	Case 2:20-cv-08918 Document 1 Filed 09/2	/20 Page 1 of 18	Page ID #:1		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Steven Ritcheson (SBN 174062) Insight, PLC 578 Washington Boulevard #503 Marina del Rey, California 90291 Phone: (424) 289-9191 swritcheson@insightplc.com Howard L. Wernow (<i>pro hac vice forthcoming</i>) Sand, Sebolt & Wernow Co., LPa Aegis Tower - Suite 1100 4940 Munson Street, N. W. Canton, Ohio 44718 Phone: (330) 244-1174 howard.wernow@sswip.com Attorneys for Plaintiff HARMONY LICENSING LLC IN THE UNITED STAT	S DISTRICT CO	URT		
14					
15	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
16 17	HARMONY LICENSING LLC,	Civil Action No.:			
18	Plaintiff,				
19	v.	TRIAL BY JURY	Y DEMANDED		
20	DATALOGIC USA, INC.,				
21	Defendant.				
22 23	COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT				
23 24					
25	Now comes Plaintiff, Harmony Licensing LLC ("Plaintiff" or "Harmony"),				
26	by and through undersigned counsel, and respectfully alleges, states, and prays as				
27	follows:				
28	1				
	COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT				

1 \mathbf{r}

NATURE OF THE ACTION

2	1. This is an action for patent infringement under the Patent Laws of the				
3	United States, Title 35 United States Code ("U.S.C.") to prevent and enjoin				
4					
5	Defendant Datalogic USA, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant"), from infringing and				
6	profiting, in an illegal and unauthorized manner, and without authorization and/or				
7	consent from Plaintiff from U.S. Patent No. RE42,219 ("the '219 Patent" or the "Patent-in-Suit"), which is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by				
8					
9	Patent-in-Suit), which is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by				
10	reference, and pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271, and to recover damages, attorney's fees,				
11	and costs.				
12	THE PARTIES				
13 14					
14	2. Plaintiff is a Texas limited liability company with its principal place of				
16	business at 5570 FM 423 – Suite 250-2066, Frisco, Texas 75034.				
17	3. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized under				
18	the laws of New York, having a principal place of business at 959 Terry Street,				
19	Eugene, Oregon 97402. Upon information and belief, Defendant may be served with				
20 21	process c/o CSC – Lawyers Incorporating Service, 2710 Gateway Oaks Drive –				
21					
23	Suite 150N, Sacramento, California 95833.				
24	4. Upon information and belief, Defendant owns, operates, or maintains a				
25	physical presence at 55 West Del Mar Boulevard, Pasadena, California 91105,				
26	which is in this judicial district.				
27					
28					
	2 COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT				

3

4

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This is an action for patent infringement in violation of the Patent Act of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§1 *et seq*.

5

6

12

6. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).

7 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant by virtue of its
9 9 systematic and continuous contacts with this jurisdiction and its residence in this
10 10 District, as well as because the injury to Plaintiff and the cause of action alleged by
11 Plaintiff has risen in this District, as alleged herein.

Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal 8. 13 14 jurisdiction pursuant to its substantial business in this forum, including: (i) 15 committing at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein in this judicial 16 District; (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent 17 18 courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services 19 provided to individuals in this forum state and in this judicial District; and (iii) 20 having a physical presence in this District. 21

9. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1400(b),
because Defendant resides in this district. See *TC Heartland v. Kraft Foods Group Brands LLC*, 137 S. Ct. 1514 (2017); *In re Google, LLC*, No. 2019-126, 2020 U.S.
App. LEXIS 4588 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 13, 2020).

27 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. On March 15, 2011, the United States Patent and Trademark Office
("USPTO") duly and legally issued the '219 Patent, entitled "MULTIPLE-INPUT
MULTIPLE-OUTPUT (MIMO) SPREAD SPECTRUM SYSTEM AND
METHOD" after a full and fair examination. The '219 Patent is attached hereto as
Exhibit A and incorporated herein as if fully rewritten.

