IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

| PACSEC3, LLC, |) |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Plaintiff, |) |
| |) |
| v. |) |
| |) |
| CROWDSTRIKE HOLDINGS, INC., |) |
| Defendant. |) |

Civil Action No. 6:22-cv-00127

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

PacSec3, LLC ("PacSec") files this First Amended Complaint and demand for jury trial seeking relief from patent infringement of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,523,497 ("the '497 patent") (referred to as the "Patent-in-Suit") by Crowdstrike Holdings, Inc. ("Crowdstrike"). The First Amended Complaint is filed before any defendant has answered and is filed to remove any reference to a Zscaler Inc. product or service from the infringement chart attached as exhibit A.

I. THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff PacSec3, LLC is a Texas Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business located in Harris County, Texas.

2. On information and belief, Crowdstrike is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with a principal office and a regular and established place of business at 206 E 9th Street Suite 1750, Austin, TX 78701. On information and belief, CROWDSTRIKE sells and offers to sell products and services throughout Texas, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and services that perform infringing methods or processes into the stream of commerce knowing that they would be sold in Texas and this judicial district. CROWDSTRIKE can be served with process through their registered agent Corporation Service Company 251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, DE 19808 or wherever they may be found.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has original subject-matter jurisdiction over the entire action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because Plaintiff's claim arises under an Act of Congress relating to Patent, namely, 35 U.S.C. § 271.

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: (i) Defendant is present within or has minimum contacts within the State of Texas and this judicial district; (ii) Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Texas and in this judicial district; and (iii) Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of Texas and in this judicial district.

5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b). Defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business in this District. Further, venue is proper because Defendant conducts substantial business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and this District.

III. INFRINGEMENT OF THE '497 PATNET

6. On April 21, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,523,497 ("the '497 patent", included as an attachment) entitled "PACKET FLOODING DEFENSE SYSTEM," was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. PacSec3, LLC owns the '497 patent by assignment.

7. The '497 patent relates to a novel and improved manner and system of defense to a data packet flood attack.

Case 6:22-cv-00127-ADA Document 6 Filed 02/21/22 Page 3 of 5

8. CROWDSTRIKE offers for sale, sells and manufactures one or more firewall systems that infringes one or more claims of the '497 patent, including one or more of claims 1-18, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant put the inventions claimed by the '497 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for Defendant's actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendant's products and services would never have been put into service. Defendant's acts complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial benefit from it.

Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the charts attached as Exhibit
A. These allegations of infringement are preliminary and are therefore subject to change.

10. CROWDSTRIKE has and continues to induce infringement. CROWDSTRIKE has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., DDOS protection systems) and related services that provide question and answer services across the Internet such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1–18 of the '497 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, CROWDSTRIKE has known of the '497 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.¹ For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

11. CROWDSTRIKE has and continues to contributorily infringe. CROWDSTRIKE has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., DDOS protection systems) and related services that provide question and answer services across the Internet such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1–18 of the '497 patent, literally or nder the doctrine

¹ Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

Case 6:22-cv-00127-ADA Document 6 Filed 02/21/22 Page 4 of 5

of equivalents. Further, there are no substantial noninfringing uses for Defendant's products and services. Moreover, CROWDSTRIKE has known of the '497 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.² For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

12. CROWDSTRIKE has caused and will continue to cause PacSec3 damage by direct and indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the '497 patent.

IV. JURY DEMAND

PacSec3 hereby requests a trial by jury on issues so triable by right.

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PacSec3 prays for relief as follows:

- a. enter judgment that Defendant has infringed the claims of the '190 patent, the '564 patent and the '497 patent through selling, offering for sale, manufacturing, and inducing others to infringe by using and instructing to use DDOS protection systems;
- award PacSec3 damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for Defendant's infringement of the Patent-in-Suit in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty or lost profits, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- award PacSec3 an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial and an award by the Court of additional damage for any such acts of infringement;
- d. declare this case to be "exceptional" under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award PacSec3 its attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action;

² Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

Case 6:22-cv-00127-ADA Document 6 Filed 02/21/22 Page 5 of 5

- e. declare Defendant's infringement to be willful and treble the damages, including attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an increase in the damage award pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- f. a decree addressing future infringement that either (if) awards a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, affiliates, divisions, and subsidiaries, and those in association with Defendant from infringing the claims of the Patent-in-Suit, or (ii) awards damages for future infringement in lieu of an injunction in an amount consistent with the fact that for future infringement the Defendant will be an adjudicated infringer of a valid patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the future infringement will be willful as a matter of law; and
- g. award PacSec3 such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Ramey & Schwaller, LLP

/s/William P. Ramey William P. Ramey, III Texas Bar No. 24027643 Kyril V. Talanov Texas Bar No. 24075139 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 Houston, Texas 77006 (713) 426-3923 (telephone) (832) 900-4941 (fax) wramey@rameyfirm.com ktalanov@rameyfirm.com

Attorneys for PacSec3, LLC