

1 Michael J. Glenn, SBN 89654
2 James M Donovan Law Offices
3 915 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 1610
4 Los Angeles, CA
5 213-629-4861
6 mglenn@thedonovanoffices.com

7 Isaac Rabicoff
8 **Rabicoff Law LLC**
9 (Pro Hac Vice admission to be filed)
10 5680 King Centre Dr, Suite 645
11 Alexandria, VA 22315
12 (773) 669-4590
13 isaac@rabilaw.com

14 **Counsel for Plaintiff**
15 **Cedar Lane Technologies Inc.**

16 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
17 **FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
18 **SOUTHERN DIVISION**

19 **Cedar Lane Technologies Inc.,**

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 **Webfurther, LLC,**

23 Defendant.

24 Case No. [insert]

25 Patent Case

26 Jury Trial Demanded

27 **COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

28 Plaintiff Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. (“Plaintiff”), through its attorneys, complains of
Webfurther, LLC (“Defendant”), and alleges the following:

PARTIES

1
2 1. Plaintiff Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the
3 laws of Canada that maintains its principal place of business at 560 Baker Street, Suite 1, Nelson, BC
4 V1L 4H9.

5 2. Defendant Webfurther, LLC is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of
6 California that maintains an established place of business at 19200 Von Karman Avenue., 6th
7 FloorIrvine, CA 92612.
8

9 **JURISDICTION**

10 3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United
11 States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

12 4. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and
13 1338(a).
14

15 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic
16 and continuous business activities in this District and is incorporated in this District's state. As described
17 below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this
18 District.
19

20 **VENUE**

21 6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has
22 committed acts of patent infringement in this District and is incorporated in this District's state.
23

24 **PATENT-IN-SUIT**

25 7. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No.
26 6,904,168 (the "Patent-in-Suit"); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement
27 and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patent-in-Suit. Accordingly,
28

1 Plaintiff possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of
2 the Patent-in-Suit by Defendant.

3 **THE '168 PATENT**

4 8. The '168 Patent is entitled "Workflow system for detection and classification of images
5 suspected as pornographic," and issued 06/07/2005. The application leading to the '168 Patent was
6 filed on 10/22/2001. A true and correct copy of the '168 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and
7 incorporated herein by reference.
8

9 9. The '168 Patent is valid and enforceable.

10 **COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '168 PATENT**

11 10. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

12 11. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or
13 more claims of the '168 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or
14 importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into
15 this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary
16 claims of the '168 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the
17 "Exemplary '168 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and
18 belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '168 Patent have been made, used, sold,
19 imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.
20
21

22 12. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of
23 equivalents, the Exemplary '168 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these
24 Exemplary Products.
25

26 13. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement.** The service of this Complaint upon Defendant
27 constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.
28

1 14. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for
2 sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '168 Patent. On
3 information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and
4 distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in
5 the customary and intended manner that infringes the '168 Patent. *See* Exhibit 2 (described below).
6

7 15. **Induced Infringement.** Defendant therefore actively, knowingly, and intentionally has
8 been and continues to induce infringement of the '168 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of
9 equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products
10 in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '168 Patent.
11

12 16. **Contributory Infringement.** Defendant therefore actively, knowingly, and
13 intentionally has been and continues materially contribute to their own customers infringement of the
14 '168 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to
15 their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '168
16 Patent. The Exemplary Defendant Products are especially made or adapted for infringing the '168
17 Patent and have no substantial non-infringing use. For example, in view of the preceding paragraphs,
18 the Exemplary Defendant Products contain functionality which is material to at least one claim of the
19 '168 Patent.
20

21 17. Exhibit 2 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '168 Patent Claims to the
22 Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products
23 practice the technology claimed by the '168 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant
24 Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '168 Patent Claims.
25

26 18. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts
27 of Exhibit 2.
28

1 19. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendants
2 infringement.

3 **JURY DEMAND**

4 20. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully
5 requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

6 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

7
8 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

- 9 A. A judgment that the '168 Patent is valid and enforceable
- 10 B. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly, contributorily, and/or induced
11 infringement of one or more claims of the '168 Patent;
- 12 C. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;
- 13 D. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for
14 Defendants past infringement with respect to the '168 Patent.
- 15 E. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for
16 Defendants continuing or future infringement, up until the date such judgment is entered
17 with respect to the '168 Patent, including pre- or post-judgment interest, costs, and
18 disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 19 F. And, if necessary, to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendants infringement, an
20 accounting:
- 21 i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that
22 Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys fees against Defendant that it incurs in
23 prosecuting this action;
- 24 ii. that Plaintiff be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action;
- 25
26
27
28 and

1 iii. that Plaintiff be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems
2 just and proper.

3
4
5
6 Dated: November 12, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

7 /s/ Michael J. Glenn
8 Michael J. Glenn, SBN 89654
9 James M Donovan Law Offices
10 915 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 1610
11 Los Angeles, CA
12 213-629-4861
13 mglenn@thedonovanoffices.com

14 Isaac Rabicoff
15 **Rabicoff Law LLC**
16 (Pro Hac Vice admission to be filed)

17 5680 King Centre Dr, Suite 645
18 Alexandria, VA 22315
19 (773) 669-4590
20 isaac@rabilaw.com

21 **Counsel for Plaintiff**
22 **Cedar Lane Technologies Inc.**
23
24
25
26
27
28