9 11. Plaintiff is presently the owner of the '219 Patent, having received all
10 right, title and interest in and to the '219 Patent from the previous assignee of
11 record. Plaintiff possesses all rights of recovery under the '219 Patent, including the
13 exclusive right to recover for past infringement.

14 12. To the extent required, Plaintiff has complied with all marking
15 requirements under 35 U.S.C. § 287.

The invention claimed in the '219 Patent provides a system and method 13. 17 18 for transmitting a plurality of spread-spectrum signals over a communications 19 channel having fading. The plurality of spread-spectrum signals are radiated by a 20 plurality of antennas, with each antenna preferably spaced by one-quarter 21 22 wavelength. A plurality of receiver antennas receive the plurality of spread-23 spectrum signals and a plurality of fading spread-spectrum signals. Each receiver 24 antenna is coupled to a plurality of matched filters having a respective plurality of 25 26 impulse responses matched to the chip-sequence signals of the plurality of spread 27 spectrum signals. A RAKE and space-diversity combiner combines, for each 28

respective chip-sequence signal, a respective plurality of detected spread-spectrum 1 2 signals and a respective multiplicity of detected-multipath-spread spectrum signals, 3 to generate a plurality of combined signals. The symbol amplitudes can be measured 4 and erasure decoding employed to improve performance. 5 6 14. Claim 1 of the '219 Patent claims: 7 1. A multiple-input-multiple-output (MIMO) method for receiving data 8 having symbols, with the data having symbols demultiplexed into a plurality of subchannels of data, with the plurality of subchannels of 9 data spread-spectrum processed with a plurality of chip-sequence 10 signals, respectively, with each chip-sequence signal different from other chip-sequence signals in the plurality of chip-sequence signals, 11 thereby generating a plurality of spread-spectrum subchannel signals, 12 respectively, with the plurality of spread-spectrum-subchannel signals radiated, using radio waves, from a plurality of antennas as a plurality 13 of spread spectrum signals, respectively, with the plurality of spread 14 spectrum signals passing through a communications channel having

multipath, thereby generating, from the plurality of spread-spectrum signals, at least a first spread-spectrum signal having a first channel of data arriving from a first path of the multipath, and a second spreadspectrum signal having a second channel of data arriving from a second path of the multipath, comprising the steps of:

receiving the first spread-spectrum signal and

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the second spread-spectrum signal with a plurality of receiver antennas;

detecting, at each receiver antenna of the plurality of receiver antennas, the first spread-spectrum signal as a first plurality of detected spread-spectrum signals, respectively;

detecting, at each receiver antenna of the plurality of receiver antennas, the second spread-spectrum signal as a second plurality of detected spread-spectrum signals, respectively;

combining, from each receiver antenna of the plurality of receiver antennas, each of the first plurality of detected spread-spectrum signals, thereby generating a first combined signal; and

combining, from each receiver antenna of the plurality of receiver antennas, each of the second plurality of detected spreadspectrum signals, thereby generating a second combined signal.

	Case 2:20-cv-08918 Document 1 Filed 09/29/20 Page 6 of 18 Page ID #:6			
1	15. Claim 25 of the '219 Patent claims:			
2	25. A multiple input multiple output (MIMO) method			
3	improvement, for transmitting data having symbols, over a			
4	communications channel, comprising the steps of: demultiplexing the data into a plurality of subchannels of data;			
5	spread-spectrum processing the plurality of subchannels of data, with			
	the plurality of subchannels of data spread-spectrum processed			
6	with a plurality of chip sequence signals, respectively, with each chip-sequence signal different from other chip-sequence signals			
7	in the plurality of chip-sequence signals, thereby generating a			
8	plurality of spread-spectrum-subchannel signals, respectively;			
9	radiating from a plurality of antennas, using radio waves, the plurality of spread-spectrum-subchannel signals, over the communications			
10	channel, as a plurality of spread-spectrum signals, respectively;			
11	imparting, from the communications channel, multipath on the plurality			
12	of spread-spectrum signals, thereby generating at least a first spread-spectrum signal having a first channel of data arriving			
13	from a first path of the multipath, and a second spread-spectrum			
	signal having a second channel of data arriving from a second			
14	path of the multipath; receiving the first spread-spectrum signal and the second spread-			
15	spectrum signal with a plurality of receiver antennas;			
16	detecting, at each receiver antenna of the plurality of receiver antennas,			
17	the first spread-spectrum signal and the second spread-spectrum signal, as a first plurality of detected spread-spectrum signals and			
18	a second plurality of detected spread-spectrum signals,			
19	respectively;			
20	combining, from each receiver antenna of the plurality of receiver antennas, each of the first plurality of detected spread-spectrum			
21	signals, thereby generating a first combined signal; and			
22	combining, from each receiver antenna of the plurality of receiver			
	antennas, each of the second plurality of detected spread- spectrum signals, thereby generating a second combined signal.			
23 24				
25	16. Defendant commercializes, inter alia, methods that perform all the steps			
23	recited in at least one claim of the '8219 Patent. More particularly, Defendant			
27	commercializes, inter alia, methods that perform all the steps recited in Claims 1,			
28				
20	6			
	COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT			

and 25of the '219 Patent. Specifically, Defendant makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, or imports a method that encompasses that which is covered by Claims 1 and 25 of the '219 Patent.

4 5

1

2

3

DEFENDANT'S PRODUCT(S)

6 Defendant offers products, such as Datalogic's Lynx PDA (the 17. 7 "Accused Product") that, at least in internal testing and usages, utilized by the 8 accused product practices a multiple-input-multiple-output (MIMO) method (e.g., 9 10 MIMO antenna system for receiving data) for receiving data having symbols (e.g., 11 data symbols such as QAM data symbols), with the data having symbols (e.g., high 12 speed data stream symbols) demultiplexed into a plurality of subchannels (e.g., 13 14 demultiplexing of data into multiple data subchannels) of data, with the plurality of 15 subchannels (e.g., multiple data streams) of data spread-spectrum processed with a 16 plurality of chip-sequence signals (e.g., spreading code), respectively, with each 17 18 chip-sequence signal (e.g., spreading code) different from other chip-sequence 19 signals (e.g., spreading code) in the plurality of chip-sequence signals (e.g., 20 spreading code), thereby generating a plurality of spread-spectrum-subchannel 21 22 signals (e.g., multiple spread-spectrum signals corresponding to multiple 23 subchannels), respectively, with the plurality of spread-spectrum-subchannel signals 24 radiated, using radio waves (e.g., EM waves), from a plurality of antennas (e.g., 25 26 MIMO antenna system for data transmission) as a plurality of spread-spectrum 27 signals, respectively, with the plurality of spread-spectrum signals passing through a 28

COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT

11

communications channel (e.g., radio waves) having multipath (e.g., a multipath 2 fading environment) from the plurality of spread-spectrum signals, at least a first 3 spread-spectrum signal (e.g., a spread-spectrum signal corresponding to a first 4 spreading code) having a first channel (e.g., a first data stream) of data arriving from 5 6 a first path of the multipath, and a second spread-spectrum signal (e.g., a spread-7 spectrum signal corresponding to a second spreading code) having a second channel 8 (e.g., a second data stream) of data arriving from a second path of the multipath. 9

10 A non-limiting and exemplary claim chart comparing the Accused 18. Product to Claims 1 and 25 of the '219 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B and is 12 incorporated herein as if fully rewritten. 13

14 19. For example, as recited in one step of Claim 1, the Accused Product 15 utilizes multiple input and multiple output antennas (multiple antennas within 16 HSPA+ base station and devices) for sending and receiving multiple signals 17 18 (cellular data) into a communication channel (Cellular communication channel). See 19 Ex. B. 20

20. The Accused Product has HSPA+ capabilities. The accused product 21 22 converts incoming data stream into data-symbols and divide it into multiple streams 23 distinct from each other and incoming data stream. See Ex. B. 24

21. The Accused Product processes demultiplexed multiple data streams 25 26 with multiple spreading codes, respectively; and thereby distributes each signal 27 28

across the available bandwidth. The accused product generates multiple spreadspectrum subchannel signals correspond to multiple data streams. See Ex. B.

22. The Accused Product processes demultiplexed multiple data streams with multiple spreading codes, respectively; and thereby distributes each signal across the available bandwidth. The Accused Product generates multiple spreadspectrum subchannel signals correspond to multiple data streams. The Accused Product receives signals irradiated through multiple antennas corresponding to data which has been processed with one or more codes (spreading codes) that distribute and increase the bandwidth of the data across the available bandwidth. See Ex. B.

Additionally, as recited in another step of Claim 1, the Accused Product
 practices receiving the first spread-spectrum signal (e.g., the spread-spectrum signal
 corresponding to the first spreading code) and the second spread-spectrum signal
 (e.g., the spread-spectrum signal corresponding to the second spreading code) with a
 plurality of receiver antennas (e.g., multiple antenna system of the accused product).
 See Ex. B.

24. As recited in another step of Claim 1, the Accused Product practices
detecting, at each receiver antenna of the plurality of receiver antennas, the first
spread-spectrum signal (e.g., spread-spectrum signal corresponding to a first
spreading code) as a first plurality of detected spread-spectrum signals, respectively.
See Ex. B.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

28

25. The Accused Product receives signals at its multiple antennas. The accused product determines the presence of and recovers the first spread-spectrum signal (a first spread-spectrum signal corresponding to a first spreading code) received at each antenna port, with the first spread-spectrum signal (the first spread-spectrum signal corresponding to the first spreading code) being multipath signal. See Ex. B.

9 26. As recited in another step of Claim 1, the Accused Product practices
10 detecting, at each receiver antenna of the plurality of receiver antennas, the second
11 spread-spectrum signal (e.g., the spread-spectrum signal corresponding to the
13 second spreading code) as a second plurality of detected spread-spectrum signals,
14 respectively. See Ex. B.

15
16
17 As recited in another step of Claim 1, the Accused Product practices
18
18 the first plurality of detected spread-spectrum signals (e.g., the spread-spectrum
19
20
20
21 combined signal. See Ex. B.

22 28. Additionally, as recited in another step of Claim 1, the Accused Product
23 practices combining, from each receiver antenna of the plurality of receiver
24 antennas, each of the second plurality of detected spread-spectrum signals (e.g., the
26 spread-spectrum signal corresponding to the second spreading code), thereby
27 generating a second combined signal. See Ex. B.

28

29. As recited in one step of Claim 25, a system, at least in internal testing 2 and usages, utilized by the Accused Product practices a multiple-input-multiple-3 output (MIMO) (e.g., MIMO antenna system) method improvement, for 4 transmitting data having symbols (e.g., data symbols such as QAM symbols), over a 5 6 communications channel (e.g., HSPA+ physical communication channel). See Ex. 7 Β. 8

The accused product utilizes multiple input and multiple output 30. 9 10 antennas (multiple antennas within HSPA+ base station and devices) for sending 11 and receiving multiple signals (cellular data) into a communication channel 12 (Cellular communication channel). See Ex. B. 13

- 14 31. Additionally, as recited in another step of Claim 25, the system, at least 15 in internal testing and usages, utilized by the Accused Product practices 16 demultiplexing the data (e.g., demultiplexing of data into multiple subchannels of 17 18 data) into a plurality of subchannels of data. See Ex. B.
- 19 As recited in another step of Claim 25, the system, at least in internal 32. 20 testing and usages, utilized by the accused product practices spread-spectrum (e.g., 21 22 spreading) processing the plurality of subchannels of data (e.g., demultiplexed 23 multiple data streams), with the plurality of subchannels of data spread-spectrum 24 processed with a plurality of chip-sequence signals (e.g., spreading code), 25 26 respectively, with each chip-sequence signal different from other chip-sequence 27

signals in the plurality of chip-sequence signals, thereby generating a plurality of spread-spectrum-subchannel signals, respectively. See Ex. B.

