

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

<p>Inspire Licensing LLC, Plaintiff, v. Denso Manufacturing Michigan, Inc., Defendant.</p>	<p>Case No. 2:20-cv-316 Patent Case Jury Trial Demanded</p>
--	---

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Inspire Licensing LLC (“Plaintiff”), through its attorneys, complains of Denso Manufacturing Michigan, Inc. (“Defendant”), and alleges the following:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Inspire Licensing LLC is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware that maintains its principal place of business at 5570 FM 423, Suite 250-2046, Frisco, TX 75034.

2. Defendant Denso Manufacturing Michigan, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Michigan that maintains an established place of business at 2701 W. Plano PKWY Suite 600 Plano, TX 75075.

JURISDICTION

3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

4. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

VENUE

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District, and has an established place of business in this District.

PATENTS-IN-SUIT

7. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent Nos. 10,005,427; 9,764,712 (the “Patents-in-Suit”); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patents-in-Suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patents-in-Suit by Defendant.

THE ’427 PATENT

8. The ’427 Patent is entitled “Sensor data anomaly detector,” and issued 06/26/2018. The application leading to the ’427 Patent was filed on 09/18/2017. A true and correct copy of the ’427 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

9. The ’427 Patent is valid and enforceable.

THE ’712 PATENT

10. The ’712 Patent is entitled “Sensor data anomaly detector,” and issued 09/19/2017. The application leading to the ’712 Patent was filed on 04/09/2014. A true and

correct copy of the '712 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2 and incorporated herein by reference.

11. The '712 Patent is valid and enforceable.

COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '427 PATENT

12. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

13. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '427 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '427 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '427 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '427 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

14. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '427 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

15. Exhibit 3 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '427 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '427 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '427 Patent Claims.

16. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 3.

17. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendants infringement.

COUNT 2: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '712 PATENT

18. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

19. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '712 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '712 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '712 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '712 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

20. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '712 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

21. Exhibit 4 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '712 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '712 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '712 Patent Claims.

22. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 4.

23. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendants infringement.

JURY DEMAND

24. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the '427 Patent is valid and enforceable
- B. A judgment that the '712 Patent is valid and enforceable
- C. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly one or more claims of the '427 Patent;
- D. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly one or more claims of the '712 Patent;
- E. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;
- F. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendants past infringement with respect to the '427 Patent.
- G. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendants past infringement with respect to the '712 Patent.
- H. And, if necessary, to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendants infringement, an accounting:

- i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys fees against Defendant that it incurs in prosecuting this action;
- ii. that Plaintiff be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and
- iii. that Plaintiff be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: September 29, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Isaac Rabicoff

Isaac Rabicoff

Rabicoff Law LLC

5680 King Centre Dr, Suite 645

Alexandria, VA 22315

(773) 669-4590

isaac@rabilaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

Inspire Licensing LLC