1	Susan S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No. 16740) Email: skalra@rameyfirm.com		
2	RAMEY LLP 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800		
3	Houston, Texas 77006 Telephone: (800) 993-7499		
4	Fax: (832) 900-4941		
5	William P. Ramey, III (<i>pro hac vice</i> anticipated) Texas Bar No. 24027643		
6	Email: wramey@rameyfirm.com RAMEY LLP 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 Houston, Texas 77006		
7			
8	(713) 426-3923 (telephone) (832) 900-4941 (fax)		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff STREET SPIRIT IP LLC		
11			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13			
14		Case No.:	
15		PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT	
16	META PLATFORMS, INC. AND	FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT	
17	INSTAGRAM Defendant.	(35 U.S.C. § 271)	
18		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
19			
2021	Street Spirit IP LLC ("Street Spirit") files this Original Complaint and demand for jury trial		
22	seeking relief from patent infringement of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,282,090 ("the '090		
23	patent") (referred to as the "Patent-in-Suit") by Meta Platforms, Inc. and Instagram ("Defendant" or		
24	"Instagram").		
25	I. THE PARTIES		
26	1. Street Spirit IP LLC is a Texas Limited Liability Company with its principal place of		
27	business located in Travis County, Texas.		
28	-1-		

Complaint

3. On information and belief, Instagram sells and offers to sell products and services throughout California, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and services that perform infringing methods or processes into the stream of commerce knowing that they would be sold in California and this judicial district. Instagram can be served with process through their registered agent, Corporation Service Company dba CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service Company, 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, TX, 78701, or wherever they may be found.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has original subject-matter jurisdiction over the entire action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because Plaintiff's claim arises under an Act of Congress relating to patents, namely, 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: (i) Defendant is present within or has minimum contacts within the State of California and this judicial district; (ii) Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of California and in this judicial district; and (iii) Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of California and in this judicial district.
- 6. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b). Defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business in this District. Further, venue is proper because Defendant conducts substantial business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in California and this District.

III. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT

7. Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 3-2(c) and 3-5(b), this action involves intellectual property rights and will be assigned on a district-wide basis.

IV. INFRINGEMENT

A. Infringement of the '090 Patent

- 8. On March 8, 2016, U.S. Patent No. 9,282,090 ("the '090 patent", attached as Exhibit A) entitled "Methods and systems for identity verification in a social network using ratings," was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Street Spirit IP LLC owns the '090 patent by assignment.
- 9. The '090 patent relates to a novel and improved identity verification and management for a social network system.
- 10. Defendant maintains, operates, and administers systems, products, and services for enabling a method of providing customer relationship management for a network that infringes one or more claims of the '090 patent, including one or more of claims 1-28, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant put the inventions claimed by the '090 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for Defendant's actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendant's products and services would never have been put into service. Defendant's acts complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial benefit from it.
- 11. Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the chart attached as exhibit B. These allegations of infringement are preliminary and are therefore subject to change.
- 12. Defendant has and continues to induce infringement. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., method of providing security against

cybercrimes using an identification-secured network, the identification-secured network configured to provide security against Internet-related crimes including cyberstalking and cyber-bullying) and related services that provide question and answer services across the Internet such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-28 of the '090 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant, from at least the filing date of the lawsuit, has continued to encourage and instruct others on how to use the products showing specific intent. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '090 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the issuance of the patent.

- 13. Defendant has and continues to contributorily infringe. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., method of providing security against cybercrimes using an identification-secured network, the identification-secured network configured to provide security against Internet-related crimes including cyberstalking and cyber-bullying) and related services that provide question and answer services across the Internet such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-28 of the '090 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant, from at least the filing date of the lawsuit, has continued to encourage and instruct others on how to use the products showing specific intent. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '090 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the issuance of the patent.
- 14. Defendant has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff damage by direct and indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the '090 patent.

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

- a. enter judgment that Defendant has infringed the claims of the '050 patent;
- b. award Plaintiff damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for Defendant's infringement of the Patents-in-Suit in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty or lost

1		profits, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs under 35 U.S.C. §
2		284;
3	c.	award Plaintiff an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial and an award by
4		the Court of additional damage for any such acts of infringement;
5	d.	declare this case to be "exceptional" under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award Plaintiff its attorneys'
6		fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action;
7	e.	declare Defendant's infringement to be willful and treble the damages, including attorneys'
8	С.	
9		fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an increase in the damage award
10		pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
11	f.	a decree addressing future infringement that either (if) awards a permanent injunction
12 13		enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, affiliates, divisions, and
14		subsidiaries, and those in association with Defendant from infringing the claims of the
15		Patents-in-Suit, or (ii) awards damages for future infringement in lieu of an injunction in an
16		amount consistent with the fact that for future infringement the Defendant will be an
17		adjudicated infringer of a valid patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the
18		future infringement will be willful as a matter of law; and
19	g.	award Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.
20		
21	Dateu.	February 27, 2023 Respectfully submitted,
22		RAMEY LLP
23		/s/ Susan S.Q. Kalra Susan S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No. 16740)
24		Email: skalra@rameyfirm.com 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800
25		Houston, Texas 77006 Telephone: (800) 993-7499
26		Fax: (832) 900-4941
27		
28		
	i	_

-5-

1	/s/ William P. Ramey, III	
2	William P. Ramey, III (pro hac vice anticipated)	
3	Texas Bar No. 24027643 Email: wramey@rameyfirm.com	
	5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 Houston, Texas 77006	
4	Telephone: (713) 426-3923	
5	Fax: (832) 689-9175	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	STREET SPIRIT IP LLC	
8		
9		
10	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
11		
12		
13		
14	Dated: February 27, 2023 Respectfully submitted,	
15	RAMEY LLP	
16	KAMEI LLF	
17	/s/ Susan S.Q. Kalra Susan S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No. 16740)	
18	Email: skalra@rameyfirm.com	
	5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 Houston, Texas 77006	
19	Telephone: (800) 993-7499 Fax: (832) 900-4941	
20		
21	/s/ William P. Ramey, III	
22	William P. Ramey, III (<i>pro hac vice</i> anticipated) Texas Bar No. 24027643	
23	Email: wramey@rameyfirm.com 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800	
24	Houston, Texas 77006	
25	Telephone: (713) 426-3923 Fax: (832) 689-9175	
26		
27	Attorneys for Plaintiff STREET SPIRIT IP LLC	
28		
	-6-	

Complaint