	I and the second		
1	Steven Ritcheson (SBN 174062)		
2	Insight, PLC 578 Washington Boulevard #503		
3	Marina del Rey, California 90291 Phone: (424) 289-9191		
4	swritcheson@insightplc.com		
5	Andrew S. Curfman (Pro hac vice forthcoming)		
6	Sand, Sebolt & Wernow Co., LPA Aegis Tower – Suite 1100		
7	4940 Munson Street NW Canton, Ohio 44718		
8	Telephone: (330) 244-1174		
9	Facsimile: (330) 244-1173 Email: andrew.curfman@sswip.com		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff Decatur Licensing LLC		
11			
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13 14			
15	DECATUR LICENSING LLC,	Civil Action No.:	
16	Plaintiff,		
17	v.	TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED	
18	ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL,		
19	Defendant.		
20			
21	COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT		
22	Now comes, Plaintiff Decatur Licensing LLC ("Plaintiff"), by and through undersigned		
23	counsel, and respectfully alleges, states, and prays as follows:		
24	NATURE OF THE ACTION		
25	1. This is an action for patent infringement under the Patent Laws of the United State		
26			
27	Title 35 United States Code ("U.S.C.") to prevent and enjoin Defendant ASUS Compute		
28	International (hereinafter "Defendant"), from infringing and profiting, in an illegal an COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT - 1		

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT - 2

unauthorized manner, and without authorization and/or consent from Plaintiff from U.S. Patent No. 9,706,467 (the "467 Patent" or the "Patent-in-Suit"), which is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference, and pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271, and to recover damages, attorney's fees, and costs.

THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff is a Texas limited liability company with its principal place of business at 6009 West Parket Road – Suite 149-1185, Plano, Texas 75093.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized under the laws of California, having a principal place of business at 48720 Kato Road, Fremont, California 94538. Upon information and belief, Defendant may be served with process c/o CT Corporation System, 818 West Seventh Street Suite 930, Los Angeles, California 90017.
- 4. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant operates the website www.asus.com, which is in the business of providing consumer computer and phone hardware and electronics. Defendant derives a portion of its revenue from sales and distribution via electronic transactions conducted on and using at least, but not limited to, its Internet website located at www.asus.com, and its incorporated and/or related systems or products (collectively the "ASUS Website"). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that, at all times relevant hereto, Defendant has done and continues to do business in this judicial district, including, but not limited to, providing products/services to customers located in this judicial district by way of the ASUS Website.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This is an action for patent infringement in violation of the Patent Act of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§1 *et seq*.

- 6. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).
- 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant by virtue of its systematic and continuous contacts with this jurisdiction and its residence in this District, as well as because of the injury to Plaintiff, and the cause of action Plaintiff has risen in this District, as alleged herein.
- 8. Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to its substantial business in this forum, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Delaware and in this judicial District; and (iii) being incorporated in this District.
- 9. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1400(b) because Defendant resides in this District under the Supreme Court's opinion in *TC Heartland v. Kraft Foods Group Brands LLC*, 137 S. Ct. 1514 (2017) through its incorporation, and regular and established place of business in this District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. On July 11, 2017, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") duly and legally issued the '467 Patent, entitled "COMMUNICATION CONTROL METHOD, BASE STATION, AND USER TERMINAL" after a full and fair examination. The '467 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein as if fully rewritten.
- 11. Plaintiff is presently the owner of the '467 Patent, having received all right, title and interest in and to the '467 Patent from the previous assignee of record. Plaintiff possesses all

rights of recovery under the '467 Patent, including the exclusive right to recover for past infringement.

- 12. To the extent required, Plaintiff has complied with all marking requirements under 35 U.S.C. § 287.
- 13. The invention claimed in the '467 Patent comprises a method for obtaining radio access network information.
 - 14. Claim 1 of the '467 Patent states:
 - "1. A communication control method in a mobile communication system including a first base station and at least one second base station having a smaller coverage area than the first base station, comprising:
 - a step A of transmitting location information indicating a location of the second base station from the first base station; and
 - a step B of transmitting proximity notification information to the first base station from a user terminal connected to the first base station after the user terminal receives the location information, the proximity notification information indicating that the user terminal is in proximity of the second base station and being used for making the user terminal use a different carrier from a carrier used by the second base station, wherein in the step A, the first base station transmits the location information indicating the location of the second base station while associating the location information with
 - the used carrier of the second base station, and the step A comprises a step of broadcasting, on each used carrier of the first base station, information indicating the used carrier of each second base station." *See* Exhibit A.
- 15. Defendant commercializes, inter alia, methods that perform all the steps recited in at least one claim of the '467 Patent. More particularly, Defendant commercializes, inter alia, methods that perform all the steps recited in Claim 1 of the '467 Patent. Specifically, Defendant COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 4

makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, or imports a method that encompasses that which is covered by Claim 1 of the '467 Patent.

DEFENDANT'S PRODUCTS

- 16. Defendant offers solutions, such as the "Asus ROG Phone 3" (the "Accused Product"), that enables a method for obtaining radio access network information. For example, the Accused Product will comply with and use the 5G standard. The 5G standard dictates a method for obtaining radio access network information. A non-limiting and exemplary claim chart comparing the Accused Product to Claim 1 of the '467 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated herein as if fully rewritten.
- 17. As recited in Claim 1, upon information and belief and in at least testing and usage, the Accused Product will comply with and use the 5G standard which dictates, receiving, by a second radio access network controller (e.g., eNB), first radio access network information request information (e.g. NAS message transport and/or Unified Access Control via X2) form a first radio access network controller (e.g., en-gB). *See* Exhibit B.
- 18. As recited in one step of Claim 1, upon information and belief, and in at least testing and usage, the Accused Product will comply with and use the 5G standard which dictates, receiving, by a second radio access network controller (e.g., eNB), first radio access network information request information (e.g., NAS Message transport and/or Unified Access Control via X2) form a first radio access network controller (e.g., en-gB). *See* Exhibit B.
- 19. As recited in another step of Claim 1, upon information and belief, and in at least testing and usage, the Accused Product will comply with and use the 5G standard which dictates, a first radio access network controller (e.g., en-gNB) that is an access network node of a first radio access network standard (e.g., 5G network standard). *See* Exhibit B.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT - 5

20. As recited in another step of Claim 1, upon information and belief and in at least testing and usage, the Accused Product will comply with and use the 5G standard which dictates, providing target radio access network information (e.g., S1 to eNB received from S-GW) that is radio access network information of a third radio access network controller (e.g., S-GW), the third radio access network controller being an access network node of a second radio access network standard (e.g., LTE). See Exhibit B.

- 21. As recited in another step of Claim 1, upon information and belief and in at least testing and usage, the Accused Product will comply with and use the 5G standard which dictates, using the first radio access network information request information (as above) to request the target radio access network information by using a first core network node (e.g., S1 to the MME) of the second radio access network standard (e.g., LTE). *See* Exhibit B.
- 22. As recited in another step of Claim 1, upon information and belief and in at least testing and usage, the Accused Product will comply with and use the 5G standard which dictates, sending, by the second radio access network controller (e.g., eNB), the target radio access network information (e.g., X2 to en-gNB) to the first radio access network controller (e.g., en-gNB). See Exhibit B.
- 23. The elements described in paragraphs 16-22 are covered by at least Claim 1 of the '467 Patent. Thus, Defendant's use of the Accused Product is enabled by the method described in the '467 Patent.

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '467 PATENT

24. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations set forth in the preceding Paragraphs.

- 25. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant is now, and has been directly infringing the '046 Patent.
- 26. Defendant has had knowledge of infringement of the '467 Patent at least as of the service of the present Complaint.
- 27. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe at least one claim of the '467Patent by using, at least through internal testing or otherwise, the Accused Product without authority in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's direct infringement of the '046 Patent, Plaintiff has been and continues to be damaged.
- 28. By engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendant has injured Plaintiff and is thus liable for infringement of the '467 Patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 29. Defendant has committed these acts of infringement without license or authorization.
- 30. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '467 Patent, Plaintiff has suffered monetary damages and is entitled to a monetary judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's past infringement, together with interests and costs.
- 31. Plaintiff will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendant's infringing activities are enjoined by this Court. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to compensation for any continuing and/or future infringement up until the date that Defendant is finally and permanently enjoined from further infringement.
- 32. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify its infringement theories as discovery progresses in this case; it shall not be estopped for infringement contention or claim construction purposes by the claim charts that it provides with this Complaint. The claim chart depicted in COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 7

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT - 8

Exhibit B is intended to satisfy the notice requirements of Rule 8(a)(2) of the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure and does not represent Plaintiff's preliminary or final infringement contentions or preliminary or final claim construction positions.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

33. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all causes of action.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- a. That Defendant be adjudged to have directly infringed the '467 Patent either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents;
- b. An accounting of all infringing sales and damages including, but not limited to, those sales and damages not presented at trial;
- c. That Defendant, its officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, affiliates, divisions, branches, parents, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, be permanently restrained and enjoined from directly infringing the '467 Patent;
- d. An award of damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §284 sufficient to compensate Plaintiff for the Defendant's past infringement and any continuing or future infringement up until the date that Defendant is finally and permanently enjoined from further infringement, including compensatory damages;
- e. An assessment of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs against Defendant, together with an award of such interest and costs, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §284;
- f. That Defendant be directed to pay enhanced damages, including Plaintiff's attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this lawsuit pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §285; and

Case 4:21-cv-00761 Document 1 Filed 01/30/21 Page 9 of 9

1	g. That Plaintiff be granted such other and further relief as this Court may deem j	
2	and proper.	
3	Dated: January 30, 2021	Respectfully submitted,
4		INSIGHT, PLC
5		
6		<u>/s/ Steven W. Ritcheson</u> Steven Ritcheson (SBN 174062)
7		578 Washington Boulevard #503 Marina del Rey, California 90291
8		Phone: (424) 289-9191
9		swritcheson@insightplc.com
10		Andrew S. Curfman
11		(Pro hac vice forthcoming) Sand, Sebolt & Wernow Co., LPA
12		Aegis Tower – Suite 1100 4940 Munson Street NW
13		Canton, Ohio 44718
14		Telephone: (330) 244-1174 Facsimile: (330) 244-1173
15		Email: andrew.curfman@sswip.com
16		
17		ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT - 9	