

LAWRENCE M. HADLEY - State Bar No. 157,728  
[lhadley@glaserweil.com](mailto:lhadley@glaserweil.com)

STEPHEN E. UNDERWOOD - State Bar No. 320,303  
[sunderwood@glaserweil.com](mailto:sunderwood@glaserweil.com)

GLASER WEIL FINK HOWARD  
AVCHEN & SHAPIRO LLP  
10250 Constellation Boulevard, 19th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90067  
Telephone: (310) 553-3000  
Facsimile: (310) 556-2920

LAWRENCE R. LAPORTE, State Bar No. 130,003  
[Lawrence.LaPorte@lewisbrisbois.com](mailto:Lawrence.LaPorte@lewisbrisbois.com)

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
633 West 5th Street, Suite 4000  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Telephone: 213.250.1800  
Facsimile: 213.250.7900

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Core Optical Technologies, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CORE OPTICAL TECHNOLOGIES,  
LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

ADVA OPTICAL NETWORKING SE;  
ADVA OPTICAL NETWORKING  
NORTH AMERICA, INC. AND DOES  
1 THROUGH 10,

Defendants.

CASE NO: 8:20-cv-01463-JAK-RAO  
**PLAINTIFF CORE OPTICAL  
TECHNOLOGIES, LLC'S NOTICE  
OF APPEAL**

Notice is hereby given that Plaintiff Core Optical Technologies, LLC (“Plaintiff” or “Core”) in the above-captioned case hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from: (i) the judgment entered in this case on September 14, 2022 (Dkt. 133) (the “Judgment”); (ii) the August 4, 2022 order granting Defendants ADVA Optical Networking SE’s and ADVA Optical Networking North America, Inc.’s (collectively, “ADVA’s”) motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 128) (the “Order”); and (iii) each and every interlocutory order, decision, judgment or ruling that merged into the Judgment or the Order, including, without limitation, the district court’s conclusions (1) that the patent-in-suit, U.S. Patent No. 6,782,211 (the “’211 Patent”), is not a Non-TRW Invention under Dr. Core’s Invention Agreement with TRW, (2) that Dr. Core’s rights in the ’211 Patent were automatically assigned under the Invention Agreement to TRW, and (3) that Plaintiff Core Optical Technologies, LLC lacks standing to assert the ’211 Patent.

DATED: September 28, 2022

GLASER WEIL FINK HOWARD  
AVCHEN & SHAPIRO LLP

By: /s/Lawrence M. Hadley  
LAWRENCE M. HADLEY  
STEPHEN E. UNDERWOOD

LAWRENCE R. LAPORTE  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Core Optical Technologies, LLC