

LAWRENCE M. HADLEY - State Bar No. 157,728

[lhadley@glaserweil.com](mailto:lhadley@glaserweil.com)

STEPHEN E. UNDERWOOD - State Bar No. 320,303

[sunderwood@glaserweil.com](mailto:sunderwood@glaserweil.com)

GLASER WEIL FINK HOWARD

AVCHEN & SHAPIRO LLP

10250 Constellation Boulevard, 19th Floor

Los Angeles, California 90067

Telephone: (310) 553-3000

Facsimile: (310) 556-2920

LAWRENCE R. LAPORTE, State Bar No. 130,003

[Lawrence.LaPorte@lewisbrisbois.com](mailto:Lawrence.LaPorte@lewisbrisbois.com)

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

633 West 5th Street, Suite 4000

Los Angeles, California 90071

Telephone: 213.250.1800

Facsimile: 213.250.7900

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Core Optical Technologies, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CORE OPTICAL TECHNOLOGIES,  
LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

NOKIA CORPORATION, a Finnish  
Corporation, NOKIA OF AMERICA  
CORPORATION, a Delaware  
Corporation, and DOES 1 through 10,  
inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO: 8:19-cv-2190-JAK-RAO

**PLAINTIFF CORE OPTICAL  
TECHNOLOGIES, LLC'S NOTICE  
OF APPEAL**

Glaser Weil

Notice is hereby given that Plaintiff Core Optical Technologies, LLC (“Plaintiff” or “Core”) in the above-captioned case hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from: (i) the judgment entered in this case on September 14, 2022 (Dkt. 149) (the “Judgment”); (ii) the August 4, 2022 order granting Defendants Nokia Corporation’s and Nokia of America Corporation’s (collectively, “Nokia’s”) motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 144) (the “Order”); and (iii) each and every interlocutory order, decision, judgment or ruling that merged into the Judgment or the Order, including, without limitation, the district court’s conclusions (1) that the patent-in-suit, U.S. Patent No. 6,782,211 (the “’211 Patent”), is not a Non-TRW Invention under Dr. Core’s Invention Agreement with TRW, (2) that Dr. Core’s rights in the ’211 Patent were automatically assigned under the Invention Agreement to TRW, and (3) that Plaintiff Core Optical Technologies, LLC lacks standing to assert the ’211 Patent.

DATED: September 28, 2022

GLASER WEIL FINK HOWARD  
AVCHEN & SHAPIRO LLP

By: /s/Lawrence M. Hadley

LAWRENCE M. HADLEY  
STEPHEN E. UNDERWOOD

LAWRENCE R. LAPORTE  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Core Optical Technologies, LLC