

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

<b>MESA DIGITAL, LLC,</b>	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	<b>Civil Action No. 6:21-cv-001020-ADA</b>
<b>v.</b>	)	
	)	
<b>CELLCO PARTNERSHIP</b>	)	
<b>Defendant.</b>	)	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
	)	

**PLAINTIFF’S THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Mesa Digital, LLC (“Mesa”) files this Third Amended Complaint and demand for jury trial seeking relief from patent infringement of the claims of US 9,031,537 (“the ‘537 patent”) (referred to as the “Patent-in-Suit”) by Cellco Partnership (“Verizon”).

**I. THE PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff Mesa is a New Mexico Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business located in Albuquerque, New Mexico.

2. On information and belief, Verizon is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its principal place of business as One Verizon Way VC52N091 Basking Ridge, NJ 07920. Defendant can be served through its registered agent CT Corp System, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201-3136. Verizon further has a regular and established place of business located at 2812 W Loop 340 Suite# H-12, Waco, TX 76711. On information and belief, Verizon sells and offers to sell products and services throughout Texas, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and services that perform infringing methods or processes into the stream of commerce knowing that they would be sold in Texas and this judicial district.

**II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This Court has original subject-matter jurisdiction over the entire action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because Plaintiff's claim arises under an Act of Congress relating to patents, namely, 35 U.S.C. § 271.

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: (i) Defendant is present within or has minimum contacts within the State of Texas and this judicial district; (ii) Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Texas and in this judicial district; and (iii) Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of Texas and in this judicial district.

5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b). Defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business in this District. Further, venue is proper because Defendant conducts substantial business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and this District.

### **III. INFRINGEMENT**

#### **A. Infringement of the '537 Patent**

6. On May 12, 2015, U.S. Patent No. 9,031,537 ("the '537 patent" (included as an attachment, the contents of which are fully incorporated by reference)) entitled "Electronic wireless hand held multimedia device" was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Mesa owns the '537 patent by assignment.

7. The '537 patent relates to a novel and improved electronic wireless hand held multimedia devices including a microprocessor and more than one wireless transceiver modules enabling

wireless communications over a variety of standards, including Cellular (e.g., GSM, CDMA, GPRS, 3G), 802.11 (e.g., WLAN), and short range (e.g., Bluetooth, infrared, RFID), for the retrieval, processing and delivery of multimedia data to/from remote data resources (e.g., Internet, servers).

8. Verizon maintains, operates, manufactures, sells, offers for sale, and imports electronic wireless hand held multimedia devices including a microprocessor and more than one wireless transceiver modules enabling wireless communications over a variety of standards, including Cellular (e.g., GSM, CDMA, GPRS, 3G), 802.11 (e.g., WLAN), and short range (e.g., Bluetooth, infrared, RFID), for the retrieval, processing and delivery of multimedia data to/from remote data resources (e.g., Internet, servers) that infringe one or more claims of the '537 patent, including one or more of claims 1-37, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant put the inventions claimed by the '537 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for Defendant's actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendant's products and services would never have been put into service. Defendant's acts complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial benefit from it.

9. Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the accompanying Exhibit A. These allegations of infringement are preliminary and are therefore subject to change.

10. Verizon has and continues to induce infringement. Verizon has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., electronic wireless handheld devices) such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-37 of the '537 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Verizon has known of the '537 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the date of the filing of the lawsuit.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if pre-suit knowledge of the '537 patent is discovered.

11. Verizon has and continues to contributorily infringe. Verizon has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., electronic wireless handheld devices) and related services such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1–37 of the ‘537 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Further, the products and services have no non-infringing uses. Moreover, Verizon has known of the ‘537 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the date of the filing of the lawsuit.<sup>2</sup>
12. Verizon has caused and will continue to cause MESA damage by direct and indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of and contributing to the infringement of) the claims of the ‘537 patent.

#### **IV. JURY DEMAND**

MESA hereby requests a trial by jury on issues so triable by right.

#### **V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, MESA prays for relief as follows:


- a. enter judgment that Defendant has infringed the claims of the ‘537 patent;
- b. award MESA damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for Defendant’s infringement of the ‘537 patent in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty or lost profits, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- c. award MESA an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial and an award by the Court of additional damage for any such acts of infringement;
- d. declare this case to be “exceptional” under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award MESA its attorneys’ fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action;

<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if pre-suit knowledge of the '537 patent is discovered.

- e. declare Defendant's infringement to be willful and treble the damages, including attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an increase in the damage award pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- f. a decree addressing future infringement that either (i) awards a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, affiliates, divisions, and subsidiaries, and those in association with Defendant from infringing the claims of the Patents-in- Suit, or (ii) awards damages for future infringement in lieu of an injunction in an amount consistent with the fact that for future infringement the Defendant will be an adjudicated infringer of a valid patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the future infringement will be willful as a matter of law; and
- g. award MESA such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

**Ramey LLP**



---

William P. Ramey, III  
Texas State Bar No. 24027643  
5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800  
Houston, Texas 77006  
(713) 426-3923 (telephone)  
(832) 900-4941 (fax)  
wramey@rameyfirm.com

*Attorneys for Mesa Digital IP, LLC*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule CV-5, I hereby certify that all counsel of record who have appeared in this case are being served on this day of July 28, 2022, with a copy of the foregoing via email or ECF notification.

/s/ William P. Ramey, III  
William P. Ramey, III