33. As recited in another step of Claim 25, the system, at least in internal testing and usages, utilized by the Accused Product practices radiating from a plurality of antennas (e.g., MIMO antenna system), using radio waves, the plurality of spread-spectrum-subchannel signals (e.g., spread-spectrum signals outputted after spreading the signals with multiple spreading codes), over the communications channel (e.g., HPSA+ physical communication channel), as a plurality of spread-spectrum signals (e.g., spread-spectrum signals (e.g., spread-spectrum signals with multiple spreading codes), respectively. See Ex. B.

As recited in another step of Claim 25, the system, at least in internal 34. testing and usages, utilized by the Accused Product practices imparting, from the communications channel, multipath (e.g., a multipath fading environment) on the plurality of spread-spectrum signals, thereby generating at least a first spread-spectrum signal (e.g., a spread-spectrum signal with a first spreading code) having a first channel (e.g., a first data stream) of data arriving from a first path of the multipath, and a second spread-spectrum signal (e.g., a spread-spectrum signal with a second spreading code) having a second channel (e.g., a second data stream) of data arriving from a second path of the multipath.

35. As recited in another step of Claim 25, the Accused Product practices
receiving the first spread-spectrum signal (e.g., the spread-spectrum signal

corresponding to the first spreading code) and the second spread-spectrum signal (e.g., the spread-spectrum signal corresponding to the second spreading code) with a plurality of receiver antennas (e.g., multiple antenna system of the accused product). See Ex. B.

6 36. Additionally, as recited in another step of Claim 25, the Accused 7 Product practices detecting, at each receiver antenna of the plurality of receiver 8 antennas, the first spread-spectrum signal (e.g., the spread-spectrum signal 9 10 corresponding to the first spreading code) and the second spread-spectrum signal 11 (e.g., the spread-spectrum signal corresponding to the second spreading code), as a 12 first plurality of detected spread-spectrum signals (e.g., the plurality of the spread-13 14 spectrum signal corresponding to the first spreading code received at both the 15 antennas of the accused product) and a second plurality of detected spread-spectrum 16 signals (e.g., e.g., the plurality of the spread-spectrum signal corresponding to the 17 18 second spreading code received at both the antennas of the accused product), 19 respectively. See Ex. B. 20

1

2

3

4

5

As recited in another step of Claim 25, the Accused Product practices 37. combining, from each receiver antenna of the plurality of receiver antennas, each of the first plurality of detected spread-spectrum signals (e.g., the spread-spectrum signal corresponding to the first spreading code), thereby generating a first 26 combined signal. See Ex. B.

27

28

1	38. As recited in another step of Claim 25, the Accused Product practices			
2	combining, from each receiver antenna of the plurality of receiver antennas, each of			
3 4	the second plurality of detected spread-spectrum signals (e.g., the spread-spectrum			
5	signal corresponding to the second spreading code), thereby generating a second			
6	combined signal. See Ex. B.			
7 8	39. The elements described in the preceding paragraphs are covered by at			
8 9	least Claims 1 and 25 of the '219 Patent. Thus, Defendant's use of the Accused			
10	Product is enabled by the method described in the '219 Patent.			
11	INFRINGEMENT OF THE PATENT-IN-SUIT			
12 13	40. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations			
14	set forth in the preceding paragraphs			
15	41. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant is now, and has been			
16				
17	directly infringing the '219 Patent.			
18 19	42. Defendant has had knowledge of infringement of the '219 Patent at			
20	least as of the service of the present Complaint.			
21	43. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe at			
22	least one claim of the '219 Patent by using, at least through internal testing or			
23	otherwise, the Accused Product without authority in the United States, and will			
24	continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. As a direct and proximate result of			
25 26				
27	Defendant's direct infringement of the '219 Patent, Plaintiff has been and continues			
28	to be damaged.			
	14			

