	Case 4:21-cv-07994-JST	Document 125	Filed 01/28/22	Page 1 of 14
1	Deepali A. Brahmbhatt (SBN 255	5646)		
2	Email: dbrahmbhatt@devlinlawfi DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC 3120 Scott Blvd. #13,	rm.com		
3	Santa Clara, CA 95054 Telephone: (650) 254-9805			
4	Timothy Devlin (<i>pro hac vice</i> for	thcoming)		
5	Derek Dahlgren (<i>pro hac vice</i> for Srikant Cheruvu (<i>pro hac vice</i> for	thcoming) thcoming)		
6	Mariam Clayton (<i>pro hac vice</i> for DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC	thcoming)		
7 8	1526 Gilpin Avenue Wilmington, DE 19806			
° 9	Telephone: (302) 449-9010 Facsimile: (302) 353-4251 tdevlin@devlinlawfirm.com			
10	ddahlgren@devlinlawfirm.com scheruvu@devlinlawfirm.com			
11	mclayton@devlinlawfirm.com			
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
13	JENAM TECH, LLC			
14	UNI	ITED STATES D	ISTRICT COUR	т
15		HERN DISTRIC		
16		OAKLAND		
17	JENAM TECH, LLC,		DIVISION	-cv-07994-JST
17 18			DIVISION Case No. 4:21	-cv-07994-JST
17 18 19	JENAM TECH, LLC,		DIVISION Case No. 4:21 PLAINTIFF ³ COMPLAIN	-cv-07994-JST S CONSOLIDATED T FOR PATENT
17 18	JENAM TECH, LLC, Plaintiff,		DIVISION Case No. 4:21 PLAINTIFF [*] COMPLAIN INFRINGEN	-cv-07994-JST S CONSOLIDATED T FOR PATENT
17 18 19 20	JENAM TECH, LLC, Plaintiff, vs.		DIVISION Case No. 4:21 PLAINTIFF ³ COMPLAIN	-cv-07994-JST S CONSOLIDATED T FOR PATENT
17 18 19 20 21	JENAM TECH, LLC, Plaintiff, vs. GOOGLE LLC,		DIVISION Case No. 4:21 PLAINTIFF [*] COMPLAIN INFRINGEN	-cv-07994-JST S CONSOLIDATED T FOR PATENT
 17 18 19 20 21 22 	JENAM TECH, LLC, Plaintiff, vs. GOOGLE LLC,		DIVISION Case No. 4:21 PLAINTIFF [*] COMPLAIN INFRINGEN	-cv-07994-JST S CONSOLIDATED T FOR PATENT
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	JENAM TECH, LLC, Plaintiff, vs. GOOGLE LLC,		DIVISION Case No. 4:21 PLAINTIFF [*] COMPLAIN INFRINGEN	-cv-07994-JST S CONSOLIDATED T FOR PATENT
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	JENAM TECH, LLC, Plaintiff, vs. GOOGLE LLC,		DIVISION Case No. 4:21 PLAINTIFF [*] COMPLAIN INFRINGEN	-cv-07994-JST S CONSOLIDATED T FOR PATENT
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	JENAM TECH, LLC, Plaintiff, vs. GOOGLE LLC,		DIVISION Case No. 4:21 PLAINTIFF [*] COMPLAIN INFRINGEN	-cv-07994-JST S CONSOLIDATED T FOR PATENT
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	JENAM TECH, LLC, Plaintiff, vs. GOOGLE LLC,		DIVISION Case No. 4:21 PLAINTIFF [*] COMPLAIN INFRINGEN	-cv-07994-JST S CONSOLIDATED T FOR PATENT
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	JENAM TECH, LLC, Plaintiff, vs. GOOGLE LLC,		DIVISION Case No. 4:21 PLAINTIFF COMPLAIN INFRINGEN (Lead Case)	-cv-07994-JST S CONSOLIDATED T FOR PATENT

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Plaintiff Jenam Tech, LLC ("Jenam Tech" or "Plaintiff"), files this Complaint against Google, LLC ("Google" or "Defendant") seeking damages and other relief for patent infringement, and alleges with knowledge to its own acts, and on information and belief as to other matters, as 4 follows: PARTIES 1. Plaintiff is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the

7 State of Texas, having its principal place of business at 211 West Tyler Street, Suite C, Longview, 8 Texas, 75601.

2. Defendant Google is a Delaware corporation with a physical address at 1600 Amphitheatre Pkwy, Mountain View, CA 94043. Google is registered to do business in the State of California and may be served with process through its registered agent, Corporation Service Company, 2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N, Sacramento, CA 95833.

