

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

<p>VECTOR LICENSING LLC,</p> <p>Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>CALIX CORPORATION,</p> <p>Defendant.</p>	<p>Case No.</p> <p>Patent Case</p> <p>Jury Trial Demanded</p>
--	---

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Vector Licensing LLC (“Plaintiff”), through its attorneys, complains of Calix Corporation (“Defendant”), and alleges the following:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Vector Licensing LLC is a limited liability company with its principal place of business at 1201 Barbara Jordan Blvd., Suite 700 #1005, Austin, TX 78723.
2. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, having a principal place of business at 2777 Orchard Parkway, San Jose, CA 95134. Upon information and belief, Defendant may be served with process c/o Corporation Service Company, 271 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, DE 19808.

JURISDICTION

3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.
4. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

VENUE

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District, and Defendant is incorporated in in this District. In addition, Plaintiff has suffered harm in this district.

PATENT-IN-SUIT

7. On June 20, 2017, the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 9,686,655 (the “’655 Patent”), entitled “Apparatus and method for transmitting signal in communication system” after a full and fair examination. The ‘655 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein as if fully rewritten.
8. Plaintiff is presently the owner of the ‘655 Patent, having received all right, title and interest in and to the ‘655 Patent from the previous assignee of record. Plaintiff possesses all rights of recovery under the ‘655 Patent, including the exclusive right to recover for past infringement.
9. To the extent required, Plaintiff has complied with all marking requirements under 35 U.S.C. § 287.

COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE ‘655 PATENT

10. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.
11. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has directly infringed one or more claims of the ‘655 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the “Exemplary Defendant Products”) that infringe at least the exemplary

claims of the ‘655 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the “Exemplary ‘655 Patent Claims”) literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the ‘655 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

12. Defendants also have directly infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary ‘655 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.
13. Exhibit 2 includes charts comparing the Exemplary ‘655 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the ‘655 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary ‘655 Patent Claims.
14. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 2.
15. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendants’ infringement.

JURY DEMAND

16. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the ‘655 Patent is valid and enforceable;
- B. A judgment that Defendants have infringed, one or more claims of the ‘655 Patent;
- C. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;

- D. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendants' infringement with respect to the '655 patent;
- E. And, if necessary, to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendants' infringement, an accounting:
 - i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendants that it incurs in prosecuting this action;
 - ii. that Plaintiff be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and
 - iii. that Plaintiff be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 28, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

CHONG LAW FIRM PA

/s/Jimmy Chong

Jimmy Chong (#4839)

2961 Centerville Road, Suite 350

Wilmington, DE 19808

Telephone: (302) 999-9480

Facsimile: (302) 800-1999

Email: chong@chonglawfirm.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

Vector Licensing LLC