## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 6:22-cv-00690-ADA

v.

GRUBHUB, INC.,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant

# FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

# TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Plaintiff Traxcell Technologies, LLC ("Traxcell" or "Plaintiff"), files this First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement and demand for jury trial seeking relief from patent infringement by Grubhub, Inc. ("Grubhub" or "Defendant"), alleging infringement of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 10,820,147 (the "patent-in-suit"), and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

# **PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff is a Texas Limited Liability Company, with its principal place of business located at Traxcell Technologies LLC, 617 North 4th Street, Suite "S," Waco, TX 76701.

2. On information and belief, Defendant is a Delaware corporation with a principal address of 111 W Washington Street Suite 2100, Chicago, IL 60602, and has regular and established places of business throughout this District, including at least at 13851 Pond Springs Road, Austin, TX 78729. *See* https://www.builtinaustin.com/company/grubhub. *See also* https://www.grubhub.com/delivery/tx-austin. Defendant is registered to do business in Texas and

#### Case 6:22-cv-00690-ADA Document 9 Filed 08/17/22 Page 2 of 8

has may be served via its registered agent at Corporation Service Company D/B/A CSC Lawyers Inco, located at 211 E. 7<sup>th</sup> Street Suite 620, Austin, TX 78701.

3. On information and belief, Defendant directly and/or indirectly develops, designs, manufactures, distributes, markets, offers to sell and/or sells infringing products and services in the United States, including in the Western District of Texas, and otherwise directs infringing activities to this District in connection with its products and services.

#### **JURISDICTION**

4. This civil action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, including without limitation 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285 based on Defendant's unauthorized commercial manufacture, use, importation, offer for sale, and sale of the Accused Products in the United States. This is a patent infringement lawsuit over which this Court has subject matter jurisdiction under, *inter alia*, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, and 1338(a).

5. This United States District Court for the Western District of Texas has general and specific personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, directly or through intermediaries, Defendant has committed acts within the district giving rise to this action and are present in and transact and conduct business in and with residents of this District and the State of Texas.

6. Plaintiff's causes of action arise, at least in part, from Defendant's contacts with and activities in this District and the State of Texas.

7. Defendant has committed acts of infringing the patent-in-suit within this District and the State of Texas by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into this District and elsewhere in the State of Texas, products claimed by the patent-in-suit, including without limitation products made by practicing the claimed methods of the patent-in-suit. Defendant, directly and through intermediaries, makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, imports, ships, distributes,

## Case 6:22-cv-00690-ADA Document 9 Filed 08/17/22 Page 3 of 8

advertises, promotes, and/or otherwise commercializes such infringing products into this District and the State of Texas. Defendant regularly conducts and solicits business in, engages in other persistent courses of conduct in, and/or derives substantial revenue from goods and services provided to residents of this District and the State of Texas.

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant pursuant to TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 17.041 et seq. Personal jurisdiction exists over Defendant because Defendant has minimum contacts with this forum as a result of business regularly conducted within the State of Texas and within this district, and, on information and belief, specifically as a result of, at least, committing the tort of patent infringement within Texas and this District. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, in part, because Defendant does continuous and systematic business in this District, including by providing infringing products and services to the residents of the Western District of Texas that Defendant knew would be used within this District, and by soliciting business from the residents of the Western District of Texas. For example, Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this Court because, *inter alia*, Defendant has regular and established places of business throughout this District, including at least at 13851 Pond Springs Road, Austin, TX 78729, and directly and through agents regularly does, solicits, and transacts business in the Western District of Texas. Also, Defendant has hired and is hiring within this District for positions that, on information and belief, relate to infringement of the patent-in-suit. Accordingly, this Court's jurisdiction over the Defendant comports with the constitutional standards of fair play and substantial justice and arises directly from the Defendant's purposeful minimum contacts with the State of Texas.

9. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, because in addition to Defendant's own online website and advertising with this District, Defendant has also made its

3

## Case 6:22-cv-00690-ADA Document 9 Filed 08/17/22 Page 4 of 8

products available within this judicial district and advertised to residents within the District to hire employees to be located in this District.

10. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 exclusive of interests and costs.

11. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) based on information set forth herein, which is hereby repeated and incorporated by reference. Further, upon information and belief, Defendant has committed or induced acts of infringement, and/or advertise, market, sell, and/or offer to sell products, including infringing products, in this District. In addition, and without limitation, Defendant has regular and established places of business throughout this District, including at least at 13851 Pond Springs Road, Austin, TX 78729.

#### **THE PATENT-IN-SUIT**

12. On October 27, 2020, United States Patent No. 10,820,147 ("the '147 patent"), entitled "Mobile wireless device providing off-line and on-line geographic navigation information" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"). On October 3, 2016, the '147 patent was duly and lawfully conveyed to Traxcell Technologies, LLC, including all rights, title, and interest in and to the invention of the '147 patent and its underlying patent applications, including the right to sue and recover for patent infringements, by written assignments recorded on February 12, 2020 in the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The '147 patent claims patent-eligible subject matter and is valid and enforceable. Traxcell is the exclusive owner by assignment of all rights, title, and interest in the '147 patent, including the right to bring this suit for damages, and including the right to sue and recover all past, present, and future damages for infringement of the '147 patent. Defendant is not licensed to the '147 patent, either expressly or implicitly, nor do they enjoy or benefit from any

#### Case 6:22-cv-00690-ADA Document 9 Filed 08/17/22 Page 5 of 8

rights in or to the '147 patent whatsoever. A true and correct copy of the '147 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

13. The '147 patent is referred to herein as the "patent-in-suit."

14. Plaintiff Traxcell is the owner of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the patent-in-suit. The patent-in-suit are presumed valid under 35 U.S.C. § 282.

### ACCUSED INSTRUMENTALITIES

15. The term "Accused Instrumentalities" or "Accused Products" refers to, by way of example and without limitation, Grubhub's technology platform for connecting consumers with local businesses and merchants (*see, e.g.*, https://about.grubhub.com/).

### COUNT I PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF THE '147 PATENT

16. Plaintiff restates and realleges the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

17. Defendant has, under 35 U.S.C. §271(a), directly infringed, and continues to directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims, including without limitation at least claim 1 of the '147 patent, by making, using, testing, selling, offering for sale and/or importing into the United States Defendant's Accused Products including but not limited to U.S. wireless networks, wireless-network components, and related services that use identified locations of wireless devices to provide direction.

18. On information and belief, Defendant has made no attempt to design around the claims of the '147 patent.

19. On information and belief, Defendant did not have a reasonable basis for believing that the claims of the '147 patent were invalid.

5

### Case 6:22-cv-00690-ADA Document 9 Filed 08/17/22 Page 6 of 8

20. On information and belief, Defendant's Accused Products are available to businesses and individuals throughout the United States and in the State of Texas, including in this District.

21. Traxcell has been damaged as the result of Defendant's infringement. Upon information and belief, Defendant will continue to infringe one or more claims of the '147 patent unless and until they are enjoined by this Court.

22. The claim chart attached hereto as **Exhibit B** describes how the elements of an exemplary claim 1 from the '147 patent are infringed by the Accused Products. This provides details regarding only one example of Defendant's infringement, and only as to a single patent claim. Plaintiff reserves its right to amend and fully provide its infringement arguments and evidence thereof until its Preliminary and Final Infringement Contentions are later produced according to the court's scheduling order in this case.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Traxcell respectfully requests the following relief:

A. A judgment that Defendant has directly infringed either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents and continue to directly infringe the patent-in-suit;

B. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay Plaintiff damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 including past damages based on, *inter alia*, any necessary compliance with 35 U.S.C. §287, and supplemental damages for any continuing post-verdict infringement through entry of the final judgment with an accounting as needed;

C. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay Plaintiff pre-judgment and postjudgment interest on the damages awarded;

D. A judgment and order awarding a compulsory ongoing royalty;

6

## Case 6:22-cv-00690-ADA Document 9 Filed 08/17/22 Page 7 of 8

E. A judgment and order awarding Plaintiff costs associated with bringing this action;

F. A judgment granting a preliminary and permanent injunction that restrains and enjoins Defendant, its officers, directors, divisions, employees, agents, servants, parents, subsidiaries, successors, assigns, and all those in privity, concert or participation with them from directly or indirectly infringing the patent-in-suit; and

G. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

### JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 38, Plaintiff Traxcell hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

Ramey LLP

William P. Ramey, III Texas State Bar No. 24027643 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 Houston, Texas 77006 (713) 426-3923 (telephone) (832) 900-4941 (fax) wramey@rameyfirm.com *Attorneys for Traxcell Technologies, LLC* 

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and LR5, I hereby certify that all counsel of record who have appeared in this case are being served on this day of August 17, 2022, with a copy of the foregoing via email and ECF filing.

<u>/s/ William P. Ramey, III</u> William P. Ramey, III