3. 1 This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United 2 States, Title 35 of the United States Code. 3 This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 4 1338(a). 5 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in 6 systematic and continuous business activities in this District, and is incorporated in this District's 7 state. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this 8 action within this District. 9 VENUE 10 6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has 11 committed acts of patent infringement in this District, has an established place of business in this 12 District, and is incorporated in this District's state. In addition, Plaintiff has suffered harm in this 13 district. 14 PATENT-IN-SUIT 15 7. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 16 6,917,304 (the "304 Patent"); (the "Patent-in-Suit"); including all rights to enforce and prosecute 17 actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the 18 Patent-in-Suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the 19 present action for infringement of the Patent-in-Suit by Defendant. 20 The '304 Patent 21 8. The '304 Patent is entitled "Wireless mutliplex data transmission system," and 22 issued 7/12/2005. The application leading to the '304 Patent was filed on 4/3/1998. A true and correct 23 copy of the '304 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference. 24 9. The '304 Patent is valid and enforceable. 25 COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '304 PATENT 10. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference. 26 11. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or 27 more claims of the '304 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling 28

1	and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts				
2	incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at				
3	least the exemplary claims of the '304 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this				
4	Count below (the "Exemplary '304 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On				
5	information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '304 Patent have bee				
6	made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.				
7	12.	Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine			
8	of equivalents, the Exemplary '304 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use				
9	these Exemplary Products.				
0	13.	Exhibit 2 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '304 Patent Claims to the			
1	Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products				
2	practice the technology claimed by the '304 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Produc				
3	incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '304 Patent Claims.				
4	14.	Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim chart			
5	of Exhibit 2.				
6	15.	Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's			
7	infringement.				
8		JURY DEMAND			
9	16.	Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully			
20	requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.				
21	Prayer for Relief				
22	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:				
23	A.	A judgment that the '304 Patent is valid and enforceable;			
24	В.	A judgment that Defendant has infringed one or more claims of the '304 Patent;			
25					
26	C.	An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;			
27	D.	A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284			
28		for Defendant's past infringement, and any continuing or future infringement of			

1	the Patent-in-Suit, up until the date such judgment is entered, including pre- or				
2	post-judgment interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284				
3	and, if necessary, to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement,				
4	an accounting:				
5					
6	i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285				
7	and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendant				
8	that it incurs in prosecuting this action;				
9	ii. that Plaintiff be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this				
10	action; and				
11	iii. that Plaintiff be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court				
12		leems just and proper.	1 7		
13		ieems just and proper.			
14	July 30, 2020		Respectfully submitted, /s/Steven W. Ritcheson		
15	•		Steven W. Ritcheson, Esq. INSIGHT, PLC 578 Washington Blvd., #503		
16	OF COUNSEL:				
17	Isaac Rabicoff RABICOFF LAW	VIIC	Marina del Rey, CA 90292 Telephone: (818) 744-8714		
18	5680 King Centre		Fax: (818) 337-0383		
	Alexandria, VA 2		Email: swritcheson@insightplc.com		
19	773.669.4590 isaac@rabilaw.co	om			
20			Attorneys for Plaintiff Magnacross LLC		
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on July 30, 2020, I electronically filed the above documents with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF which will send electronic notification of such filings to all registered counsel. /s/Steven W. Ritcheson Steven W. Ritcheson

PLAINTIFF MAGNACROSS LLC'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT