity of the first buffer. The computing module is configured to determine whether an amount of data stored in the receive FIFO equals or exceeds a fill amount, or "high water mark", so as to avoid buffer overflow.
- 67. Accused Acer Computers include a module (e.g., computing module) which generates a flow control signal in response to the amount of data stored in the first buffer equaling or exceeding the fill amount, and wherein the module is further configured to transmit the flow control signal through the serial link and the connector without passing the flow control signal through the second buffer. The computing module is operable to generate flow control signals

(e.g., "HOLDp") in response to the amount of data stored in the receive FIFO so as to avoid buffer overflow. The computing module is configured to transmit the flow control signal through the serial link and connector on the back channel—i.e. without passing control signals through the second buffer.

- 68. The technology claimed in Claim 1 was not well understood, routine, or conventional at the time that the application was filed and provided a technological solution to a technological problem rooted in computer technology.
- 69. Acer had knowledge of the '454 Patent sand allegations of how the Accused Acer Computers infringe Claim 1 of the '454 Patent since at least as early as March 16, 2018.
- 70. Direct infringement of claim 1 of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) occurred when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale the Accused Acer Computers that meet Claim 1 of the '454 Patent.
- 71. Additionally, Acer induced direct infringement of Claim 1 of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale Accused Acer Computers that that were designed and intended to practice the system covered by Claim 1 of the '454 Patent. With knowledge of the '454 Patent and knowledge of the infringing nature of Accused Acer Computers (or, at a minimum, willful blindness thereto), Acer has encouraged end users to directly infringe the '454 Patent by, as an example, directing and controlling end users' storing of data onto data storage devices.
- 72. As a direct and proximate result of Acer's acts of patent infringement, Shamrock has been and continues to be injured and has sustained damages.

COUNT III: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PAT. 9,535,454 CLAIM 2

- 73. Shamrock incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 to 72 of this Complaint as though set forth in full herein.
 - 74. Claim 2 of the '454 Patent provides:

Preamble to Claim 2	The system of claim 1, wherein:
Element A	the system is configured to transmit the first serial data and the second serial data asynchronously over the serial link.

- 75. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported computing devices, including computers which include a Serial ATA interface ("Accused Acer Computers"). The Accused Acer Computers include, for example, at least Acer Spin 7, Acer Aspire R 14, Acer Aspire V Nitro, Acer Nitro 5 Spin, Acer Predator 17, Acer Predator Helios 300 devices, Acer Swift 1, Acer Spin 3, Acer Aspire 7, Acer Swift 5 Pro, Acer Switch 7, Acer Chromebook CXI3, Acer Swift 3, Acer Swift 5, Acer Switch Alpha 12.
- 76. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported the Accused Acer Computers that meet each and every element of Claim 2 of the '454 Patent.
- 77. Upon information and belief, Accused Acer Computers include a Serial ATA interface which is connected to a data storage device, for example a hard disk drive or a solid state drive.
- 78. Accused Acer Computers are configured to transmit the first serial data and the second serial data asynchronously over its Serial ATA link. As the transport layer in a Serial ATA connection maintains no context of ATA commands or previous FIS (frame information structure) content, no definite phase relationship between data previously received and the new data arriving

over the serial link exists, and thus the transmission of serial data occurs asynchronously.

- 79. The technology claimed in Claim 2 was not well understood, routine, or conventional at the time that the application was filed and provided a technological solution to a technological problem rooted in computer technology.
- 80. Acer had knowledge of the '454 Patent sand allegations of how the Accused Acer Computers infringe Claim 2 of the '454 Patent since at least as early as March 16, 2018.
- 81. Direct infringement of claim 1 of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) occurred when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale the Accused Acer Computers that meet Claim 2 of the '454 Patent.
- 82. Additionally, Acer induced direct infringement of Claim 2 of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale Accused Acer Computers that that were designed and intended to practice the system covered by Claim 2 of the '454 Patent. With knowledge of the '454 Patent and knowledge of the infringing nature of Accused Acer Computers (or, at a minimum, willful blindness thereto), Acer has encouraged end users to directly infringe the '454 Patent by, as an example, directing and controlling end users' storing of data onto data storage devices.
- 83. As a direct and proximate result of Acer's acts of patent infringement, Shamrock has been and continues to be injured and has sustained damages.

COUNT IV: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PAT. 9,535,454 CLAIM 3

84. Shamrock reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 83 of this Complaint as though set forth fully here.

85. Claim 3 of the '454 Patent provides:

Preamble to Claim 3	The system of claim 1, wherein:
Element A	the data received by the second buffer comprises parallel data.

- 86. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported computing devices, including computers which include a Serial ATA interface ("Accused Acer Computers"). The Accused Acer Computers include, for example, at least Acer Spin 7, Acer Aspire R 14, Acer Aspire V Nitro, Acer Nitro 5 Spin, Acer Predator 17, Acer Predator Helios 300 devices, Acer Swift 1, Acer Spin 3, Acer Aspire 7, Acer Swift 5 Pro, Acer Switch 7, Acer Chromebook CXI3, Acer Swift 3, Acer Swift 5, Acer Switch Alpha 12.
- 87. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported the Accused Acer Computers that meet each and every element of Claim 3 of the '454 Patent.
- 88. Upon information and belief, Accused Acer Computers include a Serial ATA interface which is connected to a data storage device, for example a hard disk drive or a solid state drive.
- 89. The data received by the second buffer, e.g., the transmit FIFO, in Accused Acer Computers comprise parallel data. The transmit FIFO receives data from the module in parallel form. The transmit FIFO sends the parallel data to the Physical layer for serialization.
- 90. The technology claimed in Claim 3 was not well understood, routine, or conventional at the time that the application was filed and provided a technological solution to a technological problem rooted in computer technology.
- 91. Acer had knowledge of the '454 Patent sand allegations of how the Accused Acer Computers infringe Claim 3 of the '454 Patent since at least as early as March 16, 2018.

- 92. Direct infringement of claim 3 of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) occurred when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale the Accused Acer Computers that meet Claim 3 of the '454 Patent.
- 93. Additionally, Acer induced direct infringement of Claim 3 of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale Accused Acer Computers that that were designed and intended to practice the system covered by Claim 3 of the '454 Patent. With knowledge of the '454 Patent and knowledge of the infringing nature of Accused Acer Computers (or, at a minimum, willful blindness thereto), Acer has encouraged end users to directly infringe the '454 Patent by, as an example, directing and controlling end users' storing of data onto data storage devices.
- 94. As a direct and proximate result of Acer's acts of patent infringement, Shamrock has been and continues to be injured and has sustained damages.

COUNT V: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PAT. 9,535,454 CLAIM 4

- 95. Shamrock reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 94 of this Complaint as though set forth fully here.
 - 96. Claim 4 of the '454 Patent provides:

Preamble to	The system of claim 1, wherein:
Claim 4	
Element A	the module is further operable to repeatedly transmit the second serial data through the serial link and the connector without receiving acknowledgement during transmission.

97. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported computing devices, including computers which include a Serial ATA interface ("Accused Acer Computers"). The Accused Acer Computers include, for example, at least Acer Spin 7, Acer Aspire R 14, Acer Aspire

V Nitro, Acer Nitro 5 Spin, Acer Predator 17, Acer Predator Helios 300 devices, Acer Swift 1, Acer Spin 3, Acer Aspire 7, Acer Swift 5 Pro, Acer Switch 7, Acer Chromebook CXI3, Acer Swift 3, Acer Swift 5, Acer Switch Alpha 12.

- 98. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported the Accused Acer Computers that meet each and every element of Claim 4 of the '454 Patent.
- 99. Upon information and belief, Accused Acer Computers include a Serial ATA interface which is connected to a data storage device, for example a hard disk drive or a solid state drive.
- 100. Accused Acer Computers are operable to repeatedly transmit the second serial data through the serial link and the connector without receiving acknowledgement during transmission. The Transport layer in a Serial ATA connection maintains no context of previous FIS (frame information structure) data and is not cognizant of how frames are transmitted or received. The Transport layer also maintains no context in terms of ATA commands. The Accused Acer Computers do not receive acknowledgement that frames have been transmitted or received.
- 101. The technology claimed in Claim 4 was not well understood, routine, or conventional at the time that the application was filed and provided a technological solution to a technological problem rooted in computer technology.
- 102. Acer had knowledge of the '454 Patent sand allegations of how the Accused Acer Computers infringe Claim 4 of the '454 Patent since at least as early as March 16, 2018.
- 103. Direct infringement of Claim 4 of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) occurred when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale the Accused Acer Computers that meet Claim 4 of the '454 Patent.
 - 104. Additionally, Acer induced direct infringement of Claim 4 of the '454 Patent under

35 U.S.C. § 271(b) when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale Accused Acer Computers that that were designed and intended to practice the system covered by Claim 4 of the '454 Patent. With knowledge of the '454 Patent and knowledge of the infringing nature of Accused Acer Computers (or, at a minimum, willful blindness thereto), Acer has encouraged end users to directly infringe the '454 Patent by, as an example, directing and controlling end users' storing of data onto data storage devices.

105. As a direct and proximate result of Acer's acts of patent infringement, Shamrock has been and continues to be injured and has sustained damages.

COUNT VI: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PAT. 9,535,454 CLAIM 6

- 106. Shamrock reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 105 of this Complaint as though set forth fully here.
 - 107. Claim 6 of the '454 Patent provides:

Preamble to Claim 6	The system of claim 1, wherein:
Element A	the module is further operable to transmit the first serial data over the serial link and to transfer the second serial data over the serial link using differential signals.

- 108. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported computing devices, including computers which include a Serial ATA interface ("Accused Acer Computers"). The Accused Acer Computers include, for example, at least Acer Spin 7, Acer Aspire R 14, Acer Aspire V Nitro, Acer Nitro 5 Spin, Acer Predator 17, Acer Predator Helios 300 devices, Acer Swift 1, Acer Spin 3, Acer Aspire 7, Acer Swift 5 Pro, Acer Switch 7, Acer Chromebook CXI3, Acer Swift 3, Acer Swift 5, Acer Switch Alpha 12.
 - 109. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported the Accused Acer

Computers that meet each and every element of Claim 6 of the '454 Patent.

- 110. Upon information and belief, Accused Acer Computers include a Serial ATA interface which is connected to a data storage device, for example a hard disk drive or a solid state drive.
- 111. Accused Acer Computers are operable to transmit the first serial data over the serial link and to transfer the second serial data over the serial link using differential signals. The outbound signals from the Serial ATA interface, e.g., outbound signals "TX", are high-speed differential signals.
- 112. The technology claimed in Claim 6 was not well understood, routine, or conventional at the time that the application was filed and provided a technological solution to a technological problem rooted in computer technology.
- 113. Acer had knowledge of the '454 Patent sand allegations of how the Accused Acer Computers infringe Claim 6 of the '454 Patent since at least as early as March 16, 2018.
- 114. Direct infringement of Claim 6 of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) occurred when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale the Accused Acer Computers that meet Claim 6 of the '454 Patent.
- 115. Additionally, Acer induced direct infringement of Claim 6 of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale Accused Acer Computers that that were designed and intended to practice the system covered by Claim 6 of the '454 Patent. With knowledge of the '454 Patent and knowledge of the infringing nature of Accused Acer Computers (or, at a minimum, willful blindness thereto), Acer has encouraged end users to directly infringe the '454 Patent by, as an example, directing and controlling end users' storing of data onto data storage devices.

116. As a direct and proximate result of Acer's acts of patent infringement, Shamrock has been and continues to be injured and has sustained damages.

COUNT VII: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PAT. 9,535,454 CLAIM 7

- 117. Shamrock reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 116 of this Complaint as though set forth fully here.
 - 118. Claim 7 of the '454 Patent provides:

Preamble to Claim 7	The system of claim 1, wherein:
Element A	the flow control signal is configured to be used to control transmission of the first serial data over the serial link to prevent overflow of the first buffer.

- 119. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported computing devices, including computers which include a Serial ATA interface ("Accused Acer Computers"). The Accused Acer Computers include, for example, at least Acer Spin 7, Acer Aspire R 14, Acer Aspire V Nitro, Acer Nitro 5 Spin, Acer Predator 17, Acer Predator Helios 300 devices, Acer Swift 1, Acer Spin 3, Acer Aspire 7, Acer Swift 5 Pro, Acer Switch 7, Acer Chromebook CXI3, Acer Swift 3, Acer Swift 5, Acer Switch Alpha 12.
- 120. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported the Accused Acer Computers that meet each and every element of Claim 7 of the '454 Patent.
- 121. Upon information and belief, Accused Acer Computers include a Serial ATA interface which is connected to a data storage device, for example a hard disk drive or a solid state drive.
- 122. Accused Acer Computers generate a flow control signal, e.g., HOLD_P, that is configured to be used to control transmission of the first serial data over the serial link to prevent

overflow of the first buffer, e.g., the receive FIFO. When the receive FIFO has reached it maximum capacity it sends a HOLD_P, signal indicating the receiver is not ready to receive more data, halting the transmission of additional data.

- 123. The technology claimed in Claim 7 was not well understood, routine, or conventional at the time that the application was filed and provided a technological solution to a technological problem rooted in computer technology.
- 124. Acer had knowledge of the '454 Patent and allegations of how the Accused Acer Computers infringe Claim 7 of the '454 Patent since at least as early as March 16, 2018.
- 125. Direct infringement of claim 3 of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) occurred when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale the Accused Acer Computers that meet Claim 7 of the '454 Patent.
- 126. Additionally, Acer induced direct infringement of Claim 7 of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale Accused Acer Computers that that were designed and intended to practice the system covered by Claim 7 of the '454 Patent. With knowledge of the '454 Patent and knowledge of the infringing nature of Accused Acer Computers (or, at a minimum, willful blindness thereto), Acer has encouraged end users to directly infringe the '454 Patent by, as an example, directing and controlling end users' storing of data onto data storage devices.
- 127. As a direct and proximate result of Acer's acts of patent infringement, Shamrock has been and continues to be injured and has sustained damages.

COUNT VIII: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PAT. 9,535,454 CLAIM 15

128. Shamrock reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 127 of this Complaint as though set forth fully here.

129. Claim 15 of the '454 Patent provides:

Preamble to Claim 15	The system of claim 1, wherein:
Element A	the system comprises a hard disk drive.

- 130. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported computing devices, including computers which include a Serial ATA interface and a hard disk drive ("Accused Acer Computers with Hard Disk Drive"). The Accused Acer Computers include, for example, at least Acer Aspire V Nitro, Acer Nitro 5 Spin, Acer Predator 17, Acer Predator Helios 300 devices, Acer Switch 7.
- 131. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported the Accused Acer Computers with Hard Disk Drive that meet each and every element of Claim 15 of the '454 Patent.
- 132. Upon information and belief, Accused Acer Computers with Hard Disk Drive include a Serial ATA interface which is connected to a hard disk drive storage device.
- 133. Accused Acer Computers with Hard Disk Drive include a hard disk drive storage device.
- 134. The technology claimed in Claim 15 was not well understood, routine, or conventional at the time that the application was filed and provided a technological solution to a technological problem rooted in computer technology.
- 135. Acer had knowledge of the '454 Patent sand allegations of how the Accused Acer Computers with Hard Disk Drive infringe Claim 15 of the '454 Patent since at least as early as March 16, 2018.
- 136. Additionally, Acer induced direct infringement of Claim 15 of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale Accused

Acer Computers with Hard Disk Drive that that were designed and intended to practice the system covered by Claim 15 of the '454 Patent. With knowledge of the '454 Patent and knowledge of the infringing nature of Accused Acer Computers (or, at a minimum, willful blindness thereto), Acer has encouraged end users to directly infringe the '454 Patent by, as an example, directing and controlling end users' storing of data onto data storage devices.

137. As a direct and proximate result of Acer's acts of patent infringement, Shamrock has been and continues to be injured and has sustained damages.

COUNT IX: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PAT. 9,535,454 CLAIM 16

- 138. Shamrock reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 137 of this Complaint as though set forth fully here.
 - 139. Claim 16 of the '269 Patent provides:

Preamble to Claim 16	The system of claim 1, further comprising:
Element A	an interface included in the module coupled to the first buffer and operable to receive the data stored in the first buffer;
Element B	a bus coupled to the module and configured to receive the data from the interface; and
Element C	a processor coupled to the bus.

140. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported computing devices, including computers which include a Serial ATA interface ("Accused Acer Computers"). The Accused Acer Computers include, for example, at least Acer Spin 7, Acer Aspire R 14, Acer Aspire V Nitro, Acer Nitro 5 Spin, Acer Predator 17, Acer Predator Helios 300 devices, Acer Swift 1, Acer Spin 3, Acer Aspire 7, Acer Swift 5 Pro, Acer Switch 7, Acer Chromebook CXI3, Acer Swift 3, Acer Swift 5, Acer Switch Alpha 12.

- 141. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported the Accused Acer Computers that meet each and every element of Claim 16 of the '454 Patent.
- 142. Upon information and belief, Accused Acer Computers include a Serial ATA interface which is connected to a data storage device, for example a hard disk drive or a solid state drive.
- 143. Accused Acer Computers include an interface, e.g., the Serial ATA interface, coupled to the first buffer, e.g., the receive FIFO, and is operable to receive the data stored in the first buffer. The Serial ATA interface is coupled to the receive FIFO and receives the stored data.
- 144. Accused Acer Computers include a bus, e.g., a serial link, coupled to the module and configured to receive the data from the interface. The data is transferred from the Serial ATA interface across a serial link.
- 145. Accused Acer Computers include a processor, e.g., a Central Processing Unit coupled to the bus that processes received data.
- 146. The technology claimed in Claim 16 was not well understood, routine, or conventional at the time that the application was filed and provided a technological solution to a technological problem rooted in computer technology.
- 147. Acer had knowledge of the '454 Patent and allegations of how the Accused Acer Computers infringe Claim 16 of the '454 Patent since at least as early as March 16, 2018.
- 148. Additionally, Acer induced direct infringement of Claim 16 of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale Accused Acer Computers that that were designed and intended to practice the system covered by Claim 16 of the '454 Patent. With knowledge of the '454 Patent and knowledge of the infringing nature of Accused Acer Computers (or, at a minimum, willful blindness thereto), Acer has encouraged end

users to directly infringe the '454 Patent by using these devices by, as an example, directing and controlling end users' storing of data onto data storage devices.

149. As a direct and proximate result of Acer's acts of patent infringement, Shamrock has been and continues to be injured and has sustained damages.

COUNT X: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PAT. 9,535,454 CLAIM 17

- 150. Shamrock reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 149 of this Complaint as though set forth fully here.
 - 151. Claim 17 of the '454 Patent provides:

Preamble to Claim 17	The system of claim 1, wherein:
Element A	the module is further configured to generate a clock signal, for use by the module, using the first serial data.

- 152. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported computing devices, including computers which include a Serial ATA interface and a hard disk drive ("Accused Acer Computers"). The Accused Acer Computers include, for example, at least Acer Spin 7, Acer Aspire R 14, Acer Aspire V Nitro, Acer Nitro 5 Spin, Acer Predator 17, Acer Predator Helios 300 devices, Acer Swift 1, Acer Spin 3, Acer Aspire 7, Acer Swift 5 Pro, Acer Switch 7, Acer Chromebook CXI3, Acer Swift 3, Acer Swift 5, Acer Switch Alpha 12.
- 153. Acer made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported the Accused Acer Computers that meet each and every element of Claim 17 of the '454 Patent.
- 154. Upon information and belief, Accused Acer Computers include a Serial ATA interface which is connected to a data storage device, for example a hard disk drive or a solid state drive.

- 155. Accused Acer Computers are configured to generate a clock signal using the first serial data (e.g., incoming serial data "RX"). The clock signal (e.g., "recovered clock") is derived from the incoming high speed second serial data for use by the module (e.g., a computing module) to determine when parallel data has been properly formed from the incoming serial data.
- 156. The technology claimed in Claim 17 was not well understood, routine, or conventional at the time that the application was filed and provided a technological solution to a technological problem rooted in computer technology.
- 157. Acer had knowledge of the '454 Patent sand allegations of how the Accused Acer Computers infringe Claim 17 of the '454 Patent since at least as early as March 16, 2018.
- 158. Direct infringement of Claim 17 of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) occurred when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale the Accused Acer Computers that meet Claim 17 of the '454 Patent.
- 159. Additionally, Acer induced direct infringement of Claim 17 of the '454 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) when Acer made, imported, used, sold and/or offered for sale Accused Acer Computers that that were designed and intended to practice the system covered by Claim 17 of the '454 Patent. With knowledge of the '454 Patent and knowledge of the infringing nature of Accused Acer Computers (or, at a minimum, willful blindness thereto), Acer has encouraged end users to directly infringe the '454 Patent by, as an example, directing and controlling end users' storing of data onto data storage devices.
- 160. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's acts of patent infringement, Shamrock has been and continues to be injured and has sustained damages.

WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT

- 161. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the above identified claims of the Patents-in-Suit despite its knowledge of the '675 and '454 Patents at least as early as March 16, 2018; specific knowledge of how Defendant's accused products infringed the '675 and '454 Patents since March 16, 2018; and the objectively high likelihood that its actions constitute patent infringement.
- 162. Acer's infringement of the Patents-in-Suit is willful and deliberate and its actions constitute egregious misconduct, including refusing to take a license, having knowledge of the patents-in-suit and notice of the infringement but having no reasonable factual basis for non-infringement or invalidity. This willful misconduct by Acer entitles Shamrock to enhanced damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

JURY DEMAND

Shamrock demands a trial by jury on all issues that may be so tried.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Shamrock requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor and against Defendants Acer America Corporation and Acer, Inc. as follows:

- A. Adjudging, finding, and declaring that Acer has infringed the above-identified claims of each of the Patents-in-Suit under 35 U.S.C. § 271;
- B. Awarding the past and future damages arising out of Acer's infringement of the Patents-in-Suit to Shamrock in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;

- C. Adjudging, finding, and declaring that Acer's infringement is willful and awarding enhanced damages and fees as a result of that willfulness under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
 - D. Adjudging, finding, and declaring that the Patents-in-Suit are valid and enforceable;
- E. Awarding attorney's fees, costs, or other damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 or 285 or as otherwise permitted by law; and
- F. Granting Shamrock such other further relief as is just and proper, or as the Court deems appropriate.

DATED: July 29, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Alison Aubry Richards
Alison Aubry Richards
IL Bar # 6285669 (also admitted in WD Texas)
arichards@giplg.com
Global IP Law Group, LLC
55 West Monroe Street, Suite 3400
Chicago, IL 60603
(312) 241-1500

Attorney for Plaintiff Shamrock Innovations, LLC