

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

STINGRAY IP SOLUTIONS LLC,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CASE NO. 2-22-cv-00420-JRG-RSP
	§	(Lead Case)
RESIDEO TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.	§	

STINGRAY IP SOLUTIONS LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CASE NO. 2:22-cv-00389-JRG-RSP
	§	(Member Case)
	§	
JOHNSON CONTROLS, INC., JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC, SENSORMATIC ELECTRONICS, LLC, VISONIC INC., QOLSYS, INC., and TYCO SECURITY PRODUCTS,	§ § § § § § § § §	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	§	

PLAINTIFF’S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Stingray IP Solutions LLC (“Stingray”) files this Second Amended Complaint in this Eastern District of Texas (the “District”) against Defendants Johnson Controls, Inc., Johnson Controls Security Solutions LLC, Sensormatic Electronics, LLC, Visonic Inc., Qolsys, Inc., and Tyco Security Products (collectively, “Defendants”) for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,224,678 (the “678 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 7,440,572 (the “572 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 7,616,961 (the “961 patent”), and U.S. Patent No. 7,441,126 (the “126 patent”).

THE PARTIES

1. Stingray IP Solutions LLC (“Stingray” or “Plaintiff”) is a Texas limited liability company, located at 6136 Frisco Sq. Blvd., Suite 400, Frisco, TX 75034.

2. On information and belief, Defendant Johnson Controls, Inc. (“JC Inc.”) is a company organized under the laws of Wisconsin, with its principal place of business located at 5757 N Green Bay Ave, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA 53209-4408.

3. On information and belief, Defendant Johnson Controls Security Solutions LLC (“JC Security”) is a company organized under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 6600 Congress Ave, Boca Raton, FL 33487-1213. Defendants JC Security and JC Inc. are named as “significant subsidiaries of the parent entity Johnson Controls International plc (referred to as “JCI PLC”) in the companies’ Annual Financial Report. *See Form 10-K Annual Financial Report For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2021*, p. 3, JOHNSON CONTROLS INTERNATIONAL PLC, *available for download at* <https://investors.johnsoncontrols.com/financial-information/johnson-sec-filings> (last visited Sep. 26, 2022) [hereinafter the “Annual Financial Report”].

4. On information and belief, JCI PLC and Defendant JC Inc. share the same world headquarters in Cork, Ireland. Moreover, JC Inc. and JC Security are each a wholly owned subsidiary of JCI PLC, and each is part of a multi-national group of companies operating as a single entity under the name “Johnson Controls” of which JCI PLC is the parent entity.

5. “Johnson Controls was originally incorporated in the state of Wisconsin in 1885 as Johnson Electric Service Company to manufacture, install and service automatic temperature regulation systems for buildings and was renamed to Johnson Controls, Inc. in 1974. In 2005, Johnson Controls acquired York International, a global supplier of heating, ventilating, air-conditioning (“HVAC”) and refrigeration equipment and services. In 2014, Johnson Controls acquired Air Distribution Technologies, Inc., one of the largest independent providers of air distribution and ventilation products in North America. . . . In 2016, Johnson Controls, Inc. and

Tyco completed their combination (the “Merger”), combining Johnson Controls portfolio of building efficiency solutions with Tyco’s portfolio of fire and security solutions. Following the Merger, Tyco changed its name to ‘Johnson Controls International plc.’” *See Form 10-K Annual Financial Report For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2021*, p. 3, JOHNSON CONTROLS INTERNATIONAL PLC, *available for download at* <https://investors.johnsoncontrols.com/financial-information/johnson-sec-filings> (last visited Sep. 26, 2022) [hereinafter “Annual Financial Report”].

6. On February 9, 2023, Defendants JC Inc. and JC Security served their “Initial Discovery Disclosures” on Plaintiff. *See* Dkt. No. 45 (Defendant’s “Notice of Compliance Regarding Initial and Additional Disclosures”). In those disclosures, Defendants identified the following parties (who are now alleged as Defendants in this amended complaint) as “potential parties to this lawsuit beyond the parties that have already been named”: Sensormatic Electronics, LLC, Visonic Inc., Qolsys, Inc., and Tyco Security Products. On information and belief, Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security control and operate as alter egos or agents of each of these Defendants Sensormatic Electronics, LLC, Visonic Inc., Qolsys, Inc., and Tyco Security Products.

7. On information and belief, Defendant Sensormatic Electronics, LLC (“Sensormatic”) is a company organized under the laws of Nevada, with its principal place of business located at 6600 Congress Ave, Boca Raton, FL 33487. Sensormatic shares the same principal place of business with Defendant JC Security, which also is the sole member and owner of Sensormatic. *See 2022 Foreign Limited Liability Company Annual Report*, FLORIDA SECRETARY OF STATE, accessible via pdf download at <https://search.sunbiz.org/Inquiry/CorporationSearch/ByName> (search for “sensormatic electronics llc”). Sensormatic is registered to do business in Texas and may be served with process via its agent C T Corporation System. *See Texas Franchise Tax Public*

Information Sheet (2021), TEXAS SECRETARY OF STATE, available for download as a pdf at <https://direct.sos.state.tx.us/> (search results for “Sensormatic Electronics LLC”). Sensormatic is a wholly-owned company of Defendant JC Security. *Id.* Sensormatic is part of the Johnson Controls multi-national group of companies.

8. On information and belief, Defendant Visonic Inc. (“Visonic”) is a company organized under the laws of Connecticut, with its principal place of business located at 6 Technology Park Dr., Westford MA 01866. Visonic further has a place of business located at 65 West Dudley Town Road Bloomfield, CT 06002. Both Sensormatic and Visonic share the same mailing address, which is P.O. Box 591, X-81, Milwaukee, WI 53201. Visonic is a wholly-owned company of Defendants JC Inc. and JC Security, and Visonic is part of the Johnson Controls multi-national group of companies.

9. On information and belief, Defendant Qolsys, Inc. (“Qolsys”) is a company organized under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 1919 Bascom Ave., 6th Floor, Campbell, CA. On August 4, 2020, Johnson Controls announced that it “acquired the remaining stake of Qolsys Inc., a leading residential and commercial security and smart-home manufacturer, after owning a majority since 2014.” *See Johnson Controls Acquires Qolsys, Inc.*, JOHNSON CONTROLS, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/media-center/news> (search for “Qolsys”) (last visited Mar. 14, 2023). Thus, Qolsys is also part of the Johnson Controls multi-national group of companies.

10. On information and belief, Defendant Tyco Security Products (“Tyco Security”) is a company organized under the laws of Canada, with its principal place of business located at 95 Bridgeland Ave, North York, ON, Canada. Via the merger of Tyco and Johnson Controls, which

occurred in 2016, Tyco Security is part of the Johnson Controls multi-national group of companies.

11. According to Johnson Controls' *Annual Financial Report*, "Johnson Controls International plc, headquartered in Cork, Ireland, is a global leader in smart, healthy and sustainable buildings, serving a wide range of customers in more than 150 countries." *Annual Financial Report* at p. 3. Johnson Controls' "products, services, systems and solutions advance the safety, comfort and intelligence of spaces to serve people, places and the planet," and Johnson Controls "is committed to helping its customers win and creating greater value for all of its stakeholders through its strategic focus on buildings." *Id.* Moreover, Johnson Controls "is a global leader in engineering, manufacturing and commissioning building products and systems, including residential and commercial HVAC equipment, industrial refrigeration systems, controls, security systems, fire-detection systems and fire-suppression solutions. The Company further serves customers by providing technical services, including maintenance, management, repair, retrofit and replacement of equipment (in the HVAC, industrial refrigeration, security and fire-protection space), energy-management consulting and data-driven 'smart building' services and solutions powered by its OpenBlue software platform and capabilities." *Id.* at 4.

12. On information and belief, Johnson Controls "has properties in over 60 countries throughout the world, with its world headquarters located in Cork, Ireland and its North American operational headquarters located in Milwaukee, Wisconsin USA." *Annual Financial Report* at p. 25. Johnson Controls' wholly- and majority-owned facilities primarily consist of manufacturing, sales and service offices, research and development facilities, monitoring centers, and assembly and/or warehouse centers." *Id.* at p. 25. Defendants, via Johnson Controls' subsidiaries and related companies, are engaged in research and development, manufacturing, importation, distribution,

sales, and related technical services for: (i) “HVAC, controls, building management, refrigeration, integrated electronic security and integrated fire-detection and suppression systems,” with each activity being conducted “for commercial, industrial, retail, small business, institutional and governmental customers in the United States and Canada;” (ii) “HVAC equipment, controls software and software services for residential and commercial applications to commercial, industrial, retail, residential, small business, institutional and governmental customers worldwide;” and (iii) “fire protection, fire suppression and security products, including intrusion security, anti-theft devices, access control, and video surveillance and management systems, for commercial, industrial, retail, residential, small business, institutional and governmental customers worldwide.” *See Id.* at 102-03. Johnson Controls’ products are (i) manufactured outside the U.S. and then imported into the United States or (ii) manufactured inside the U.S. and distributed, and sold to end-users via the internet, brick-and-mortar stores and/or via dealers in the U.S., in Texas and the Eastern District of Texas.

13. On information and belief, Johnson Controls has business segments including Building Solutions North America and Global Products. *Annual Financial Report* at 102. “Building Solutions North America designs, sells, installs and services HVAC, controls, building management, refrigeration, integrated electronic security and integrated fire-detection and suppression systems for commercial, industrial, retail, small business, institutional and governmental customers in the United States and Canada. Building Solutions North America also provides energy efficiency solutions and technical services, including inspection, scheduled maintenance, and repair and replacement of mechanical and controls systems, as well as data-driven ‘smart building’ solutions, to non-residential building and industrial applications in the United States and Canadian marketplace.” *Id.* “Global Products designs, manufactures and sells

HVAC equipment, controls software and software services for residential and commercial applications to commercial, industrial, retail, residential, small business, institutional and governmental customers worldwide. In addition, Global Products designs, manufactures and sells refrigeration equipment and controls globally. The Global Products business also designs, manufactures and sells fire protection, fire suppression and security products, including intrusion security, anti-theft devices, access control, and video surveillance and management systems, for commercial, industrial, retail, residential, small business, institutional and governmental customers worldwide.” *Id.* at 102-03.

14. On information and belief, Johnson Controls maintains a corporate presence in the United States, including in Texas and in this District, via at least its North American operational headquarters located in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA and its wholly owned and controlled U.S.-based subsidiaries, including JC Inc., which is a Delaware company, JC Security, which is a Wisconsin company, Defendant Sensormatic, Defendant Visonic, Defendant Qolysys, and Defendant Tyco Security Products. *See Exhibit 21.1, Annual Financial Report*, at p. 183 (identifying JC Inc. and JC Security as “significant subsidiaries”). On behalf and for the benefit of Defendants, Defendants coordinate the importation, distribution, marketing, offers for sale, sale, and use of the Johnson Controls’ products in the U.S. For example, Johnson Controls maintains distribution channels in the U.S. for Johnson Controls’ products via online stores, distribution partners, retailers, reseller partners, dealers, and other related service providers. *See Where to buy*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/locations> (accessible via menu “ABOUT US” and link for “Locations,”) (last visited Sep. 26, 2022).

15. As a result, via at least Johnson Controls’ established distribution channels operated and maintained by at least Johnson Controls’ U.S. based subsidiaries, including wholly owned and

controlled Defendants JC Inc., JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security, Johnson Controls products are distributed, sold, advertised, and used nationwide, including being sold to consumers via Johnson Controls dealers operating in Texas and this District. Thus, Defendants do business in the U.S., the state of Texas, and in this District.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

16. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, namely 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, and 284-285, among others.

17. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

A. Defendant JC Inc.

18. On information and belief, Defendant JC Inc. is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this State and this District, including: (A) at least part of its infringing activities alleged herein which purposefully avail the Defendant of the privilege of conducting those activities in this state and this District and, thus, submits itself to the jurisdiction of this court; and (B) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent conduct targeting residents of Texas and this District, and/or deriving substantial revenue from infringing goods offered for sale, sold, and imported and services provided to and targeting Texas residents and residents of this District vicariously through and/or in concert with its partners, alter egos, intermediaries, agents, distributors, importers, customers, subsidiaries, and/or consumers. For example, JCI Inc., as a "significant" subsidiary of parent JCI PLC, is related to, owns, and/or controls subsidiaries (such as Defendants JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security Products), business segments (such as its Building Solutions North America segment and Global Products segment) and business and/or brands (such as its Johnson Controls, Lux, York,

DSC, Tyco, Visonic, and Qolsys brands) that have a significant business presence in the U.S. and in Texas. Such a presence furthers the development, design, manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and use (including by inducement) of infringing Johnson Controls products in Texas, including in this District.

19. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over JC Inc., directly and/or indirectly via its own and the activities of Johnson Controls' intermediaries, agents, related entities, distributors, importers, customers, subsidiaries, and/or consumers, including through the activities of other Defendants JC Security, Sensormatic, Qolsys, Visonic, and Tyco Security, among other U.S.-based subsidiaries, and members, segments, companies, agents, alter egos, and/or brands of Johnson Controls. *See, e.g., Legal*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/legal/terms> (last visited Sep. 28, 2022) (“This website ... is provided by Johnson Controls International plc and its affiliated companies (‘Johnson Controls’).”); *Annual Financial Report* at pp. 4, 13-14, Exhibit 21.1; *Our Brands*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/en_sg/buildings_legacy-back-up/our-brands (last visited Sep. 28, 2022). Directly on its own and via its agents and alter egos in the U.S. and via at least distribution partners, retailers, reseller partners, dealers, professional installers, and other service providers, JCI Inc. has placed and continues to place infringing Johnson Controls products into the U.S. stream of commerce. Examples include the manufacture and/or importation of Johnson Controls products into the United States. *See Annual Financial Report* at pp. 4, 13-14. JC Inc. has placed such products into the stream of commerce with the knowledge and understanding that such products are, will be, and continue to be sold, offered for sale, and/or imported into this District and the State of Texas. *See Litecubes, LLC v. Northern Light Products, Inc.*, 523 F.3d 1353, 1369-70 (Fed. Cir. 2008) (“[T]he sale [for purposes of § 271]

occurred at the location of the buyer.”); *see also Semcon IP Inc. v. Kyocera Corporation*, No. 2:18-cv-00197-JRG, 2019 WL 1979930, at *3 (E.D. Tex. May 3, 2019) (denying accused infringer’s motion to dismiss because plaintiff sufficiently plead that purchases of infringing products outside of the United States for importation into and sales to end users in the U.S. may constitute an offer to sell under § 271(a)).

20. On information and belief, JC Inc. utilizes established distribution channels to distribute, market, offer for sale, sell, service, and/or warrant infringing products directly to consumers and other users, including offering such products and/or related services for sale. Johnson Controls products and services have been sold from and/or in both brick-and-mortar stores and online retail stores by entities, including JC Inc., within this District and in Texas. *See Where to buy*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/locations> (accessible via menu “ABOUT US” and link for “Locations,”) (last visited Sep. 29, 2022); *Our Brands*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/en_sg/buildings_legacy-back-up/our-brands (last visited Sep. 28, 2022). Such Johnson Controls products and/or services have been sold from at least Johnson Controls Beaumont Office located in Beaumont, Texas, other locations listed on the Johnson Controls website, nationwide dealers or distributors, and nationwide online retailers. *See, e.g., Where to buy*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM (showing that at least Johnson Controls services are provided from the office located at 4683 College Street, Beaumont, TX 77707 i.e., in this District); *HVAC TB Controls Tech*, LINKEDIN, https://www.linkedin.com/jobs/johnson-controls-jobs-beaumont-tx?keywords=Johnson%20Controls&location=United%20States&locationId=&geoId=103644278&f_TPR=&f_PP=102249749&position=1&pageNum=0 (last visited Sep. 28, 2022) (indicating that Johnson Controls sells product in Beaumont via HVAC TB Controls Tech employees).