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

44. Defendant has induced others to infringe the '219 Patent, by encouraging infringement, knowing that the acts Defendant induced constituted patent infringement, and its encouraging acts actually resulted in direct patent infringement.

45. By engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendant has injured Plaintiff and is thus liable for infringement of the '219 Patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

46. Defendant has committed these acts of infringement without license or
authorization.

47. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '219 Patent, Plaintiff has
suffered monetary damages and is entitled to a monetary judgment in an amount
adequate to compensate for Defendant's past infringement, together with interests
and costs.

48. Plaintiff will continue to suffer damages in the future unless
Defendant's infringing activities are enjoined by this Court. As such, Plaintiff is
entitled to compensation for any continuing and/or future infringement up until the
date that Defendant is finally and permanently enjoined from further infringement.

49. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify its infringement theories as
discovery progresses in this case; it shall not be estopped for infringement
contention or claim construction purposes by the claim charts that it provides with
this Complaint. The claim chart depicted in Exhibit B is intended to satisfy the

notice requirements of Rule 8(a)(2) of the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure and does 1 2 not represent Plaintiff's preliminary or final infringement contentions or preliminary 3 or final claim construction positions. 4 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 5 6 50. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all causes of action. 7 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF** 8 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief: 9 10 a. That Defendant be adjudged to have directly infringed the '219 Patent 11 either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents; 12 b. An accounting of all infringing sales and damages including, but not 13 14 limited to, those sales and damages not presented at trial; 15 c. That Defendant, its officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, 16 attorneys, affiliates, divisions, branches, parents, and those persons in active concert 17 18 or participation with any of them, be permanently restrained and enjoined from 19 directly infringing the '219 Patent; 20 d. An award of damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §284, sufficient to 21 22 compensate Plaintiff for the Defendant's past infringement and any continuing or 23 future infringement up until the date that Defendant is finally and permanently 24 enjoined from further infringement, including compensatory damages; 25 26 27 28 16 COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT

¢	ase 2:20-cv-08918 Document 1 Filed	09/29/20 Page 17 of 18 Page ID #:17			
1	e. An assessment of pre-judg	gment and post-judgment interest and costs			
2	against Defendant, together with an award of such interest and costs, in accordance				
3	with 35 U.S.C. §284;				
4	f That Defendant be directed to new enhanced domages including Plaintiff's				
5	f. That Defendant be directed to pay enhanced damages, including Plaintiff's				
6	attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this lawsuit pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §285;				
7 8	and				
8 9	g. That Plaintiff be granted su	uch other and further relief as this Court may			
10	deem just and proper.				
11					
12	Dated: September 29, 2020	Respectfully submitted,			
13		$\frac{ s }{2}$			
14		Steven Ritcheson Insight, PLC			
15		578 Washington Boulevard #503			
16		Marina del Rey, California 90291 Phone: (424) 289-9191			
17		swritcheson@insightplc.com			
18		Together with:			
19		SAND, SEBOLT & WERNOW CO., LPA			
20					
21		Howard L. Wernow (pro hac vice forthcoming)			
22					
23		Aegis Tower – Suite 1100 4940 Munson Street NW			
24		Canton, Ohio 44718 Phone: 330-244-1174			
25 26		Fax: 330-244-1174			
20		Email: Howard.Wernow@sswip.com			
28		ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF			
-					
	COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT				

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	
4 5 6 7 8 9	
6 7 8 9	
7 8 9	
8 9	
9	
10	
11	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
18 COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT	