1	PEPPER HAMILTON, LLP				
2	Harry P. Weitzel (CA BAR NO. 149934) weitzelh@pepperlaw.com				
3	4 Park Plaza, Suite 1200 Irvine, California 92614-5955				
	Telephone: (949) 567-3500				
4	Facsimile: (949) 521-9101				
5	William D. Belanger (MA Bar No. 657184) belangerw@pepperlaw.com				
6	James M. Wodarski (MA Bar No. 627036) wodarskj@pepperlaw.com				
7	Matthew D. Durell (GA Bar No. 142061) durellm@pepperlaw.com				
8	David A. Loo (MA Bar No. 669305)				
9	lood@pepperlaw.com Frank D. Liu (MA Bar No. 675431)				
10	liuf@pepperlaw.com  All admitted pro hac vice				
11	125 High Street 15 <sup>th</sup> Floor, Oliver Street Tower				
12	Boston, Massachusetts 02110 Telephone: (617) 204-5100				
13	Facsimile: (617) 204-5150				
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff NAZOMI COMMUNICATIONS, INC.				
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
17	SAN JOS	E DIVISION			
18					
19	Nazomi Communications, Inc.,	Case No. 5: 10-cv-4686-JF			
20	Plaintiff,	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR			
21	V.	PATENT INFRINGEMENT			
22	Nokia Corporation, Nokia, Inc., Amazon.com,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED			
23	Inc., Western Digital Corporation, Western Digital Technologies, Inc., Garmin				
24	Corporation, Garmin International, Inc.,				
25	Garmin USA, Inc., Sling Media, Inc. and VIZIO, Inc.				
26					
27	Defendants.				
28					
<b>40</b>	Ni Commission - N. P.	Space Armer Corner			
	Nazomi Communications vs. Nokia, et al., 5:10-cv-4686-JF	1. SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT			

1	Plaintiff Nazomi Communications, Inc. ("Nazomi"), by and through its undersigned		
2	counsel, complains as follows:		
3	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
4	1.	This infringement action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35	
5	of the United	d States Code, including but not limited to 35 U.S.C. § 271.	
6	2.	This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and	
7	1338(a).		
8	3.	Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c),	
9	and 1400(b).		
10	THE PARTIES		
11	4.	Plaintiff Nazomi Communications, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing	
12	under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business at 3561 Homestead		
13	Road, Suite 571, Santa Clara, California 95051.		
14	5.	Upon information and belief, Defendant Nokia Corporation is incorporated under	
15	the laws of F	Finland and has its principal place of business at Nokia House, Keilalahdentie 2-4,	
16	FIN-02150, Espoo, Finland.		
17	6.	Upon information and belief, Defendant Nokia, Inc. is a corporation organized and	
18	existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business at 6000		
19	Connection 1	Drive, Irving, Texas 75039.	
20	7.	Upon information and belief, Defendant Amazon.com, Inc. is a corporation	
21	organized an	nd existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business	
22	at 410 Terry Avenue N., Seattle, Washington 98109.		
23	8.	Upon information and belief, Defendant Western Digital Corporation is a	
24	corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal		
25	place of business at 20511 Lake Forest Drive, Lake Forest, CA 92630.		
26	9.	Upon information and belief, Defendant Western Digital Technologies, Inc. is a	
27	corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal		
28	place of busi	ness at 20511 Lake Forest Drive, Lake Forest, CA 92630.	

- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant Garmin Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Taiwan with its principal place of business at No 68, Jangshu 2nd Road, Sijhih, Taipei County, Taiwan.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant Garmin International, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Kansas with its principal place of business at 1200 East 151st Street, Olathe, Kansas 66062.
- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant Garmin USA, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Kansas with its principal place of business at 1200 East 151st Street, Olathe, Kansas 66062.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sling Media, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business at 1051 E. Hillsdale Blvd, Suite 500, Foster City, CA 94404. Sling Media, Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of EchoStar Corporation.
- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant VIZIO, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business at 39 Tesla, Irvine, CA 92618.

## BACKGROUND

- 15. Nazomi Communications, Inc. was founded in September 1998 by three Java technology and embedded systems veterans for the purpose of enhancing the performance of applications that run on the Java platform and other universal runtime platforms. Nazomi's pioneering technologies included the JSTAR Java Coprocessor technology and the JA108 Java and Multimedia Application Processor, which were targeted at wireless mobile devices, internet appliances, and embedded systems. Nazomi's technology and products were adopted by leading phone manufacturers and incorporated into millions of smart phones. In the years since Nazomi's introduction of the JSTAR and JA108 products, Java hardware acceleration has been widely adopted for wireless mobile and embedded systems applications. Java is now used as a platform on hundreds of millions of devices.
  - **16.** On July 18, 2006, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally

1	United States a	nd this judicial district the Slingbox Pro-HD remote personal video recorder.
2	Upon information and belief, the Slingbox Pro-HD incorporates an ARM926EJ-S processor core	
3	capable of Java	hardware acceleration.
4	<b>25.</b> ]	Defendant VIZIO, Inc. makes, uses, sells, and/or offers for sale within the United
5	States and this	judicial district the VIZIO L37 and VL320M high definition televisions. Upon
6	information and	d belief, the VIZIO L37 and VL320M high definition televisions incorporate an
7	ARM926EJ processor core capable of Java hardware acceleration.	
8	Count I	
9	INFRINGEMENT OF THE '362 PATENT	
10	<b>26.</b>	Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding paragraphs 1-25 as if fully set forth
11	herein.	
12	<b>27.</b>	Defendants have been and are directly infringing the '362 patent by making, using
13	selling, and/or o	offering for sale within the United States and this judicial district the products
14	identified in paragraphs 20-25.	
15	28.	Upon information and belief, since December 7, 2009, Defendants Nokia
16	Corporation and	d Nokia, Inc. have been and are inducing end users of the Nokia 6350 to directly
17	infringe the cla	ims of the '362 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. 271(b).
18	<b>29.</b>	In particular, Nokia Corporation and Nokia, Inc. have had actual knowledge of the
19	'362 patent since December 7, 2009. See Exhibit 3.	
20	30.	Upon information and belief, since December 7, 2009, Nokia Corporation and
21	Nokia, Inc. hav	re provided end users of the Nokia 6350 with user manuals that contain instructions
22	intended to induce end users to open and use Java applications that Nokia pre-loads on Nokia	
23	6350 devices.	See Exhibit 4 (Nokia 6350 User Guide) at 34. The Nokia 6350 User Manual also
24	instructs and induces end users to download, install, and use Java applications on the Nokia 6350	
25	See Exhibit 4 at 34-35.	
26	31.	Upon information and belief, since December 7, 2009, Nokia Corporation and
27	Nokia, Inc. hav	re had actual knowledge that Nokia products capable of Java hardware acceleration
28	infringe one or	more claims of the '362 patent.

1	32.	Upon information and belief, since December 7, 2009, Nokia Corporation and	
2	Nokia, Inc., have known that execution of the instructions referenced in paragraph 30 by end		
3	users results in direct infringement of one or more claims of the '362 patent.		
4	33.	Consequently, upon information and belief, since December 7, 2009, Nokia	
5	Corporation a	nd Nokia, Inc. have known that the induced acts referenced in paragraph 30	
6	constitute infringement of one or more claims of the '362 patent.		
7	34.	The infringement by Defendants of the '362 patent has injured Plaintiff and will	
8	cause irreparable injury and damage in the future unless Defendants are enjoined from infringing		
9	the '362 patent.		
10		COUNT II	
11		INFRINGEMENT OF THE '436 PATENT	
12	35.	Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding paragraphs 1-30 as if fully set forth	
13	herein.		
14	36.	Defendants have been and are directly infringing the '436 patent by making, using,	
15	selling, and/or	r offering for sale within the United States and this judicial district the products	
16	identified in p	paragraphs 20-25.	
17	37.	Upon information and belief, since December 7, 2009, Defendants Nokia	
18	Corporation and Nokia, Inc. have been and are inducing end users of the Nokia 6350 to directly		
19	infringe the cl	aims of the '436 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. 271(b).	
20	38.	In particular, Nokia Corporation and Nokia, Inc. have had actual knowledge of the	
21	'436 patent si	nce December 7, 2009. See Exhibit 3.	
22	39.	Upon information and belief, since December 7, 2009, Nokia Corporation and	
23	Nokia, Inc. ha	we provided end users of the Nokia 6350 with user manuals that contain instructions	
24	intended to in	duce end users to open and use Java applications that Nokia pre-loads on Nokia	
25	6350 devices.	See Exhibit 4 (Nokia 6350 User Guide) at 34. The Nokia 6350 User Manual also	
26	instructs and i	nduces end users to download, install, and use Java applications on the Nokia 6350.	
27	See Exhibit 4	at 34-35.	
28	40.	Upon information and belief, since December 7, 2009, Nokia Corporation and	
	Nozomi Commi	unications vs. Nakia at al Second Amended Compliants	

1	Nokia, Inc. have had actual knowledge that Nokia products capable of Java hardware acceleration	
2	infringe one or more claims of the '436 patent.	
3	<b>41.</b> Upon information and belief, since December 7, 2009, Nokia Corporation and	
4	Nokia, Inc., have known that execution of the instructions referenced in paragraph 30 by end	
5	users results in direct infringement of one or more claims of the '436 patent.	
6	<b>42.</b> Consequently, upon information and belief, since December 7, 2009, Nokia	
7	Corporation and Nokia, Inc. have known that the induced acts referenced in paragraph 30	
8	constitute infringement of one or more claims of the '436 patent.	
9	<b>43.</b> The infringement by Defendants of the '436 patent has injured Plaintiff and will	
10	cause irreparable injury and damage in the future unless Defendants are enjoined from infringing	
11	the '436 patent.	
12	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
13	WHEREFORE, Nazomi prays for judgment against all Defendants as follows:	
14	a) That the Court find that Defendants have each infringed and are each presently	
15	infringing, directly and/or indirectly, United States Patent Nos. 7,080,362 and 7,225,436;	
16	b) That the Court find the '362 and '436 patents valid and enforceable;	
17	c) That the Court award Nazomi damages or other monetary relief, including	
18	prejudgment interest, for Defendants' infringement;	
19	d) That the Court find this to be an exceptional case entitling Nazomi to an award of	
20	attorney's fees, expenses, and costs pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;	
21	e) That the Court enjoin Defendants and their officers, directors, agents, and	
22	employees, from infringing, directly or indirectly, the '362 and '436 patents;	
23	f) That the Court award Nazomi such other and further relief as the Court deems just	
24	and appropriate.	
25	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
26	Plaintiff respectfully requests a jury trial on all issues so triable.	
27		
28		

## Case5:10-cv-04686-RMW Document286 Filed08/15/11 Page8 of 8

1	Dated: August 15, 2011	PEPPER HAMILTON LLP
2		Respectfully submitted,
3		
4		/s/ Matthew Durell
5		Matthew Durell
6		Attorney for Plaintiff NAZOMI COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Nozomi Communications vs. Nokio et al	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT