

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

<b>Golden IP LLC,</b>  Plaintiff,  v.  <b>SoundCloud Inc.,</b>  Defendant.	Case No.  Patent Case  Jury Trial Demanded
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Golden IP LLC (“Plaintiff”), through its attorneys, complains of SoundCloud Inc. (“Defendant”), and alleges the following:

**PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff Golden IP LLC is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Texas that maintains its principal place of business at 9901 Brodie Lane, Suite 160 PMB667, Austin, TX 78748.

2. Defendant SoundCloud Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware that maintains an established place of business at 71 5TH Avenue, 5th Floor, New York, New York, 10003.

**JURISDICTION**

3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

4. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District and is incorporated in this District's state. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

#### **VENUE**

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District and is incorporated in this District's state.

#### **PATENTS-IN-SUIT**

7. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent Nos. 8,755,763 and 9,397,627 (the "Patents-in-Suit"); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patents-in-Suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patents-in-Suit by Defendant.

#### **THE '763 PATENT**

8. The '763 Patent is entitled "Method and device for an internet radio capable of obtaining playlist content from a content server," and issued June 17, 2014. The application leading to the '763 Patent was filed on October 27, 2011. A true and correct copy of the '763 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

9. The '763 Patent is valid and enforceable.

#### **THE '627 PATENT**

10. The '627 Patent is entitled "Network-enabled audio device," and issued July 19, 2016. The application leading to the '627 Patent was filed on November 27, 2006. A true and

correct copy of the ‘627 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2 and incorporated herein by reference.

11. The ‘627 Patent is valid and enforceable.

**COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE ‘763 PATENT**

12. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

13. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has directly infringed one or more claims of the ‘763 Patent in at least this District by having made, used, offered to sell, sold and/or imported, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the “Exemplary Defendant Products”) that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the ‘763 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the “Exemplary ‘763 Patent Claims”) literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the ‘763 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

14. Defendant also has also directly infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary ‘763 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

15. Exhibit 3 includes charts comparing the Exemplary ‘763 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the ‘763 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary ‘763 Patent Claims.

16. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 3.

17. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendants infringement.

**COUNT 2: INFRINGEMENT OF THE ‘627 PATENT**

18. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

19. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has directly infringed one or more claims of the ‘627 Patent in at least this District by having made, used, offered to sell, sold and/or imported, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the “Exemplary Defendant Products”) that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the ‘627 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the “Exemplary ‘627 Patent Claims”) literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the ‘627 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

20. Defendant also has infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary ‘627 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

21. Exhibit 4 includes charts comparing the Exemplary ‘627 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the ‘627 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary ‘627 Patent Claims.

22. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 4.

23. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendants infringement.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

24. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the '763 Patent is valid and enforceable
- B. A judgment that the '627 Patent is valid and enforceable
- C. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly one or more claims of the '763 Patent;
- D. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly one or more claims of the '627 Patent;
- E. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;
- F. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendants past infringement with respect to the '763 Patent.
- G. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendants past infringement with respect to the '627 Patent.
- H. And, if necessary, to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendants infringement, an accounting:

- i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys fees against Defendant that it incurs in prosecuting this action;
- ii. that Plaintiff be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and
- iii. that Plaintiff be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: May 28, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

**GAWTHROP GREENWOOD, PC**

/s/ David W. deBruin  
David W. deBruin (#4846)  
3711 Kennett Pike, Suite 100  
Wilmington, DE 19807  
302-777-5353  
ddebruin@gawthrop.com

**Counsel for Plaintiff**  
**Golden IP LLC**