

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

|                      |   |                                       |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| <b>PACSEC3, LLC,</b> | ) |                                       |
| <b>Plaintiff,</b>    | ) |                                       |
|                      | ) | <b>Civil Action No. 6:22-cv-00168</b> |
| <b>v.</b>            | ) |                                       |
|                      | ) |                                       |
| <b>RAPID7, INC.,</b> | ) | <b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>            |
| <b>Defendant.</b>    | ) |                                       |

**PLAINTIFF’S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

PacSec3, LLC (“PacSec”) files this Original Complaint and demand for jury trial seeking relief from patent infringement of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,523,497 (“the ‘497 patent”) (referred to as the “Patent-in-Suit”) by Rapid7, Inc. (“Rapid7”).

**I. THE PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff PacSec3, LLC is a Texas Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business located in Harris County, Texas.

2. On information and belief, Rapid7 is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with a regular and established place of business at 401 Congress Ave 19th floor, Austin, TX 78701. On information and belief, RAPID7 sells and offers to sell products and services throughout Texas, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and services that perform infringing methods or processes into the stream of commerce knowing that they would be sold in Texas and this judicial district. RAPID7 can be served with process through their registered agent, C T Corporation System, 1999 Bryan St., Ste. 900, Dallas, TX 75201-3136 or wherever they may be found.

**II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This Court has original subject-matter jurisdiction over the entire action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because Plaintiff's claim arises under an Act of Congress relating to Patent, namely, 35 U.S.C. § 271.

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: (i) Defendant is present within or has minimum contacts within the State of Texas and this judicial district; (ii) Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Texas and in this judicial district; and (iii) Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of Texas and in this judicial district.

5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b). Defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business in this District. Further, venue is proper because Defendant conducts substantial business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and this District.

### **III. INFRINGEMENT OF THE '497 PATNET**

6. On April 21, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,523,497 ("the '497 patent", included as an attachment) entitled "PACKET FLOODING DEFENSE SYSTEM," was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. PacSec3, LLC owns the '497 patent by assignment.

7. The '497 patent relates to a novel and improved manner and system of defense to a data packet flood attack.

8. RAPID7 offers for sale, sells and manufactures one or more firewall systems that infringes one or more claims of the '497 patent, including one or more of claims 1-18, literally or under the


doctrine of equivalents. Defendant put the inventions claimed by the '497 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for Defendant's actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendant's products and services would never have been put into service. Defendant's acts complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial benefit from it.

9. Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the following preliminary table:

US7523497 B2  
Claim 7

Rapid7

7. A method of providing packet flooding defense for a network comprising a plurality of host computers, routers, communication lines and transmitted data packets, said method comprising the steps of:





## Log4Shell: We're here to help.

Log4Shell, the vulnerability affecting Apache's Log4j Java library, has the potential to impact many of us. But, rest assured, you are not alone. Our team is here to support you with real-time information and resources.

Last Updated: January 10, 2022

<https://www.rapid7.com/>

|  |  |
|--|--|
|  | <p>Rapid7 has a method of providing packet flooding defense for a network comprising a plurality of host computers, routers, communication lines and transmitted data packets. The reference includes subject matter disclosed by the claims of the patent after the priority date.</p> <p>The venue of the company is:<br/>                 401 Congress Avenue, Suite 1900<br/>                 Austin, TX 78701</p>   |
| <p><b>US7523497 B2<br/>Claim 7</b></p>   | <p><b>Rapid7</b></p>   |
| <p>determining a path by which data packets arrive at a host computer via packet marks provided by routers leading to said host computer; said path comprising all routers in said network via which said packets are routed to said computer;</p> | <p>This plugin uses <a href="#">tcptraceroute</a>  version 1.5beta, an implementation of Traceroute that uses TCP rather than ICMP. <del>Tcptraceroute can bypass some firewalls that block ICMP and UDP.</del> </p> <p>Traceroute sends out either UDP or ICMP ECHO packets with a TTL of one, and increments the TTL until the destination has been reached. By printing the gateways that generate ICMP time exceeded messages along the way, <u>it is able to determine the path packets are taking to reach the destination.</u></p> <p><b>Key Features</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trace a route to a host</li> </ul> <hr/> <p>&lt;<a href="https://extensions.rapid7.com/extension/traceroute">https://extensions.rapid7.com/extension/traceroute</a>&gt;</p> <p>The reference describes determining a path by which data packets arrive at a host computer via packet marks provided by routers leading to said host computer; said path comprising all routers in said network via which said packets are routed to said computer..</p> |
| <p><b>US7523497 B2<br/>Claim 7</b></p>   | <p><b>Rapid7</b></p>   |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p>classifying data packets received at said host computer into wanted data packets and unwanted data packets by path;</p> | <p>For making CoS, the following components are available on the switch operating as a GRE tunnel source router.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At the GRE Tunnel Source, we can <u>apply CoS classifiers on an ingress port</u> (schedulers only; defining output queues and controlling transmission of packets after GRE encapsulation) or on a GRE port (schedulers and rewrite rules; along with schedulers you can rewrite rules to the encapsulated packets).</li> <li>• At the GRE tunnel endpoint, we can <u>apply CoS classifiers on GRE port and schedulers and rewrite rules on the egress port</u> for controlling de-encapsulated GRE packet.</li> </ul> <hr/> <p>&lt;<a href="https://www.rapid7.com/blog/post/2017/01/30/understanding-gre/">https://www.rapid7.com/blog/post/2017/01/30/understanding-gre/</a>&gt;<br/>                 The reference describes classifying data packets received at said host computer into wanted data packets and unwanted data packets by path.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Class of Service (CoS)</li> </ul> |
| <p><b>US7523497 B2<br/>Claim 7</b></p>   | <p><b>Rapid7</b></p>  |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p>associating a maximum acceptable processing rate with each class of data packet received at said host computer; and</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Go to the <i>Scan Template Configuration—Discovery Performance</i> page.</li> <li>2. For <b>Maximum retries</b>, drag the slider to the left or right to adjust the value if desired.</li> <li>3. For <b>Timeout interval</b>, drag the sliders to the left or right to adjust the <b>Initial</b>, <b>Minimum</b>, and <b>Maximum</b> values if desired.</li> <li>4. For <b>Scan Delay</b>, drag the sliders to the left or right to adjust the values if desired.</li> <li>5. For <b><u>Packet-per-second rate</u></b>, drag the sliders to the left or right to adjust the <b>Minimum</b> and <b>Maximum</b> values if desired.</li> <li>6. Select the <b>Defeat Rate Limit</b> checkbox to enforce the minimum packet-per-second rate if desired.</li> <li>7. For <b>Parallelism</b>, drag the sliders to the left or right to adjust the <b>Minimum</b> and <b>Maximum</b> values if desired.</li> <li>8. Configure any other template settings as desired. When you have finished configuring the scan template, click <b>Save</b>.</li> </ol> <hr/> <p><a href="https://docs.rapid7.com/insightvm/configuring-service-discovery/">https://docs.rapid7.com/insightvm/configuring-service-discovery/</a></p> <p>The reference describes associating a maximum acceptable processing rate with each class of data packet received at said host computer.</p> |
| <p><b>US7523497 B2<br/>Claim 7</b></p>   | <p><b>Rapid7</b></p>  |