21. Additionally, Johnson Controls products, including infringing products and/or services, are sold nationwide, in Texas and this District via, for example, direct sales, online retailers and Lux Pro partners. *See, e.g., LUX Pro Catalogue*, p. 7, LUX PRODUCTS CORPORATION, available for download at <https://pro.luxproducts.com/pro-catalog/> (last accessed, Sep. 28, 2022) (showing, e.g., the LUX CS1 Smart Thermostat for sale to professionals from “Johnson Controls Inc.”); LUX, LUXPRODUCTS.COM, <https://pro.luxproducts.com/> (last visited Sep. 28, 2022) (offering the LUX CS1 smart thermostat to professionals and providing a link to “GET A QUOTE”); *Lux Thermostat and Timers*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/residential-and-smart-home/lux-thermostat-and-timers> (last visited Sep. 28, 2022). Johnson Controls thermostats, including at least Lux thermostats, are offered for sale in this District at least at S. McKinney Lowe’s, 8550 S.H. 121, McKinney, TX 75070, and online at least by SupplyHouse.com. *Lux Kono Smart White Thermostat with Wi-Fi Compatibility*, LOWE’S, <https://www.lowes.com/pd/Lux-Kono-Smart-White-Smart-Thermostat-with-Wi-Fi-Compatibility/1000663267> (showing availability in this District at S. McKinney Lowe’s, 8550 S.H. 121, McKinney, TX 75070); *CS1 Smart Thermostat – White (2 Heat – 1 Cool)*, SUPPLYHOUSE.COM, <https://www.supplyhouse.com/Lux-CS1-WH1-B04-CS1-Smart-Thermostat-White-2-Heat-1-Cool> (last visited Sep. 28, 2022). JC Inc., via Johnson Controls’ wholly owned and controlled subsidiaries, also provides application software (“apps”) for download and use in conjunction with and as a part of the wireless communication network that connects Johnson Controls products and other network devices. *See, e.g., Get Connected*, LUX, <https://www.luxproducts.com/app/> (last visited Sep. 28, 2022) (“Works With: CS1, KONO, & GEO devices.”). These apps are available via digital distribution platforms operated, for example, by Apple Inc. and Google for download by users and execution on smartphone devices. *Id.*

22. Alone and in concert with or via direction and control of or by at least these entities, JC Inc. has committed acts of direct and/or indirect patent infringement within Texas, and elsewhere within the United States, giving rise to this action and/or has established minimum contacts with Texas. JC Inc. operates within a global network of sales and distribution of Johnson Controls products that includes subsidiaries of Johnson Controls, third-party manufacturers, distributors, online and brick and mortar retail stores, showrooms, dealers, resellers, professional installers, and distributors operating in Texas, including this District. Johnson Controls Lux Kono thermostats are offered for sale and pickup at least at a Lowe's store located in this District at 8550 S.H. 121, McKinney, TX 75070. *Lux Thermostat and Timers*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/residential-and-smart-home/lux-thermostat-and-timers> (last visited Sep. 28, 2022); *Lux Kono Smart White Thermostat with Wi-Fi Compatibility*, LOWE'S, <https://www.lowes.com/pd/Lux-Kono-Smart-White-Smart-Thermostat-with-Wi-Fi-Compatibility/1000663267> (showing availability in this District at S. Mckinney Lowe's, 8550 S.H. 121, McKinney, TX 75070). These suppliers, distributors, dealers, and/or resellers import, advertise, offer for sale and/or sell Johnson Controls products and/or related services, such as consultation and installation, via their own websites to U.S. consumers, including to consumers in Texas and this District. JC Inc., therefore, has purposefully directed its activities at Texas, and should reasonably anticipate being brought in this Court, at least on this basis. *See Icon Health & Fitness, Inc. v. Horizon Fitness, Inc.*, 2009 WL 1025467, at (E.D. Tex. 2009) (finding that “[a]s a result of contracting to manufacture products for sale in” national retailers’ stores, the defendant “could have expected that it could be brought into court in the states where [the national retailers] are located”).

23. On information and belief, JC Inc. provides infringing Johnson Controls product under the York brand. As stated in the 2021 Annual Financial Report, “Johnson Controls . . . was renamed to Johnson Controls, Inc. in 1974. In 2005, Johnson Controls acquired York International, a global supplier of heating, ventilating, air-conditioning (“HVAC”) and refrigeration equipment and services.” *Annual Financial Report*, p. 3. Furthermore, York Hx3 Thermostats are offered for sale in this District by at least one nationwide online retailer, for example, EXPRESSOVERSTOCK. *York Hx3 Touch Screen WiFi Thermostat (White) THXU430W*, EXPRESSOVERSTOCK, <https://www.expressooverstock.com/york-hx3-touch-screen-wifi-thermostat-white-thxu430w.html> (last visited Sep. 29, 2022). Therefore, JC Inc., alone and in concert with other members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls, its U.S. based Johnson Controls subsidiaries has purposefully directed its activities at Texas, and should reasonably anticipate being brought in this Court, at least on this basis. Through its own conduct and through direction and control or as an alter ego of its subsidiaries and related companies, including JC Security, Sensormatic, Qolsys, Visonic, and Tyco Security, has committed acts of direct and/or indirect patent infringement within Texas, and elsewhere within the United States, giving rise to this action and/or has established minimum contacts with Texas such that personal jurisdiction over JC Inc. would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

24. As another example, on information and belief, JC Inc. maintains an office in this District through at least one brick-and-mortar location at 4689 College Street, Beaumont, Texas, which location is adjacent to and/or forms at least a part of a Johnson Controls Beaumont Office located at 4683 College Street, Beaumont, Texas 77707. *See., e.g., Where to buy*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/locations> (accessible via menu “ABOUT US” and link for “Locations,”) (last visited Sep. 28, 2022) (showing that Johnson

Controls services are provided from the office located at 4683 College Street, Beaumont, TX 77707, i.e., in this District); *Property ID: 207096 For Year 2022*, JEFFERSON CAD, <https://esearch.jcad.org/Property/View/207096> (showing that “Johnson Controls Inc.” owns property located at 4689 College Street, Beaumont, TX); *HVAC TB Controls Tech*, LINKEDIN, https://www.linkedin.com/jobs/johnson-controls-jobs-beaumont-tx?keywords=Johnson%20Controls&location=United%20States&locationId=&geoId=103644278&f_TPR=&f_PP=102249749&position=1&pageNum=0 (last visited Sep. 28, 2022) (“Under general supervision, conducts preventive maintenance, repair, installation, and commissioning and general servicing of systems (including detailed troubleshooting of systems. . . . Johnson Controls International plc. is an equal employment opportunity . . . employer.”).

25. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). As alleged herein, Defendant JC Inc. has committed acts of infringement in this District. As further alleged herein, Defendant JC Inc., via its own operations and/or employees, has a regular and established place of business in this District, for example, in Jefferson County and at 4683 College Street, Beaumont, TX 77707, among other Johnson Controls locations owned, leased and/or operated in this District. Accordingly, JC Inc. may be sued in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

B. Defendant JC Security

26. On information and belief, Defendant JC Security is subject to this Court’s specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this State and this District, including: (A) at least part of its infringing activities alleged herein which purposefully avail the Defendant of the privilege of conducting those activities in this state and this District and, thus, submits itself to the jurisdiction of this court; and (B) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent conduct

targeting residents of Texas and this District, and/or deriving substantial revenue from infringing goods offered for sale, sold, and imported and services provided to and targeting Texas residents and residents of this District vicariously through and/or in concert with its partners, alter egos, intermediaries, agents, distributors, importers, customers, subsidiaries, and/or consumers. For example, JC Security and Johnson Controls' U.S.-based subsidiaries, and members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls design, develop manufacture, import, distribute, offer for sale, sell, and induce infringing use of Johnson Controls products for or to distribution partners, retailers (including national retailers), resellers, dealers, service providers, consumers, and other users.

27. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over JC Security, directly and/or indirectly via the activities of JC Security's intermediaries, agents, related entities, distributors, importers, customers, subsidiaries, and/or consumers, including other Defendants JC Inc., Sensormatic, Qolsys, Visonic, and Tyco Security among other U.S.-based subsidiaries, and members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls.

28. On information and belief, JC Security utilizes established distribution channels to distribute, market, offer for sale, sell, service, and/or warrant infringing products directly to consumers and other users, including offering such products and/or related services for sale. Johnson Controls products and services have been sold from and/or in both brick-and-mortar stores and online retail stores by entities within this District and in Texas. Alone and in concert with or via direction and control or as an alter ego of or by at least these entities, JC Security has committed acts of direct and/or indirect patent infringement within Texas, and elsewhere within the United States, giving rise to this action and/or has established minimum contacts with Texas. For example, JC Security operates within a global network of sales and distribution of Johnson Controls products

that includes subsidiaries of Johnson Controls, retail stores and showrooms, dealers, resellers, professional installers, and distributors operating in Texas, including this District.


