1				
2				
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
4				
5				
6				
7	REALM LICENSING LLC,	CASE NO. 20-CV-1759		
8	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT		
9	v.	INFRINGEMENT		
10	SMARTSHEET INC.,			
11	Defendant.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		
12				
13	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT			
14	Plaintiff Realm Licensing LLC ("Plaintiff"), through its attorneys, complains			
15	of Smartshoot Inc. ("Defendant") and allogoe the following:			
16	of Smartsheet Inc. ("Defendant"), and alleges the following:			
17	<u>PARTIES</u>			
18	1. Plaintiff Realm Licensin	g LLC is a corporation organized and existing		
19	under the laws of Texas that maintains its principal place of business at 5570 FM			
20				
21	423, Suite 250-2015, Frisco, TX 7503	04.		
22	2. Defendant Smartsheet	Inc. is a corporation organized and existing		
23	2. Defendant sinartsheet file. Is a corporation organized and ex			
24	under the laws of Washington that maintains an established place of business a			
25	10500 NE 8th St., Ste 1300, Bellevue, WA, 98004.			
26				
27	COMPLAINT	MANN LAW GROUP PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98101		
28	20-cv-1759- 1	Phone: 206-436-0900		

JURISDICTION

1	
2	
3	(
4	
5	
6	90
7	
8	
9	6
10	•
11	j
12	ć
13	
14	
15	
16]
17	j
18	
19	
20	
21	1
22	J
23]
24	ć
25	
26	
27	
28	

- 3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.
- 4. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District and is incorporated in this District's state. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

VENUE

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District and is incorporated in this District's state.

PATENTS-IN-SUIT

7. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent Nos. 6,324,551; 6,330,573; 7,996,356 (the "Patents-in-Suit"); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patents-in-Suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff

MANN LAW GROUP PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: 206-436-0900 possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patents-in-Suit by Defendant. THE '551 PATENT 8. The '551 Patent is entitled "Self-contained document management based on document properties," and issued 11/27/2001. The application leading to the '551 Patent was filed on 08/31/1998. A true and correct copy of the '551 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference. 9. The '551 Patent is valid and enforceable. THE '573 PATENT The '573 Patent is entitled "Maintaining document identity across 10. hierarchy and non-hierarchy file systems," and issued 12/11/2001. The application leading to the '573 Patent was filed on 08/31/1998. A true and correct copy of the '573 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2 and incorporated herein by reference. 11. 1The '573 Patent is valid and enforceable. THE '356 PATENT The '356 Patent is entitled "Text searching and categorization 12. tools," and issued 08/09/2011. The application leading to the '356 Patent was filed **COMPLAINT**

MANN LAW GROUP PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: 206-436-0900

20-cv-1759-3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

on 03/24/2005. A true and correct copy of the '356 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 3 and incorporated herein by reference.

13. The '356 Patent is valid and enforceable.

COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '551 PATENT

- 14. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.
- 15. **Direct Infringement**. Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '551 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '551 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '551 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '551 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.
- 16. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '551 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

MANN LAW GROUP PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: 206-436-0900

- 17. Exhibit 4 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '551 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '551 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '551 Patent Claims.
- 18. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 4.
- 19. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendants infringement.

COUNT 2: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '573 PATENT

- 20. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.
- 21. **Direct Infringement**. Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '573 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '573 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '573 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of

equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '573 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

- 22. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '573 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.
- 23. Exhibit 5 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '573 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '573 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '573 Patent Claims.
- 24. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 5.
- 25. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendants infringement.

COUNT 3: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '356 PATENT

26. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

27. **Direct Infringement**. Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '356 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '356 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '356 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '356 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

- 28. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '356 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.
- 29. Exhibit 6 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '356 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '356 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '356 Patent Claims.

	30.	Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein		
1	the claim charts of Exhibit 6.			
2				
3	31.	Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for		
4	Defendants infringement.			
5				
6	JURY DEMAND			
7	32.	Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff		
8	respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.			
9	Jeopeenany requests a true by Jany on an issues so that is			
10	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
11				
12	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:			
13	A	. A judgment that the '551 Patent is valid and enforceable		
1415	В.	A judgment that the '573 Patent is valid and enforceable		
16				
17	C.	A judgment that the '356 Patent is valid and enforceable		
18	D.	A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly one or more		
19		claims of the '551 Patent;		
20	E.	A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly one or more		
21				
22		claims of the '573 Patent;		
23	F.	A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly one or more		
24		claims of the '356 Patent;		
25	C	An accounting of all damages not presented at trials		
26	G.	An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;		
27	COMPLAINT 20-cv-1759- 8	MANN LAW GROUP PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98101		
28	_5 2, 1,55 0	Phone: 206-436-0900		

- H. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendants past infringement with respect to the '551 Patent.
- I. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendants past infringement with respect to the '573 Patent.
- J. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendants past infringement with respect to the '356 Patent.
- K. And, if necessary, to adequately compensate Plaintiff forDefendants infringement, an accounting:
 - i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys fees against Defendant that it incurs in prosecuting this action;
 - ii. that Plaintiff be awarded costs, and expenses that itincurs in prosecuting this action; and
 - iii. that Plaintiff be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 30th day of November, 2020.

1		D (DIVI D 16
2		By: <u>s/ <i>Philip P. Mann</i></u> Philip P. Mann, WSBA No. 28860
3		MANN LAW GROUP PLLC
4		1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98101
5		Telephone: (206) 436-0900
6		email: phil@mannlawgroup.com
7		Isaac Rabicoff
8		(Pro Hac Vice admission to be filed Rabicoff Law LLC
9		5680 King Centre Dr, Suite 645
10		Alexandria, VA 22315 (773) 669-4590
11		isaac@rabilaw.com
12		Counsel for Plaintiff
13		Celebration IP LLC
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	COMPLAINT	MANN LAW GROUP PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2200

20-cv-1759- 10

28

MANN LAW GROUP PLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: 206-436-0900