allocating a processing rate less than or equal to said maximum acceptable processing rate for unwanted data packets.

1. Go to the *Scan Template Configuration—Discovery Performance* page.
2. For **Maximum retries**, drag the slider to the left or right to adjust the value if desired.
3. For **Timeout interval**, drag the sliders to the left or right to adjust the **Initial**, **Minimum**, and **Maximum** values if desired.
4. For **Scan Delay**, drag the sliders to the left or right to adjust the values if desired.
5. For **Packet-per-second rate**, drag the sliders to the left or right to adjust the **Minimum** and **Maximum** values if desired.
6. Select the **Defeat Rate Limit** checkbox to enforce the minimum packet-per-second rate if desired.
7. For **Parallelism**, drag the sliders to the left or right to adjust the **Minimum** and **Maximum** values if desired.
8. Configure any other template settings as desired. When you have finished configuring the scan template, click **Save**.

<https://docs.rapid7.com/insightvm/configuring-service-discovery/>

The reference describes allocating a processing rate less than or equal to said maximum acceptable processing rate for unwanted data packets.

These allegations of infringement are preliminary and are therefore subject to change.

10. RAPID7 has and continues to induce infringement. RAPID7 has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., DDOS protection systems) and related services that provide question and answer services across the Internet such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1–18 of the ‘497 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, RAPID7 has known of the ‘497 patent and the technology underlying it



from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.<sup>1</sup> For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

11. RAPID7 has and continues to contributorily infringe. RAPID7 has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., DDOS protection systems) and related services that provide question and answer services across the Internet such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1–18 of the ‘497 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Further, there are no substantial noninfringing uses for Defendant’s products and services. Moreover, RAPID7 has known of the ‘497 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.<sup>2</sup> For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

12. RAPID7 has caused and will continue to cause PacSec3 damage by direct and indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the ‘497 patent.

#### **IV. JURY DEMAND**

PacSec3 hereby requests a trial by jury on issues so triable by right.

#### **V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, PacSec3 prays for relief as follows:

- a. enter judgment that Defendant has infringed the claims of the ‘497 patent through selling, offering for sale, manufacturing, and inducing others to infringe by using and instructing to use DDOS protection systems;
- b. award PacSec3 damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for Defendant’s infringement of the Patent-in-Suit in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty or lost

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

profits, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

- c. award PacSec3 an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial and an award by the Court of additional damage for any such acts of infringement;
- d. declare this case to be “exceptional” under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award PacSec3 its attorneys’ fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action;
- e. declare Defendant’s infringement to be willful and treble the damages, including attorneys’ fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an increase in the damage award pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- f. a decree addressing future infringement that either (i) awards a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, affiliates, divisions, and subsidiaries, and those in association with Defendant from infringing the claims of the Patent-in-Suit, or (ii) awards damages for future infringement in lieu of an injunction in an amount consistent with the fact that for future infringement the Defendant will be an adjudicated infringer of a valid patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the future infringement will be willful as a matter of law; and
- g. award PacSec3 such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

**Ramey & Schwaller, LLP**

/s/William P. Ramey  
William P. Ramey, III  
Texas Bar No. 24027643  
5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800  
Houston, Texas 77006  
(713) 426-3923 (telephone)  
(832) 900-4941 (fax)

wramey@rameyfirm.com

*Attorneys for PacSec3, LLC*