29. As another example, on information and belief, JC Security maintains an office in this District, including at least a location in Beaumont, Texas, as at least a part of a Johnson Controls Beaumont Office located at 4683 College Street, Beaumont, Texas 77707. *See., e.g., “Johnson Controls Security” Search Results, JEFFERSON CAD, <https://esearch.jcad.org/Search/Result?keywords=Johnson%20Controls> (last visited Sep. 30, 2022) (showing that “Johnson Controls Security” owns property located in this District at least in Beaumont, TX); *Where to buy, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/locations> (accessible via menu “ABOUT US” and link for “Locations,”) (last visited Sep. 28, 2022) (showing that Johnson Controls services are provided from the office located at 4683 College Street, Beaumont, TX 77707, i.e., in this District); *Annual Financial Report* at 102-03 (Johnson Controls’ “Building Solutions North America designs, sells, installs and services . . . controls, building management, . . . integrated electronic security and integrated fire-detection and suppression systems for commercial, industrial, retail, small business, institutional and governmental customers in the United States and Canada. . . . The Global Products business also designs, manufactures and sells fire protection, fire suppression and security products, including intrusion security, anti-theft devices, access control, and video surveillance and management systems, for commercial, industrial, retail, residential, small business, institutional and governmental customers worldwide.”)**

30. On information and belief, as a part of Johnson Controls’ global manufacturing and distribution network, JC Security also purposefully places infringing Johnson Controls products in established distribution channels in the stream of commerce, including in Texas, via distribution

partners, retailers (including national retailers), resellers, dealers, brand ambassadors, service providers, consumers, and other users. *See, e.g., DSC Product Catalog*, p. 16, JOHNSON CONTROLS, available for download at <https://cms.dsc.com/download.php?t=8&id=27> (last visited, Sep. 30, 2022) (showing Johnson Controls’ Tyco DSC Iotega WS900x security panel for sale from “Johnson Controls”, “Tyco”, and “DSC”); *DSC WS900-91S IOTEGA WIRELESS*, SILARIUS, <https://silarius.com/products/dsc-ws900-91s-iotega-wireless> (last visited Sep. 30, 2022) (offering the DSC Iotega WS900-91S wireless security panel for sale to individuals in the United States, including individuals in Texas and this District). For example, JC Security, directly and/or indirectly via the activities of JC Security’s intermediaries, agents, related entities, distributors, importers, customers, subsidiaries, and/or consumers, including other Defendants JC Inc., Sensormatic, Qolsys, Visonic, and Tyco Security U.S.-based subsidiaries, and members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls. provides infringing Johnson Controls product under the brand Johnson Controls, Tyco, DSC, and/or Iotega. Furthermore, DSC SN-750EF1 security cameras with built-in Wi-Fi support are offered for sale in this District by at least one nationwide online retailer, for example, JMAC Supply Corp. *See, e.g., DSC SN-750EF1*, JMAC SUPPLY CORP, https://www.jmac.com/DSC_SN_750EF1_p/tyco-dsc-sn-750ef1.htm (last visited Sep. 30, 2022); *720P HD (1MP) IP Security Camera - SN-750EF1*, DSC, <https://www.dsc.com/index.php?n=products&o=view&id=2635> (last visited Sep. 30, 2022) (noting “Wi-Fi support built in”). Therefore, JC Security, alone and in concert with other members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls, and U.S. based Johnson Controls subsidiaries has purposefully directed its activities at Texas, and should reasonably anticipate being brought in this Court, at least on this basis. Through its own conduct and through direction and control or as an alter ego of its subsidiaries and related companies, JC Security has committed

acts of direct and/or indirect patent infringement within Texas, and elsewhere within the United States, giving rise to this action and/or has established minimum contacts with Texas such that personal jurisdiction over JC Security would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

31. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). As alleged herein, Defendant JC Security has committed acts of infringement in this District. As further alleged herein, Defendant JC Security, via its own operations and/or employees has a regular and established place of business in this District at least in Jefferson County, for example, Beaumont, TX, among other Johnson Controls locations owned, leased and/or operated in this District. Examples include a “Jefferson Controls Beaumont Office” at 4683 College Street, Beaumont, TX 77707 in this District and various locations listed in the Jefferson County and Collin County property records, some of which are listed below. Accordingly, JC Security may be sued in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).



Collin Central Appraisal District
250 Eldorado Pkwy • McKinney, Texas 75069

Home | Property Search | Maps | Downloads | Forms | Reports

You are here: Home » Property Search The official website of the Collin Central Appraisal District

Property Search

[New Search](#)

[Revise Current Search](#)

[Export Results](#)

Legend

- Business Personal Property
- Mineral
- Mobile Home
- Real

Matching properties 16 properties
Displaying all 16 results

	Property ID <small>[Geographic ID]</small>	Owner Name	Property Address	Legal Description	2023 Market Value
1	2689804 <small>P-9000-213-3966-1</small>	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Cal Sal	BPP at Various Locations Cal Sal	Currently Unavailable
2	2689805 <small>P-9000-213-3967-1</small>	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Cda Spl	BPP at Various Locations Cda Spl	Currently Unavailable
3	2689806 <small>P-9000-213-3968-1</small>	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Cfr Sfr	BPP at Various Locations Cfr Sfr	Currently Unavailable
4	2689807 <small>P-9000-213-3969-1</small>	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Cfv Smc	BPP at Various Locations Cfv Smc	Currently Unavailable
5	2689808 <small>P-9000-213-3970-1</small>	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Cmc Smc	BPP at Various Locations Cmc Smc	Currently Unavailable
6	2689809 <small>P-9000-213-3971-1</small>	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Cpl Spl	BPP at Various Locations Cpl Spl	Currently Unavailable

Site Navigation

[Home](#)

Property Search

Maps

- Interactive Map
- Abstract & Plat Maps

Downloads

- Forms
- Reports

Entities, Exemptions, & Rates

How Is Your Property Appraised?

Calendar

Key Annual Cycles

Press Releases

Training & CE

District Information

Boards

- Board of Directors
- Ag Advisory Board
- Appraisal Review Board

Links

- Other Appraisal Districts
- Texas

7	2689810 P-9000-213-3972-1	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Crc Spl	BPP at Various Locations Crc Spl	Currently Unavailable
8	2689813 P-9000-213-3973-1	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Cwy Swy	BPP at Various Locations Cwy Swy	Currently Unavailable
9	2702451 P-9000-214-3457-1	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Cmc Sfr	BPP at Various Locations Cmc Sfr	Currently Unavailable
10	2702452 P-9000-214-3458-1	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Cml Sml	BPP at Various Locations Cml Sml	Currently Unavailable
11	2702453 P-9000-214-3459-1	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Cmr Spl	BPP at Various Locations Cmr Spl	Currently Unavailable
12	2702454 P-9000-214-3460-1	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Cpl Sfr	BPP at Various Locations Cpl Sfr	Currently Unavailable
13	2702455 P-9000-214-3461-1	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Cpr Spr	BPP at Various Locations Cpr Spr	Currently Unavailable
14	2755928 P-9000-217-4323-1	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Cmc Sal	BPP at Various Locations Cmc Sal	Currently Unavailable
15	2850929 P-9000-222-3725-1	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Cfr Spr	BPP at Various Locations Cfr Spr	Currently Unavailable
16	2850930 P-9000-222-3726-1	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	Various Locations Cla Sco	BPP at Various Locations Cla Sco	Currently Unavailable

Comptroller Resources

- Tax Offices

Site Search

Help and FAQs

- Helpdesk

Property Search, COLLINCAD.ORG, https://www.collincad.org/propertysearch?owner_name=johnson_controls (last visited Oct. 3, 2022).

C. Defendant Sensormatic

32. On information and belief, Defendant Sensormatic is subject to this Court’s specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this State and this District, including: (A) at least part of its infringing activities alleged herein which purposefully avail the Defendant of the privilege of

conducting those activities in this state and this District and, thus, submits itself to the jurisdiction of this court; and (B) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent conduct targeting residents of Texas and this District, and/or deriving substantial revenue from infringing goods offered for sale, sold, and imported and services provided to and targeting Texas residents and residents of this District vicariously through and/or in concert with its partners, alter egos, intermediaries, agents, distributors, importers, customers, subsidiaries, and/or consumers. For example, Sensormatic and Johnson Controls' U.S.-based subsidiaries JC Inc. and JC Security, among other members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls design, develop, manufacture, import, distribute, offer for sale, sell, and induce infringing use of Johnson Controls products for or to distribution partners, retailers (including national retailers), resellers, dealers, service providers, consumers, and other users.

33. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Sensormatic, directly and/or indirectly via its own activities and those conducted by Sensormatic's alter egos, intermediaries, agents, related entities, distributors, importers, customers, subsidiaries, and/or consumers, including Defendants JC Inc., JC Security, and other U.S.-based subsidiaries, members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls. For example, Defendants operate in this District as a single entity, each being a part of the multi-national group of companies operating under the name "Johnson Controls." Thus, Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security operate as the agents or alter egos of Sensormatic for jurisdictional and venue purposes in this District.

