

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

**Cedar Lane Technologies Inc.,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**Verizon Communications Inc.,**

Defendant.

Case No. 6:22-cv-43

Patent Case

Jury Trial Demanded

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

1. Plaintiff Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. (“Plaintiff”), through its attorneys, complains of Verizon Communications Inc. (“Defendant”), and alleges the following:

**PARTIES**

2. Plaintiff Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Canada that maintains its principal place of business at 560 Baker Street, Suite 1, Nelson, BC V1L 4H9.

3. Defendant Verizon Communications Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware that maintains an established place of business at 2812 W Loop 340, Suite # H-12, Waco, TX, 76711.

**JURISDICTION**

4. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

5. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

#### **VENUE**

7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has an established place of business in this District. In addition, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District, and Plaintiff has suffered harm in this district.

#### **PATENTS-IN-SUIT**

8. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent Nos. 6,629,077; 6,721,489; 7,086,079; and 8,165,867 (the “Patents-in-Suit”); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patents-in-Suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patents-in-Suit by Defendant.

#### **THE '077 PATENT**

9. The '077 Patent is entitled “Universal remote control adapted to receive voice input,” and issued 2003-09-30. The application leading to the '077 Patent was filed on 2000-11-22. A true and correct copy of the '077 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

#### **THE '489 PATENT**

10. The '489 Patent is entitled “Play list manager,” and issued 2004-04-13. The application leading to the '489 Patent was filed on 2000-03-08. A true and correct copy of the '489 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2 and incorporated herein by reference.

**THE '079 PATENT**

11. The '079 Patent is entitled "Method and apparatus for internet TV," and issued 2006-08-01. The application leading to the '079 Patent was filed on 2000-11-21. A true and correct copy of the '079 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 3 and incorporated herein by reference.

**THE '867 PATENT**

12. The '867 Patent is entitled "Methods for translating a device command," and issued 2012-04-24. The application leading to the '867 Patent was filed on 2000-09-15. A true and correct copy of the '867 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 4 and incorporated herein by reference.

**COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '077 PATENT**

13. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

14. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant directly infringed one or more claims of the '077 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringed at least the exemplary claims of the '077 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '077 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringed the claims of the '077 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

15. Defendant also directly infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '077 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

16. Exhibit 5 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '077 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '077 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '077 Patent Claims.

17. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 5.

18. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

#### **COUNT 2: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '489 PATENT**

19. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

20. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant directly infringed one or more claims of the '489 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringed at least the exemplary claims of the '489 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '489 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringed the claims of the '489 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

21. Defendant also directly infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '489 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

22. Exhibit 6 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '489 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products

practice the technology claimed by the '489 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '489 Patent Claims.

23. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 6.

24. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

### **COUNT 3: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '079 PATENT**

25. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

26. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '079 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '079 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '079 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '079 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

27. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '079 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

28. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement.** The service of this Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited, constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

29. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '079 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '079 Patent. See Exhibit 7 (extensively referencing these materials to demonstrate how they direct end users to commit patent infringement).

30. **Induced Infringement.** At least since being served by this Complaint and corresponding claim charts, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '079 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '079 Patent.

31. Exhibit 7 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '079 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '079 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '079 Patent Claims.

32. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 7.

33. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

#### **COUNT 4: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '867 PATENT**

34. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

35. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '867 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '867 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '867 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '867 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

36. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '867 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

37. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement.** The service of this Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited, constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

38. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '867 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '867 Patent. See Exhibit 8 (extensively referencing these materials to demonstrate how they direct end users to commit patent infringement).

39. **Induced Infringement.** At least since being served by this Complaint and corresponding claim charts, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '867 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '867 Patent.

40. Exhibit 8 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '867 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '867 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '867 Patent Claims.

41. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 8.

42. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

43. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the '077 Patent is valid and enforceable
- B. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly one or more claims of the '077 Patent;
- C. A judgment that the '489 Patent is valid and enforceable



- D. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly one or more claims of the '489 Patent;
- E. A judgment that the '079 Patent is valid and enforceable
- F. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly and indirectly one or more claims of the '079 Patent;
- G. A judgment that the '867 Patent is valid and enforceable
- H. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly and indirectly one or more claims of the '867 Patent;
- I. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;
- J. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's continuing or future infringement, up until the date such judgment is entered with respect to the '079; and '867 Patents, including pre- or post-judgment interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- K. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's past infringement at least with respect to the '077; and '489 Patents.
- L. And, if necessary, to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement, an accounting:
  - i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys fees against Defendant that it incurs in prosecuting this action;
  - ii. that Plaintiff be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and

- iii. that Plaintiff be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: January 11, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Isaac Rabicoff

Isaac Rabicoff

Rabicoff Law LLC

5680 King Centre Dr, Suite 645

Alexandria, VA 22315

7736694590

isaac@rabilaw.com

**Counsel for Plaintiff  
Cedar Lane Technologies Inc.**