# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

CPC PATENT TECHNOLOGIES PTY LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

HMD GLOBAL OY,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:21-cv-00166-ADA

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED** 

# AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff CPC Patent Technologies Pty Ltd. ("CPC" or "Plaintiff") files this amended complaint for patent infringement against HMD Global Oy ("HMD" or "Defendant") alleging, based on its own knowledge as to itself and its own actions, and based on information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is a civil action arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, including specifically 35 U.S.C. § 271, based on HMD's infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,665,705 ("the '705 Patent"), which has an application priority date of 2003.
- 2. The products accused of infringing the '705 Patent include Nokia smartphones equipped with facial recognition and/or fingerprint scanning ("the Accused Products").

#### **THE PARTIES**

3. CPC is an Australian corporation having its principal place of business located at Level 1, 18 Tedder Avenue, Main Beach, Queensland 4217, Australia.

- 4. CPC is an investment company focused on biometric technology including mobile device security, credit card security, and mobile payments. In 2019, CPC acquired a patent portfolio, including the '705 Patent from biometric technology pioneer Securicom (NSW) Pty Ltd. ("Securicom") from the liquidator of Securicom and inventor Christopher Burke.
- 5. On information and belief, HMD is a Finnish corporation with places of business at Karaportti 2, FIN-02610, Espoo, Finland, and Level 4, 4 Kingdom Street, Paddington Central, London W26BD. Upon information and belief, HMD sells and offers to sell products and services throughout Texas, including in this Judicial District, and introduces products and services that perform infringing processes into the stream of commerce knowing that they would be sold in Texas and this Judicial District.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because the claims herein arise under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., including 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c). HMD is a foreign entity and may be sued in any Judicial District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(3).
- 8. On information and belief, HMD is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this State and Judicial District, including: (A) at least part of its infringing activities alleged herein; and (B) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods sold and services provided to Texas residents. For example, upon information and belief, HMD markets the Accused Products and arranges for the Accused Products to be offered for sale in this District.

- 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over HMD, directly or through intermediaries, including its subsidiaries, because it has committed acts within Texas giving rise to this action and/or has established minimum contacts with Texas such that personal jurisdiction over Defendant would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 10. On information and belief, HMD has placed and continues to place the Accused Products into the stream of commerce via an established distribution channel with the knowledge and/or intent that the Accused products were sold and continue to be sold in the United States and Texas, including in this District.
- 11. On information and belief, HMD has continuous and systemic contacts to, and presence in, the State of Texas and the Western District of Texas, making venue in this Judicial District both proper and convenient for this action.

## SECURICOM'S INNOVATION OF BIOMETRIC SECURITY TECHNOLOGY

- 12. The invention of the '705 Patent provides for enrollment in a biometric security system where the user's biometric data is stored securely. Once the user's biometric data is secure in an electronic device (e.g., a smartphone), the biometric data can be used to unlock the electronic device.
- 13. On September 17, 2019, the United States Patent and Trademark Office recorded an assignment of patent rights of certain patents (including the '705 Patent) to CPC Patent Technologies Pty Ltd. ("CPC"). CPC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Charter Pacific. (See https://www.charpac.com.au/further-clarification/.)

#### HMD'S FORAY INTO THE BIOMETRIC SECURITY TECHNOLOGY

14. In 2018, nearly fifteen years after the priority application date for the '705 Patent, HMD introduced a rear-mounted fingerprint sensor with the Nokia smartphones, which is a

biometric security system used for, e.g., device access and other security functions. Thereafter, HMD added facial recognition in the Accused Products as a security feature alternative to fingerprint scanning. (*See* https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/nokia-3-1-plus-arrives-in-the-united-states-on-cricket-wireless-300784179.html)

#### THE '705 PATENT

15. On May 30, 2017, the '705 Patent, entitled "Remote Entry System," was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and accurate copy of the '705 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

#### HMD'S KNOWLEDGE OF THE '705 PATENT

- 16. On February 12, 2021, CPC provided HMD specific notice of infringement regarding the '705 Patent. A copy of the February 12, 2021 letter from counsel for CPC to HMD has been attached hereto as Exhibit B.
  - 17. HMD has not taken a license to the '705 Patent.

#### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### (Infringement of the '705 Patent)

- 18. CPC incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 17.
- 19. CPC owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '705 Patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '705 Patent against infringers, and to collect damages for all relevant times.
- 20. A claim chart showing how a representative Accused Product directly infringes representative claim 1 of the '705 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

- 21. With knowledge of the '705 Patent, HMD has induced its customers to infringe at least claim 1 of that patent by instructing such customers regarding the registration for, and use of, the facial recognition and fingerprint sensor functions of the Accused Products that resulted in the direct infringement of at least that claim.
  - 22. CPC has been damaged by HMD's infringement of the '705 Patent.
  - 23. CPC has complied with 35 U.S.C. § 287 with respect to the '705 Patent.

#### **WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT**

- 24. CPC incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 23.
- 25. HMD was informed of the existence of the '705 Patent and that its activities constituted infringement of the '705 Patent in February 2021. *See* Exhibit B.
- 26. HMD nevertheless continues to intentionally and deliberately infringe the '705 Patent.
- 27. HMD's response to CPC's interrogatory number 13 confirms HMD has no good faith justification for continuing to infringe the '705 Patent. A copy of HMD's responses to CPC's interrogatories has been attached hereto as Exhibit D.
- 28. In particular, with the exception of attempting to improperly reargue claim construction, HMD's response merely invokes CPC's alleged failure to satisfy its burden of proof on the issue of infringement, rather than putting forth any affirmative theories regarding the non-infringement of HMD's products. *See* Exhibit D at 17–20, 38–54.
- 29. Accordingly, HMD has willfully infringed the '705 Patent, and CPC respectfully requests treble damages. 35 U.S.C. § 284.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

CPC hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable by right.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, CPC requests that:

- A. The Court find that HMD has directly infringed the '705 Patent and hold HMD liable for such infringement;
- B. The Court find that HMD has indirectly infringed the '705 Patent by inducing its customers to directly infringe the '705 Patent and hold HMD liable for such infringement;
- C. The Court award damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 adequate to compensate CPC for HMD's past infringement of the '705 Patent, including both pre- and post-judgment interest and costs as fixed by the Court;
- D. The Court find that HMD's infringement was willful and increases the damages up to three times the amount found or assessed.
  - E. The Court enter an injunction preventing further infringement by HMD; and
  - F. The Court award such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: May 11, 2022 Respectfully submitted,

#### /s/ James A. Shimota

James A. Shimota
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
Illinois State Bar No. 6270603
George C. Summerfield
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
Michigan State Bar No. P40644
Ben Roxborough
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
Colorado Bar No. 51331
Jonah Heemstra
(admitted *pro hac vice*)

Illinois State Bar No. 6339094

#### **K&L GATES LLP**

70 W. Madison Street, Suite #3300 Chicago, IL 60602 Tel.: (312) 807-4299 Fax: (312) 827-8000 Jim.Shimota@klgates.com George.Summerfield@klgates.com Ben.Roxborough@klgates.com Jonah.Heemstra@klgates.com

#### Stewart Mesher

Texas State Bar No. 24032738

#### **K&L GATES LLP**

2801 Via Fortuna, Suite #350

Austin, TX 78746 Tel.: (512) 482-6841

Fax: (512) 482-6859

Stewart.Mesher@klgates.com

#### Elizabeth A. Gilman

Texas State Bar No. 24069265

#### **K&L GATES LLP**

1000 Main Street, Suite #2550

Houston, Texas 77002

Tel.: (713) 815-7327

Fax: (713) 815-7301

Beth.Gilman@klgates.com

# ATTORNEYS FOR CPC PATENT TECHNOLOGIES PTY LTD.

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on May 11, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ James A. Shimota James A. Shimota