34. On information and belief, Sensormatic utilizes and benefits from the activities of its agents and alter egos, i.e., Defendants JC Inc., and/or JC Security. For example, Sensormatic utilizes Johnson Controls' established distribution channels to distribute, market, offer for sale,

sell, service, and/or warrant infringing Sensormatic products directly to consumers and other users, including offering such products and/or related services for sale. Johnson Controls (including those of Sensormatic and its alter ego/parent JC Security) products and services have been sold from and/or in brick-and-mortar stores and/or online retail stores by entities within this District and in Texas. *See, e.g., Your search for sensormatic revealed the following, SAFTYMIND, <https://saftymind.us/search?type=product&q=sensormatic> (last visited March 22, 2023) (search for “sensormatic” reveals sets of 2, 3, or 4 “Sensormatic Security Camera RC8021W-ADT WiFi Indoor IP Camera” for sale in the United States, including in Texas and this District); Search Results: Your search for *wireless* returned the following results, SENSORMATIC BY JOHNSON CONTROLS,*

https://shop.sensormatic.com/ccrz__Products?cartID=&operation=quickSearch&searchText=wireless&portalUser=&store=&cccl=en_US (last visited March 22, 2023) (listing Sensormatic products for sale from a website available in the United States, Texas and this District, the products including at least 12 “Sensormatic Acrylic 1.8 Dual System” product bundles with “Wireless Device Manger” and “Wireless Module”); 2019 Product Catalog, SENSORMATIC BY JOHNSON CONTROLS, 187-88, available for download at https://www.sensormatic.com/en_hk/-/media/project/jci-global/retail/sensormatic/page-specific-images/resources/infographic/files/sensormatic_full_cat_03-2019_en.pdf (last visited March 22, 2023) (numerous catalog product listings, including “1.8m Acrylic Pedestal with the AMS9080 Controller” compatible with “Wireless Device Manger” and “Wireless Module” which according to the catalog includes “WiFi Technology”); AMS-9080 Controller, SENSORMATIC, 4, available for download at <https://fccid.io/BVCAMSUSUPC/User-Manual/User-Manual-4186883.pdf> (last visited March 22, 2023) (“The AMS-9080 Controller is compatible with the following

products:....Wireless Device Manager (BIM1000) and the Wireless Device Module (BIX1000)”). Alone, via alter egos and agents, and in concert with or via direction and control of or by at least these entities, Sensormatic has committed acts of direct and/or indirect patent infringement within Texas, and elsewhere within the United States, giving rise to this action and/or has established minimum contacts with Texas.

35. Additionally, Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security’s control over Defendant Sensormatic is such that Defendant Sensormatic is subject to this Court’s jurisdiction via its alter egos and agents operating in this District who are at least Defendant JC Inc. and/or JC Security. For example, Sensormatic is wholly-owned by Defendant JC Security and holds itself out as a “Johnson Controls” company. *See Who We Are*, SENSORMATIC, <https://www.sensormatic.com/who-we-are> (indicating in the title bar that Sensormatic is an entity “by Johnson Controls”) (last visited March 14, 2023). Sensormatic’s direct parent, alter ego, and/or agent, Defendant JC Security, also maintains employees and places of business owned, leased and/or operated in this District at least in Jefferson County and in Collin County. *See Property Search*, COLLINCAD.ORG, https://www.collincad.org/propertysearch?owner_name=johnson_controls (last visited March 17, 2023).

36. On information and belief, Sensormatic shares corporate locations, executives, and accounting systems with at least Defendant JC Inc. and/or JC Security and their subsidiaries operating in the U.S. For example, Sensormatic shares the same principal place of business and mailing address with Defendant JC Security, which also is the sole member and owner of Sensormatic. *See 2022 Foreign Limited Liability Company Annual Report*, FLORIDA SECRETARY OF STATE, accessible via pdf download at

<https://search.sunbiz.org/Inquiry/CorporationSearch/ByName> (search for “sensormatic electronics llc”). Also, Mr. Joseph Hogan is employed by Johnson Controls as both Vice President and Assistant General Counsel at Johnson Controls (according to his LinkedIn profile) and the Manager, Secretary, and Vice President of Sensormatic (according to filings with the Texas Secretary of State). *See Joseph Hogan*, LINKEDIN, <https://www.linkedin.com/in/joseph-hogan-3a3967/> (last visited March 17, 2023). Moreover, Sensormatic maintains employees in Texas. Mr. Greg Colaluca is the Vice President Global Professional Services at Sensormatic and is located in Allen in Collin County, Texas, according to his LinkedIn profile. *See Greg Colaluca*, LINKEDIN, <https://www.linkedin.com/in/gregcolaluca/> (last visited March 17, 2023). Importantly, Mr. Colaluca’s email address ends with the domain “@jci.com,” indicating that his and other Sensormatic employee’s emails are hosted and controlled by Johnson Controls’ (i.e. “jci”) servers.

37. Furthermore, Defendants, including Sensormatic, share corporate resources, including accounting systems, and facilities. Sensormatic, for example, shares corporate offices in Boca Raton, Florida and a mailing address in Wisconsin with Defendant JC Security. Sensormatic’s website also indicates that the copyright to the contents are held by “Johnson Controls.” *Id.* Sensormatic’s “Careers” webpage is the same as Johnson Controls, indicating that Sensormatic employees, including in their daily activities, are employed by and controlled by other Defendant Johnson Controls entities, including at least JC Inc. and/or JC Security. *See id.* (displaying a “Careers” link that resolves to <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/careers>). Thus, there is an entanglement of Sensormatic’s operations and blurring of Sensormatic’s corporate identity such that Sensormatic vis its alter egos and agents who are Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security operates within a global network of sales and distribution of Johnson Controls products

that includes subsidiaries of Johnson Controls, retail stores and showrooms, dealers, resellers, professional installers, and distributors operating in Texas, including this District.

38. Such a corporate and commercial presence in Texas, including in this District, by Defendant Sensormatic, including via Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security, furthers the development, design, manufacture, distribution, sale, and use of Johnson Controls' infringing products. Through direction and control by its alter egos, divisions, suppliers, intermediaries, agents, subsidiaries, and affiliates, including, but not limited to, direction and control by at least Defendant JC Inc. and/or JC Security, Sensormatic has committed acts of direct and/or indirect patent infringement within Texas and this District, and elsewhere in the United States. Such acts give rise to this action and/or have established minimum contacts with Texas such that personal jurisdiction over Sensormatic would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

39. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). As alleged herein, Defendant Sensormatic has committed acts of infringement in this District. As further alleged herein, Defendant Sensormatic, via its alter egos and/or agents Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security, has a regular and established place of business in this District, for example, in Jefferson County and at 4683 College Street, Beaumont, TX 77707 and various locations listed in Collin County, which are among other Johnson Controls locations owned, leased and/or operated in this District. Accordingly, Sensormatic may be sued in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

D. Defendant Visonic

40. On information and belief, Defendant Visonic is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this State and this District, including: (A) at least part of its infringing activities alleged herein which purposefully avail the Defendant of the privilege of

conducting those activities in this state and this District and, thus, submits itself to the jurisdiction of this court; and (B) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent conduct targeting residents of Texas and this District, and/or deriving substantial revenue from infringing goods offered for sale, sold, and imported and services provided to and targeting Texas residents and residents of this District vicariously through and/or in concert with its partners, alter egos, intermediaries, agents, distributors, importers, customers, subsidiaries, and/or consumers. For example, Visonic and Johnson Controls' U.S.-based subsidiaries JC Inc. and JC Security, among other members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls design, develop, manufacture, import, distribute, offer for sale, sell, and induce infringing use of Johnson Controls products for or to distribution partners, retailers (including national retailers), resellers, dealers, service providers, consumers, and other users.

41. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Visonic, directly and/or indirectly via its own activities and those conducted by Visonic's alter egos, intermediaries, agents, related entities, distributors, importers, customers, subsidiaries, and/or consumers, including Defendants JC Inc., JC Security, and other U.S.-based subsidiaries, members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls. For example, Defendants operate in this District as a single entity, each being a part of the multi-national group of companies operating under the name "Johnson Controls." Thus, Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security operate as the agents or alter egos of Visonic for jurisdictional and venue purposes in this District.

42. On information and belief, Visonic utilizes and benefits from the activities of its agents and alter egos, i.e., Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security. For example, Visonic utilizes Johnson Controls' established distribution channels to distribute, market, offer for sale, sell, service, and/or warrant infringing Visonic products directly to consumers and other users,

including offering such products and/or related services for sale in the stream of commerce with their termination point in Texas and this District. Johnson Controls (including those of Visonic and its alter ego/parents) products and services have been sold from and/or in brick-and-mortar and/or online stores/distributors by entities within this District and in Texas. *See, e.g., Visonic USA Kits*, VISONIC, <https://www.visonic.com/visonic-usa-kits> (last visited March 22, 2023) (providing U.S. sales contact information and listing products kits for sale with wifi-enabled components, including at least the PowerMaxExpress Surveillance QuickFit Kit and PowerMaxComplete Surveillance QuickFit Kit). Alone, via alter egos and agents, and in concert with or via direction and control of or by at least these entities, Visonic has committed acts of direct and/or indirect patent infringement within Texas, and elsewhere within the United States, giving rise to this action and/or has established minimum contacts with Texas.

43. Additionally, Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security's control over Defendant Visonic is such that Defendant Visonic is subject to this Court's jurisdiction via its alter egos and agents operating in this District who are at least Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security. For example, Visonic is wholly-owned and managed by Defendants JC Inc., and/or JC Security. Visonic also holds itself out as a "part of the Johnson Controls Products portfolio of brands." *See Company Profile*, VISONIC, <https://www.visonic.com/company-profile> (indicating that Visonic "is headquartered in Ra'anana, Israel [and its] home security products are sold through a network of subsidiaries, distributors, and sales representatives in more than 100 countries throughout North and South America, Europe, Africa and the Asia-Pacific region") (last visited March 14, 2023). Visonic's related company, alter ego, and/or agent, Defendant JC Security, also maintains employees and places of business owned, leased and/or operated in this District at least in Jefferson County and in Collin County. *See Property Search*, COLLINCAD.ORG,

https://www.collincad.org/propertysearch?owner_name=johnson_controls (last visited March 17, 2023).

44. On information and belief, Defendants share corporate resources, including accounting systems, and facilities with at least JC Inc. and/or JC Security and their subsidiaries operating in the U.S. For example, Visonic shares a mailing address in Wisconsin with Defendant JC Security. Visonic's corporate executives such as Lee M. Finney (VP/Director), Joseph C. Hogan (VP/Secretary/Director), Keven Ostertag (Treasurer), Christopher E. Osbourne (VP), and Anthony McGraw (VP/Direct) are employed at corporate locations in Boca Raton, FL, Westford, MA, and Milwaukee, WI, that are common to Defendants JC Inc., JC Security, and Sensormatic, indicating that the parties share corporate resources and facilities. Notably, Mr. Harry Murray is the President and CEO of Visonic (according to his LinkedIn profile) and is also a Senior Sales Director of "Elpas Americas at Elpas a Division of Tyco Security Products," which is another Defendant in this action. *See Harry Murray*, LINKEDIN, <https://www.linkedin.com/in/harry-murray-05aa796/> (last visited March 17, 2023). Mr. Murray is located in Plano, Texas. *Id.*

45. Visonic's website also indicates that the copyright to the contents are held by "Johnson Controls." *Id.* Moreover, Visonic's Product Catalog touts that "Visonic solutions are brought to you by Tyco, the world-leading intrusion security brand of Johnson Controls," indicating that Visonic's business, including its employees, are controlled by the Johnson Controls entities, including JC Inc. and/or JC Security. *See Visonic Product Catalog*, TYCO, at p. 2, available for download as a pdf at <https://catalog.visonic.com/>; *see also Johnson Controls Acquires Qolsys, Inc. To Capitalize On Emerging Technologies And Customers Looking For World-Class Building Solutions*, JOHNSON CONTROLS, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/media-center/news/press-releases/2020/08/04/johnson-controls-acquires-qolsys-inc-to-capitalize-on-emerging->

technologies-and-customers-looking-fo (last visited March 22, 2023) (“The combined volume of Qolsys, DSC, Bentel, Visonic, PowerG and Tyco products positions Johnson Controls as the market share leader in advanced security solutions world-wide.”); *About Us*, VISONIC, <https://www.visonic.com/company-profile> (last visited March 22, 2023) (“Visonic, part of the Johnson Controls Products portfolio of brands, is headquartered in Ra’anana, Israel.”). Thus, there is an entanglement of Visonic’s operations and blurring of Visonic’s corporate identity such that Visonic via its alter egos and agents who are at least Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security operates within a global network of sales and distribution of Johnson Controls products that includes subsidiaries of Johnson Controls, retail stores and showrooms, dealers, resellers, professional installers, and distributors operating in Texas, including this District.

46. Such a corporate and commercial presence in Texas, including in this District, by Defendant Visonic, including via Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security, furthers the development, design, manufacture, distribution, sale, and use of Johnson Controls’ infringing products. Through direction and control by its alter egos, divisions, suppliers, intermediaries, agents, subsidiaries, and affiliates, including, but not limited to, direction and control by at least Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security, Visonic has committed acts of direct and/or indirect patent infringement within Texas and this District, and elsewhere in the United States. Such acts give rise to this action and/or have established minimum contacts with Texas such that personal jurisdiction over Visonic would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

47. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). As alleged herein, Defendant Visonic has committed acts of infringement in this District, including via its alter egos and/or agents. As further alleged herein, Defendant Visonic, via its alter egos and/or agents who are at least Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security, has a regular and established

place of business in this District, for example, in Jefferson County and at 4683 College Street, Beaumont, TX 77707 and various locations listed in Collin County, which are among other Johnson Controls locations owned, leased and/or operated in this District. Visonic also has at least one employee, Mr. Harry Murray, located at a regular and established place of business in the District in Plano, Texas. Accordingly, Visonic may be sued in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

E. Defendant Qolsys

48. On information and belief, Defendant Qolsys is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this State and this District, including: (A) at least part of its infringing activities alleged herein which purposefully avail the Defendant of the privilege of conducting those activities in this state and this District and, thus, submits itself to the jurisdiction of this court; and (B) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent conduct targeting residents of Texas and this District, and/or deriving substantial revenue from infringing goods offered for sale, sold, and imported and services provided to and targeting Texas residents and residents of this District vicariously through and/or in concert with its partners, alter egos, intermediaries, agents, distributors, importers, customers, subsidiaries, and/or consumers. For example, Qolsys and Johnson Controls' U.S.-based subsidiaries JC Inc. and JC Security, among other members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls design, develop, manufacture, import, distribute, offer for sale, sell, and induce infringing use of Johnson Controls products for or to distribution partners, retailers (including national retailers), resellers, dealers, service providers, consumers, and other users.

49. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Qolsys, directly and/or indirectly via its own activities and those conducted by Qolsys's alter egos, intermediaries,

agents, related entities, distributors, importers, customers, subsidiaries, and/or consumers, including Defendants JC Inc. and JC Security, and other U.S.-based subsidiaries, members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls. For example, Defendants operate in this District as a single entity, each being a part of the multi-national group of companies operating under the name “Johnson Controls.” Thus, Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security operate as the agents or alter egos of Qolsys for jurisdictional and venue purposes in this District.

50. On information and belief, Qolsys utilizes and benefits from the activities of its agents and alter egos, i.e., Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security. For example, Qolsys utilizes Johnson Controls’ established distribution channels to distribute, market, offer for sale, sell, service, and/or warrant infringing Qolsys products directly to consumers and other users, including offering such products and/or related services for sale. Johnson Controls (including those of Qolsys and its alter ego/parents) products and services have been sold from and/or in brick-and-mortar stores and/or online retail stores by entities within this District and in Texas. *Find a Dealer*, QOLSYS, <https://qolsys.com/find-a-dealer/> (last visited March 22, 2023) (identifying Alps Dallas Inc. located in Plano, Texas as a distributor of “Qolsys Products [that] are sold and distributed by authorized dealers who are trained and licensed to provide security solutions.”). Alone, via alter egos and agents, and in concert with or via direction and control of or by at least these entities, Qolsys has committed acts of direct and/or indirect patent infringement within Texas, and elsewhere within the United States, giving rise to this action and/or has established minimum contacts with Texas.