3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Google at least because Google regularly conducts and transacts business, including infringing acts described herein, in this District.

4. Defendant conducts business in California, directly or through intermediaries and offers products or services, including those accused herein of infringement, to customers, and potential customers located in California, including in the Northern District of California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §101, et seq. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).

6. As to Google, venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1400(b). Google maintains an established place of business in the state of California and this judicial district.

23 7. Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction because 24 Defendant conducts substantial business in this forum, including: (i) making, using, selling, 25 importing, and/or offering for sale one or more websites or web addresses including, but not limited 26 to www.google.com, stored and/or hosted on one or more servers owned or under the control of 27 Google ("Accused Instrumentalities"); (ii) making, using, selling, importing, and/or offering for sale 28 software for smartphones and tablets as well as other computing devices (e.g., laptops, desktops,

> CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT CASE NO. 4:21-cv-07994-JST

Chromebooks, etc.) ("Accused Software"); (iii) making, using, selling, importing, and/or offering 2 for sale software for smartphones and tablets as well as other computing devices, or offering said 3 software with such computing devices (e.g., Pixel phones, laptops, desktops, Chromebooks, etc.) 4 ("Accused Products"); or (iv) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent 5 courses of conduct, or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to citizens and residents in California and in this District. 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT

8. On March 7, 2018, Robert Paul Morris filed United States Patent Application No. 15/915,053 ("the '053 Application"). The '053 Application was duly examined and issued as United States Patent No. 10,069,945 ("the '945 patent") (entitled "Methods, Systems, and Computer Program Products for Sharing Information for Detecting an Idle TCP Connection"), on September 4, 2018.

9. Jenam Tech is the owner of the '945 patent and has the full and exclusive right to bring actions and recover past, present, and future damages for the Defendant's infringement of the '945 patent.

16 10. The '945 patent is valid and enforceable. A true and correct copy of the '945 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

11. On March 7, 2018, Robert Paul Morris filed United States Patent Application No. 15/915,047 ("the '047 Application"). The '047 Application was duly examined and issued as United States Patent No. 10,075,564 ("the '564 patent") (entitled "Methods, Systems, and Computer Program Products for Sharing Information for Detecting an Idle TCP Connection"), on September 11, 2018.

12. Jenam Tech is the owner of the '564 patent and has the full and exclusive right to bring actions and recover past, present, and future damages for the Defendant's infringement of the '564 patent.

26 13. The '564 patent is valid and enforceable. A true and correct copy of the '564 patent is 27 attached hereto as Exhibit B.

28

14. On March 7, 2018, Robert Paul Morris filed United States patent Application No.
15/915,052 ("the '052 Application"). The '052 Application was duly examined and issued as United States Patent No. 10,075,565 ("the '565 patent") (entitled "Methods, Systems, and Computer Program Products for Sharing Information for Detecting an Idle TCP Connection"), on September 11, 2018.

15. Jenam Tech is the owner of the '565 patent and has the full and exclusive right to bring actions and recover past, present, and future damages for the Defendant's infringement of the '565 patent.

16. The '565 patent is valid and enforceable. A true and correct copy of the '565 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

17. On July 19, 2018, Robert Paul Morris filed United States Patent Application No.
16/040,522 ("the '522 Application"). The '522 Application was duly examined and issued as United States Patent No. 10,375,215 ("the '215 patent") (entitled "Methods, Systems, and Computer Program Products for Sharing Information for Detecting an Idle TCP Connection"), on August 6, 2019.

18. Jenam Tech is the owner of the '215 patent and has the full and exclusive right to bring actions and recover past, present, and future damages for the Defendant's infringement of the '215 patent.

19. The '215 patent is valid and enforceable. A true and correct copy of the '215 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

20. On July 19, 2018, Robert Paul Morris filed United States Patent Application No.
16/040,517 ("the '517 Application"). The '517 Application was duly examined and issued as United States Patent No. 10,306,026 ("the '026 patent") (entitled "Methods, Systems, and Computer Program Products for Sharing Information for Detecting an Idle TCP Connection"), on May 28, 2019.

6 21. Jenam Tech is the owner of the '026 patent and has the full and exclusive right to
7 bring actions and recover past, present, and future damages for the Defendant's infringement of the
8 '026 patent.

22. The '026 patent is valid and enforceable. A true and correct copy of the '026 patent is
 attached hereto as Exhibit E.

23. On September 3, 2017, Robert Paul Morris filed United States Patent Application No.
15/694,802 ("the '802 Application"). The '802 Application was duly examined and issued as
United States Patent No. 9,923,995 ("the '995 Patent") (entitled "Methods, Systems, and Computer
Program Products for Sharing Information for Detecting an Idle TCP Connection"), on March 20,
2018.

24. Jenam Tech is the owner of the '995 Patent and has the full and exclusive right to bring actions and recover past, present, and future damages for the Defendant's infringement of the '995 Patent.

25. The '995 Patent is valid and enforceable. A true and correct copy of the '995 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit F.

26. On September 3, 2017, Robert Paul Morris filed United States Patent Application
No. 15/694,803 ("the '803 Application"). The '803 Application was duly examined and issued as
United States Patent No. 9,923,996 ("the '996 Patent") (entitled "Methods, Systems, and Computer
Program Products for Sharing Information for Detecting an Idle TCP Connection"), on March 20,
2018.

27. Jenam Tech is the owner of the '996 Patent and has the full and exclusive right to bring actions and recover past, present, and future damages for the Defendant's infringement of the '996 Patent.

28. The '996 Patent is valid and enforceable. A true and correct copy of the '996 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit G.

23 29. On March 28, 2019, Robert Paul Morris filed United States Patent Application No.
24 16/368,811 ("the '811 Application"). The '811 Application was duly examined and issued as
25 United States Patent No. 10,742,774 ("the '774 patent") (entitled "Methods, Systems, and Computer
26 Program Products for Sharing Information for Detecting an Idle TCP Connection"), on August 11,
27 2020.

28

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Case 4:21-cv-07994-JST Document 125 Filed 01/28/22 Page 6 of 14

30. Jenam Tech is the owner of the '774 patent and has the full and exclusive right to 2 bring actions and recover past, present, and future damages for the Defendant's infringement of the 3 '774 patent.

31. The '774 Patent is valid and enforceable. A true and correct copy of the '774 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit O.

32. On October 23, 2020, Robert Paul Morris filed United States Patent Application No. 17/079,397 ("the '397 Application"). The '397 Application was duly examined and issued as United States Patent No. 10,951,742 ("the '742 patent") (entitled "Methods, Systems, and Computer Program Products for Sharing Information for Detecting at Least One Time Period for a Connection"), on March 16, 2021.

33. Jenam Tech is the owner of the '742 patent and has the full and exclusive right to bring actions and recover past, present, and future damages for the Defendant's infringement of the '742 patent.

34. The '742 patent is valid and enforceable. A true and correct copy of the '742 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit Q.

The '945, '564, '565, '215, '026, '995, '996, '774, '742 patents are collectively 16 35. 17 referred to herein as the "patents" or the "patents in suit."

> 36. Jenam Tech has not practiced any claimed invention of the patents in suit.

37. Defendant infringes the patents at least through making, using, selling, importing, and/or offering to sell the Accused Instrumentalities, Accused Software, and Accused Products.

21

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

22

23

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '945 PATENT

38. Jenam Tech repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

24

39.

The '945 patent includes 144 claims. '945 patent, Ex. A at 24:8-36:65.

25 40. Defendant directly infringes one or more claims of the '945 patent without authority 26 by making, using (including without limitation testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell 27 products and systems, including by way of example, the Accused Instrumentalities. See Claim 28 Chart for the '945 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit H.

Case 4:21-cv-07994-JST Document 125 Filed 01/28/22 Page 7 of 14

1	41. Defendant has been and is directly infringing, either literally or under the doctrine of
2	equivalents, at least Claim 104 of the '945 patent by making, using (including without limitation
3	testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell the Accused Instrumentalities. See Claim Chart
4	for the '945 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit H. As demonstrated by the attached claim chart, each
5	and every element of Claim 104 of the '945 patent is found in the Accused Instrumentalities.

6 42. Defendant has had actual knowledge of the '945 patent at least as early as the date of 7 service of the original Complaint (Dkt. 1).

43. Defendant's acts of infringement have occurred within this District and elsewhere throughout the United States.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

COUNT II: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '564 PATENT

44. Jenam Tech repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

45. The '564 patent includes 30 claims. '564 patent, Ex. B at 23:5–27:28.

46. Defendant directly infringes one or more claims of the '564 patent without authority by making, using (including without limitation testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell products and systems, including by way of example, the Accused Instrumentalities. See Claim Chart for the '564 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit I.

47. Defendant has been and is directly infringing, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least Claim 1 of the '564 patent by making, using (including without limitation testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell the Accused Instrumentalities. See Claim Chart for the '564 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit I. As demonstrated by the attached claim chart, each and every element of Claim 1 of the '564 patent is found in the Accused Instrumentalities.

Defendant has had actual knowledge of the '564 patent at least as early as the date 48. of service of the original Complaint (Dkt. 1).

