Steven W. Ritcheson, Esq. (SBN 174062) 1 Insight, PLC 578 Washington Blvd. #503 2 Marina del Rey, California 90292 3 (424) 289-9191 swritcheson@insightplc.com 4 Isaac Rabicoff 5 Rabicoff Law LLC 6 (Pro Hac Vice admission pending) 5680 King Centre Dr, Suite 645 7 Alexandria, VA 22315 (773) 669-4590 8 isaac@rabilaw.com 9 **Counsel for Plaintiff** 10 **Encoditech LLC** 11 12 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 14 15 Encoditech LLC, Case No. [insert] 16 Plaintiff, Patent Case 17 v. Jury Trial Demanded 18 IEI Technology USA Corporation, 19 20 Defendant. 21 22 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 23 Plaintiff Encoditech LLC ("Plaintiff"), through its attorneys, complains of IEI Technology USA 24 Corporation ("Defendant"), and alleges the following: 25 **PARTIES** 26 27 28

1. Plaintiff Encoditech LLC is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Texas that maintains its principal place of business at 6009 W Parker Rd, Ste 149 - 1037, Plano, TX 75093-8121.

 Defendant IEI Technology USA Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California that maintains an established place of business at 138 University Parkway, Pomona CA 91768.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.
- 4. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District and is incorporated in this District's state. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

# VENUE

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District and is incorporated in this District's state.

### PATENT-IN-SUIT

7. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 6,321,095 (the "Patent-in-Suit"); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patent-in-Suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patent-in-Suit by Defendant.

### THE '095 PATENT

- 8. The '095 Patent is entitled "Wireless communications approach," and issued 11/20/2001. The application leading to the '095 Patent was filed on 03/26/1999. A true and correct copy of the '095 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.
  - 9. The '095 Patent is valid and enforceable.

## COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '095 PATENT

- 10. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.
- 11. **Direct Infringement**. Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '095 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '095 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '095 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '095 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.
- 12. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '095 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.
- 13. Exhibit 2 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '095 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '095 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '095 Patent Claims.
  - 14. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts

of Exhibit 2.

15. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendants infringement.

### JURY DEMAND

16. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the '095 Patent is valid and enforceable
- B. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly one or more claims of the '095 Patent;
- C. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;
- D. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendants past infringement with respect to the '095 Patent.
- E. And, if necessary, to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendants infringement, an accounting:
  - that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that
     Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys fees against Defendant that it incurs in
     prosecuting this action;
  - ii. that Plaintiff be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and
  - iii. that Plaintiff be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Steven W. Ritcheson, Esq. (SBN 174062) Steven W. Ritcheson, Esq. (SBN 174062) Insight, PLC 578 Washington Blvd. #503 Marina del Rey, California 90292 (424) 289-9191 swritcheson@insightplc.com

Isaac Rabicoff

Rabicoff Law LLC

(Pro Hac Vice admission pending)

5680 King Centre Dr, Suite 645 Alexandria, VA 22315 (773) 669-4590 isaac@rabilaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Encoditech LLC