

Alfred W. Zaher (*pro hac vice*)  
Maryellen Madden (*pro hac vice*)  
Peter Breslauer (*pro hac vice*)  
Stephanie K. Benecchi (*pro hac vice*)  
Patrick J. Farley (*pro hac vice*)  
MONTGOMERY McCRACKEN WALKER  
& RHOADS LLP  
1735 Market Street, 21st Floor  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103  
Tel: (215) 772-1500  
Fax: (215) 772-7620  
azaher@mmwr.com  
mmadden@mmwr.com  
pbreslauer@mmwr.com  
sbenecchi@mmwr.com

*Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant  
Medical Components, Inc.*

J. Mark Gibb (5702)  
Clinton E. Duke (9784)  
DENTONS DURHAM JONES  
PINEGAR, PC  
111 South Main Street, Suite 2400  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111  
Tel: (801) 415-3000  
Fax: (801) 415-3500  
mark.gibb@dentons.com  
clinton.duke@dentons.com

Michael Hayes (*pro hac vice*)  
HORN WILLIAMSON, LLC  
2 Penn Center  
1500 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1700  
Philadelphia, PA 19102  
Tel: (267) 553-4333, \*172  
Fax: (215) 334-7336  
mhayes@hornwilliamson.com

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION**

C.R. BARD, INC., and BARD  
PERIPHERAL VASCULAR, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

V.

MEDICAL COMPONENTS, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:12-cv-00032-RJS-DAO

**NOTICE OF CROSS-APPEAL TO THE  
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT**

Chief Judge Robert J. Shelby  
Magistrate Judge Daphne A. Oberg

Notice is hereby given that Defendant Medical Components, Inc. (“MedComp”) cross-appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit the Rule 54(b) partial final judgment entered November 5, 2021 that adjudicated “MedComp’s counterclaim for patent infringement.” The judgment invalidated MedComp’s U.S. Patent No. 8,021,324. *See* Dkt. No. 769.

Plaintiffs C.R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. (collectively, “Bard”) previously filed a notice of appeal from the judgment on November 5, 2021 and that appeal has been docketed with the Federal Circuit at No. 2022-1136. MedComp believes the judgment against Bard should be affirmed and that would result in affirmance of the judgment entered against MedComp. In the event the judgment entered against Bard is reversed, the judgment entered against MedComp should be as well. To that extent, MedComp “seeks to modify or overturn the judgment” in terms of Federal Circuit Rule 28.1 and this cross-appeal would enlarge MedComp’s own rights.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: November 17, 2021

/s/ Alfred W. Zaher

Alfred W. Zaher (*pro hac vice*)

Maryellen Madden (*pro hac vice*)

Peter Breslauer (*pro hac vice*)

Stephanie K. Benecchi (*pro hac vice*)

Patrick J. Farley (*pro hac vice*)

MONTGOMERY McCRACKEN WALKER &  
RHOADS LLP

J. Mark Gibb

Clinton E. Duke

DENTONS DURHAM JONES PINEGAR, PC

Michael Hayes (*pro hac vice*)  
HORN WILLIAMSON, P.C.

*Attorneys for Defendant Medical Components, Inc.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on this 17th day of November, 2021, I filed the foregoing with the Court by CM/ECF, which then served all counsel of record.

/s/ Alfred W. Zaher