51. Additionally, Defendant JC Inc. and/or JC Security’s control over Defendant Qolsys is such that Defendant Qolsys is subject to this Court’s jurisdiction via alter egos and agents operating in this District who are at least Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security. For example,

Johnson Controls wholly acquired Defendant Qolsys in August 2020, after “owning a majority since 2014.” *See Johnson Controls Acquires Qolsys, Inc.*, JOHNSON CONTROLS, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/media-center/news/press-releases/2020/08/04/johnson-controls-acquires-qolsys-inc-to-capitalize-on-emerging-technologies-and-customers-looking-fo> (last visited March 17, 2023). As a result of the acquisition, the “founders and leadership team,” remained in Silicon Valley, but “assum[ed] key roles in Johnson Controls global intrusion business,” indicating that the founders and leadership team, i.e., executives in the company, absorbed into and controlled by Johnson Controls as employees. *Id.* For example, Dave Pulling, Qolsys’s CEO, became “vice president and general manager of the global intrusion products business for Johnson Controls.” *Id.* Also, Mr. Joseph Hogan is employed by Johnson Controls as both Vice President and Assistant General Counsel at Johnson Controls (according to his LinkedIn profile) and the Secretary and Chief Financial Officer of Qolsys (according to filings with the California Secretary of State). *See Joseph Hogan*, LINKEDIN, <https://www.linkedin.com/in/joseph-hogan-3a3967/> (last visited March 17, 2023). Qolsys’s related company, alter ego, and/or agent, Defendant JC Security, also maintains employees and places of business owned, leased and/or operated in this District at least in Jefferson County and in Collin County. *See Property Search*, COLLINCAD.ORG, https://www.collincad.org/propertysearch?owner_name=johnson_controls (last visited March 17, 2023).

52. On information and belief, Defendants share corporate resources, including accounting systems, and facilities with at least JC Inc. and/or JC Security and their subsidiaries operating in the U.S. For example, Johnson Controls “offer[s] Qolsys products throughout global markets,” indicating that day-to-day operations and finances relating to distribution of Qolsys products are controlled by Johnson Controls. *See Johnson Controls Acquires Qolsys, Inc.*,

JOHNSON CONTROLS, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/media-center/news/press-releases/2020/08/04/johnson-controls-acquires-qolsys-inc-to-capitalize-on-emerging-technologies-and-customers-looking-fo> (last visited March 17, 2023). Also, Johnson Controls claims as its own the position as “market share leader in advanced security solutions world-wide,” based on the sales volume provided by Qolsys-branded products when combined with other-branded Johnson Controls products. *Id.* Thus, there is an entanglement of Qolsys’ operations and blurring of Qolsys’ corporate identity such that Qolsys via its alter egos and agents who are at least Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security operates within a global network of sales and distribution of Johnson Controls products that includes subsidiaries of Johnson Controls, retail stores and showrooms, dealers, resellers, professional installers, and distributors operating in Texas, including this District.

53. Such a corporate and commercial presence in Texas, including in this District, by Defendant Qolsys, including via Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security, furthers the development, design, manufacture, distribution, sale, and use of Johnson Controls’ infringing products. Through direction and control by its alter egos, divisions, suppliers, intermediaries, agents, subsidiaries, and affiliates, including, but not limited to, direction and control by at least Defendant JC Inc. and/or JC Security, Qolsys has committed acts of direct and/or indirect patent infringement within Texas and this District, and elsewhere in the United States. Such acts give rise to this action and/or have established minimum contacts with Texas such that personal jurisdiction over Qolsys would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

54. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). As alleged herein, Defendant Qolsys has committed acts of infringement in this District, including via its alter egos and/or agents. As further alleged herein, Defendant Qolsys, via its alter egos and/or

agents who are at least Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security, has a regular and established place of business in this District, for example, in Jefferson County and at 4683 College Street, Beaumont, TX 77707 and various locations listed in Collin County, which are among other Johnson Controls locations owned, leased and/or operated in this District. Accordingly, Qolsys may be sued in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

F. Defendant Tyco Security

55. On information and belief, Defendant Tyco Security is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this State and this District, including: (A) at least part of its infringing activities alleged herein which purposefully avail the Defendant of the privilege of conducting those activities in this state and this District and, thus, submits itself to the jurisdiction of this court; and (B) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent conduct targeting residents of Texas and this District, and/or deriving substantial revenue from infringing goods offered for sale, sold, and imported and services provided to and targeting Texas residents and residents of this District vicariously through and/or in concert with its partners, alter egos, intermediaries, agents, distributors, importers, customers, subsidiaries, and/or consumers. For example, Tyco Security and Johnson Controls' U.S.-based subsidiaries JC Inc. and JC Security, among other members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls design, develop, manufacture, import, distribute, offer for sale, sell, and induce infringing use of Johnson Controls products for or to distribution partners, retailers (including national retailers), resellers, dealers, service providers, consumers, and other users.

56. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Tyco Security, directly and/or indirectly via its own activities and those conducted by Tyco Security's alter egos, intermediaries, agents, related entities, distributors, importers, customers, subsidiaries, and/or

consumers, including Defendants JC Inc. and JC Security, and other U.S.-based subsidiaries, and members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls. For example, Defendants operate in this District as a single entity, each being a part of the multi-national group of companies operating under the name “Johnson Controls.” Thus, Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security operate as the agents or alter egos of Tyco Security for jurisdictional purposes in this District.

57. On information and belief, Tyco Security utilizes and benefits from the activities of its agents and alter egos, i.e., Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security. For example, Tyco Security utilizes Johnson Controls’ established distribution channels to distribute, market, offer for sale, sell, service, and/or warrant infringing Tyco Security products directly to consumers and other users, including offering such products and/or related services for sale. Johnson Controls products and services (including those of Tyco Security and its alter ego/parent JC Security) have been sold from and/or in brick-and-mortar stores and online retail stores by entities within this District and in Texas. Alone, via alter egos and agents, and in concert with or via direction and control of or by at least these entities, Tyco Security has committed acts of direct and/or indirect patent infringement within Texas, and elsewhere within the United States, giving rise to this action and/or has established minimum contacts with Texas.

58. Additionally, Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security’s control over Defendant Tyco Security is such that Defendant Tyco Security is subject to this Court’s jurisdiction via its alter egos and agents operating in this District who are at least Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security. For example, Tyco Security is wholly-owned and managed by Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security. Tyco Security also holds itself out as a “Johnson Controls” company. *See Johnson Controls and Tyco Complete Merger*, JOHNSON CONTROLS, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/media-center/news/press-releases/2016/09/06/johnson->

controls-and-tyco-complete-merger (“By uniting Johnson Controls, the number one provider of building efficiency solutions with Tyco, the number one provider of fire and security solutions, the new company is uniquely positioned as a leader in products, technologies and integrated solutions for the buildings and energy sectors.”) (last visited March 17, 2023). Notably, Mr. Harry Murray is the President and CEO of Visonic (also Defendant in this lawsuit) and is also a Senior Sales Director of “Elpas Americas at Elpas a Division of Tyco Security Products.” *See Harry Murray*, LINKEDIN, <https://www.linkedin.com/in/harry-murray-05aa796/> (last visited March 17, 2023). Mr. Murray is located in Plano, Texas. *Id.* Tyco Security is also a business unit of Johnson Controls, Inc., which maintains employees and places of business owned, leased and/or operated in this District, at least in Jefferson County and in Collin County. *See About Us*, Tyco, <https://tyco-tsp.com/anz/about-us.php> (last visited March 22, 2023) (“Tyco Security Products, a business unit of Johnson Controls, Inc. is the most comprehensive world-leading premium access control, video, location-based tracking and intrusion solutions in the security industry. Tyco Security Products conducts business in over 176 countries around the world, in multiple languages, including research and development, marketing, manufacturing, sales, service and logistics teams in the Americas, Europe, the Middle East, Africa, and Asia Pacific.”); Property ID: 207096 For Year 2022, JEFFERSON CAD, <https://esearch.jcad.org/Property/View/207096> (showing that “Johnson Controls Inc.” owns property located at 4689 College Street, Beaumont, TX). Tyco Security’s alter ego, Defendant JC Security, also maintains employees and places of business owned, leased and/or operated in this District at least in Jefferson County and in Collin County. *See Property Search*, COLLINCAD.ORG, https://www.collincad.org/propertysearch?owner_name=johnson_controls (last visited March 17, 2023).