49. Defendant's acts of infringement have occurred within this District and elsewhere throughout the United States.

28

CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT CASE NO. 4:21-cv-07994-JST

COUNT III: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '565 PATENT

50. Jenam Tech repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

51.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

The '565 patent includes 30 claims. '565 patent, Ex. C at 23:48–28:65.

52. Defendant directly infringes one or more claims of the '565 patent without authority by making, using (including without limitation testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell products and systems, including by way of example, the Accused Instrumentalities. *See* Claim Chart for the '565 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit J.

53. Defendant has been and is directly infringing, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least Claim 1 of the '565 patent by making, using (including without limitation testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell the Accused Instrumentalities. *See* Claim Chart for the '565 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit J. As demonstrated by the attached claim chart, each and every element of Claim 1 of the '565 patent is found in the Accused Instrumentalities.

54. Defendant has had actual knowledge of the '565 patent at least as early as the date of service of the original Complaint (Dkt. 1).

16 55. Defendant's acts of infringement have occurred within this District and elsewhere
17 throughout the United States.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNT IV: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '215 PATENT

56. Jenam Tech repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

57. The '215 patent includes 39 claims. '215 patent, Ex. D at 24:15–30:23.

58. Defendant directly infringes one or more claims of the '215 patent without authority by making, using (including without limitation testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell products and systems, including by way of example, the Accused Instrumentalities. *See* Claim Chart for the '215 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit K.

26 59. Defendant has been and is directly infringing, either literally or under the doctrine
27 of equivalents, at least Claim 1 of the '215 patent by making, using (including without limitation
28 testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell the Accused Instrumentalities. *See* Claim Chart

for the '215 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit K. As demonstrated by the attached claim chart, each and every element of Claim 1 of the '215 patent is found in the Accused Instrumentalities.

60. Defendant has had actual knowledge of the '215 patent at least as early as the date of service of the original Complaint (Dkt. 1).

61. Defendant's acts of infringement have occurred within this District and elsewhere throughout the United States.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

COUNT V: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '026 PATENT

62. Jenam Tech repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

63. The '026 patent includes 98 claims. '026 patent, Ex. E at 24:16–34:30.

64. Defendant directly infringes one or more claims of the '026 patent without authority by making, using (including without limitation testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell products and systems, including by way of example, the Accused Instrumentalities and the Accused Software. *See* Claim Chart for the '026 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit L.

65. Defendant has been and is directly infringing, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least Claim 1 of the '026 patent by making, using (including without limitation testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell the Accused Instrumentalities and the Accused Software. *See* Claim Chart for the '026 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit L. As demonstrated by the attached claim chart, each and every element of Claim 1 of the '026 patent is found in the Accused Instrumentalities and the Accused Software.

21 66. Defendant has had actual knowledge of the '026 patent at least as early as the date
22 of service of the original Complaint (Dkt. 1).

67. Defendant's acts of infringement have occurred within this District and elsewhere throughout the United States.

COUNT VI: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '995 PATENT

26 68. Jenam Tech repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully
27 set forth herein.

28

69.

The '995 patent includes 30 claims. '995 patent, Ex. F at 23:4-28:16.

Case 4:21-cv-07994-JST Document 125 Filed 01/28/22 Page 10 of 14

70. Defendant directly infringes one or more claims of the '995 patent without authority by making, using (including without limitation testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell products and systems, including by way of example, the Accused Instrumentalities and the Accused Software. *See* Claim Chart for the '995 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit M.

71. Defendant has been and is directly infringing, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least Claim 29 of the '995 patent by making, using (including without limitation testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell the Accused Instrumentalities and the Accused Software. *See* Claim Chart for the '995 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit M. As demonstrated by the attached claim chart, each and every element of Claim 29 of the '995 patent is found in the Accused Instrumentalities and the Accused Software.

72. Defendant has had actual knowledge of the '995 patent at least as early as April 3,2019.

73. Defendant's acts of infringement have occurred within this District and elsewhere throughout the United States.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

COUNT VII: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '996 PATENT

74. Jenam Tech repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

75. The '996 patent includes 30 claims. '996 patent, Ex. G at 23:27-28:42.

76. Defendant directly infringes one or more claims of the '996 patent without authority by making, using (including without limitation testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell products and systems, including by way of example, the Accused Instrumentalities. *See* Claim Chart for the '996 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit N.

77. Defendant has been and is directly infringing, either literally or under the doctrine
of equivalents, at least Claim 1 of the '996 patent by making, using (including without limitation
testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell the Accused Instrumentalities. *See* Claim Chart
for the '996 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit N. As demonstrated by the attached claim chart,
each and every element of Claim 1 of the '996 patent is found in the Accused Instrumentalities.

28

Q

78. Defendant has had actual knowledge of the '996 patent at least as early as April 3,
 2019.

79. Defendant's acts of infringement have occurred within this District and elsewhere throughout the United States.

COUNT VIII: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '774 PATENT

80. Jenam Tech repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

81. The '774 patent includes 83 claims. '774 patent, Ex. O at 24:22-34:63.

82. Defendant directly infringes one or more claims of the '774 patent without authority by making, using (including without limitation testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell products and systems, including by way of example, the Accused Instrumentalities. *See* Claim Chart for the '774 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit P.

Barton 13
Barton 13
Barton 13
Barton 14
Barton 15
Barton 15
Barton 15
Barton 15
Barton 16
Barton 17
Barton 17
Barton 17
Barton 17
Barton 17
Barton 17
Barton 18
Barton 18
Barton 19
Bart

84. Defendant has had actual knowledge of the '774 patent at least as early as August 11, 2020.

85. Defendant's acts of infringement have occurred within this District and elsewhere throughout the United States.

COUNT IX: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '742 PATENT

86. Jenam Tech repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

87. The '742 patent includes 176 claims. '742 patent, Ex. Q at 25:4-50:64.

26 88. Defendant directly infringes one or more claims of the '742 patent without authority
27 by making, using (including without limitation testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell

Case 4:21-cv-07994-JST Document 125 Filed 01/28/22 Page 12 of 14

products and systems, including by way of example, the Accused Software and Accused Products.*See* Claim Chart for the '742 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit R.

89. Defendant has been and is directly infringing, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claims 1 and 78 of the '742 patent by making, using (including without limitation testing), selling, importing, and/or offering to sell the Accused Software and Accused Products. *See* Claim Chart for the '742 patent, attached hereto as Exhibit R. As demonstrated by the attached claim chart, each and every element of at least claims 1 and 78 of the '742 patent is found in the Accused Software and Accused Products.

90. Upon information and belief, since Google had knowledge of the '742 patent, Google has induced and continues to induce others to infringe at least claims 1 and 78 of the '742 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by, among other things, and with specific intent or willful blindness, actively aiding and abetting others to infringe, including but not limited to Google's partners and customers, whose use of the Accused Software and Accused Products constitutes direct infringement of at least claims 1 and 78 of the '742 patent.

91. In particular, Google's actions that aid and abet others such as their partners and customers to infringe include distributing the Accused Software and Accused Products and providing materials and/or services related to the Accused Software and Accused Products. On information and belief, Google has engaged in such actions with specific intent to cause infringement or with willful blindness to the resulting infringement because Google has had actual knowledge of the '742 patent and that its acts were inducing infringement of the '742 patent since Google has had knowledge of the '742 patent.

92. On information and belief, since Google had knowledge of the '742 patent, Google's infringement has been and continues to be willful.

24 93. Defendant has had actual knowledge of the '742 patent at least as early as March 17,
25 2021.

26 94. Defendant's acts of infringement have occurred within this District and elsewhere
27 throughout the United States.

CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT CASE NO. 4:21-cv-07994-JST

	Case 4:21-cv-07994-JST Document 125 Filed 01/28/22 Page 13 of 14
1	RELIEF REQUESTED
2	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:
3	A. Declaring that Defendant has infringed the patents in suit;
4	B. Awarding damages in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than a
5	reasonable royalty for Defendant's infringement including pre-judgment and post-judgment interest
6	at the maximum rate permitted by law;
7	C. Ordering an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and enhanced damages as
8	appropriate against Defendant to Jenam Tech as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285;
9	D. Awarding expenses, costs, and disbursements in this action against Defendant,
10	including prejudgment interest; and
11	E. All other relief necessary or appropriate.
12	JURY DEMAND
13	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury
14	on all issues so triable.
15	DATED: January 28, 2022 /s/ Deepali A. Brahmbhatt
16	Deepali A. Brahmbhatt DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC
17	3120 Scott Blvd. #13,
18	Santa Clara, CA 95054 (650) 254-9805
19 20	dbrahmbhatt@devlinlawfirm.com
20 21	Attorneys for Plaintiff JENAM TECH, LLC
21	
22	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	12 CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT
	CASE NO. 4:21-cv-07994-JST

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on January 28, 2022, I electronically filed this document with the Clerk of Court via the Court's CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record, all of whom have consented to electronic service in this action. <u>/s/ Deepali A. Brahmbhatt</u> Deepali A. Brahmbhatt