59. On information and belief, Defendants share corporate resources, including accounting systems, and facilities. Tyco Security, for example, shares corporate offices. *See, e.g., Our Locations*, TYCO SECURITY PRODUCTS, (*Our Locations* tab under the *About Us* drop down menu leads to Johnson Controls' locations at <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/locations>). Tyco Security's website also indicates that the copyright and contents are held and provided by "Johnson Controls International plc and its affiliated companies ('Johnson Controls')." *See id.* at *Terms of Use* link (leading to "Terms of Use" at <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/legal/terms>). Tyco Security's "Careers" webpage is the same as Johnson Controls, indicating that Tyco Security's employees, including in their daily activities, are employed by and controlled by other Defendant Johnson Controls entities, including Defendants JC Inc., and/or JC Security. *See id.* (displaying a *Careers* link under the *About Us* drop down menu that resolves to <https://jobs.johnsoncontrols.com/>). Thus, there is an entanglement of Tyco Security's operations and blurring of Tyco Security's corporate identity such that Tyco Security via its alter egos and agents who are Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security operates within a global network of sales and distribution of Johnson Controls products that includes subsidiaries of Johnson Controls, retail stores and showrooms, dealers, resellers, professional installers, and distributors operating in Texas, including this District.

60. Such a corporate and commercial presence in Texas, including in this District, by Defendant Tyco Security, including via Defendants JC Inc., and/or JC Security, furthers the development, design, manufacture, distribution, sale, and use of Johnson Controls' infringing products. Through direction and control by its alter egos, divisions, suppliers, intermediaries, agents, subsidiaries, and affiliates, including, but not limited to, direction and control by at least Defendants JC Inc. and/or JC Security, Tyco Security has committed acts of direct and/or indirect

patent infringement within Texas and this District, and elsewhere in the United States. Such acts give rise to this action and/or have established minimum contacts with Texas such that personal jurisdiction over Tyco Security would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

61. In the alternative, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Tyco Security under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(k)(2), because the claims for patent infringement in this action arise under federal law, Tyco Security is not subject to the jurisdiction of the courts of general jurisdiction of any state, and exercising jurisdiction over Tyco Security is consistent with the U.S. Constitution.

62. Venue is proper in this District with respect to Defendant Tyco Security, for example, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. Defendant Tyco Security is a foreign entity and may be sued in any district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c). *See also In re HTC Corporation*, 889 F.3d 1349, 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (“The Court’s recent decision in *TC Heartland* does not alter” the alien-venue rule.).

63. On information and belief, Defendants JC Inc., JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security each have significant ties to, and presence in, the State of Texas and this District, making venue in this District both proper and convenient for this action.

THE ASSERTED PATENTS AND TECHNOLOGY

64. The Asserted Patents cover various aspects of monitoring, detecting intrusions, and encrypting and decrypting wireless communications networks, including networks created between Defendants’ smart home devices.

65. The ’678 patent involves detecting intrusions into a wireless local or metropolitan area network. The disclosed intrusion detection techniques include monitoring transmission between stations of the network, where each station has its own media access layer (MAC) address.

The monitoring is done to detect failed attempts to authenticate the MAC addresses. Upon detection of a number of failed attempts to authenticate, an intrusion alert may be generated.

66. The '961 patent involves allocating channels in mobile ad hoc networks. The patent describes dynamic channel allocation in such networks to efficiently make use of a plurality of channels. In such networks, wireless communication links connect wireless mobile nodes over multiple separate channels at different frequencies. The disclosed techniques for channel allocation include monitoring link performance on one channel based on a quality of service (QoS) threshold. When the monitored link performance falls below the QoS threshold, other available separate channels are scouted. Scouting may include switching to a second separate channel at a different frequency. A channel activity query may be broadcast to determine link performance of the second separate channel. Replies to the query are processed to determine the link performance, and channel activity may be updated for each separate channel based on the replies.

67. The '572 patent involves providing secure wireless local area networks (LAN). A device for securing such a LAN may include a housing with a wireless transceiver carried by the housing. A medium access controller (MAC) is also carried by the housing. A cryptography circuit may be connected to the MAC controller and the transceiver. The circuit may encrypt both address and data information by at least adding a plurality of encrypting bits to be transmitted. And the cryptography circuit may decrypt both address and data information upon reception.

68. The '126 patent provides a secure wireless local area network (LAN) utilizing a LAN device. This device may include a housing that carries a wireless transceiver and a media access controller (MAC). A cryptography circuit carried by the housing may be connected to the MAC and the wireless transceiver. And the cryptography circuit may comprise a volatile memory

provided for storing cryptography information and may also comprise a battery provided for maintaining the cryptography information stored on the volatile memory.

69. On information and belief, a significant portion of the operating revenue of Defendants is derived from the manufacture, distribution, sale, and use of home and business networking, IoT, and smart home products and components, which are manufactured in or imported into the United States, distributed to resellers, dealers, and third-party manufacturers, and ultimately sold to and used by U.S. consumers. For example, Johnson Controls reported that Building Solutions North America had 8,685 million dollars (8.685 billion dollars) in sales and Global Products had 8,602 million dollars in sales (8.602 billion U.S. dollars) in the year ended September 30, 2021. *See Annual Financial Report* at 35.

70. The Asserted Patents cover Defendants' home and business IoT and smart home products and components, software, services, and processes related to same that generally connect to other devices in a network or other networks using a wireless protocol, such as ZigBee and Wi-Fi. *See, e.g., Residential and Smart Home*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/residential-and-smart-home> (last visited Sep. 30, 2022); *LUX Products Corp. Joins the ZigBee Alliance*, LUX, <https://www.luxproducts.com/lux-products-corp-joins-the-zigbee-alliance/> (July 6, 2016) (last visited Sep. 30, 2022) (“‘We are excited to be a part of the ZigBee Alliance.’ . . . LUX is a privately-held company based in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania with an R&D center in Hong Kong, manufacturing in Asia and packaging and distribution plant in Laredo, Texas. . . . The company sells more than one million thermostats each year.”). Defendants' infringing Johnson Controls products include, but are not limited to, devices enabled or compliant with Wi-Fi and/or ZigBee, including without limitation thermostats (for example, LUX CS1, LUX GEO, LUX KONO, LUX KONOz, YORK Hx and YORK Hx3

thermostats); security cameras (for example, Johnson Controls, Tyco, American Dynamics, Illustra and/or DSC security cameras, including at least DSC SN-629F1, SN-750EF1, and 631PT1 and Illustra ADCI600FW012 security cameras); security system panels, modules or hubs (for example, Johnson Controls and/or DSC and/or IOTEGA WS900x panels; Johnson Controls and/or DSC and/or PowerSeries ProHSM3WIFI WiFi adapter modules; and Johnson Controls and/or DSC and/or Telguard ASG1000-1T5NAS interactive hubs); wireless alarm and/or home automation gateways (for example, Johnson Controls and/or Tyco and/or Visonic PowerMaster-360R Modern Wireless Alarm and Home Automation Gateways); security panels, including at least home security panels (for example, Johnson Controls and/or Qolsys IQ Panel 4 and IQ Panel 2+ security panels); smart remotes and routers (for example, Johnson Controls and/or Qolsys IQ Remotes, IQHub, IQ WiFi 6 and IQ WiFi security panels); intrusion detectors (for example, Johnson Controls and/or Tyco and/or Visonic intrusion detectors); keypads (for example, DSC WS9TCHWNA iotega Touchscreen Keypad); controllers (for example, IQ REMOTE QW9104-840, EasyIO FW-08 8-POINT WIFI CONTROLLER, EasyIO FW-08V BUNDLE WIFI CONTROLLER WITH ACTUATOR, and EasyIO FW-28 28-POINT IP CONTROLLER); routers, coordinators, gateways and/or field bus systems (for example, IQ WIFI QW8200-840, IQ WIFI 6 IQWF6, FX-ZFR Series Wireless Field Bus System, FX-ZFR1811 Router, FX-ZFR1810 Coordinator, WNC1800/FX-ZFR182x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System, WNC1800 Wireless Network Coordinator (WNC) Gateway, WRG1830/ZFR183x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System, JC-WRG1830-0 Wireless Router Gateway with USB Wi-Fi AP and Zigbee-enabled WNC1800/FX-ZFR182x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System); sensors (for example, WiFi-enabled WVS-1000 Johnson Controls Vibration Diagnostics Service, GB-540 ZigBee Wireless Acoustic Glass Break Detector, Zigbee-enabled Long Range Magnetic Door/Window Sensor

MCT-370, Zigbee-enabled Extra Long Range Pet Immune PIR Motion Detector MP-841, Zigbee-enabled FX-ZFR Series Wireless Field Bus System FX-WRZMHN01-0 Wireless Room Temperature and Humidity Sensor with PIR Occupancy Sensor, Zigbee-enabled FX-ZFR Series Wireless Field Bus System FX-WRZTTK00-0 Wireless Room Temperature Sensor, and Zigbee-enabled WRG1830/ZFR183x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System WRZ-TTB0000-0 Temperature Sensor); survey tools (for example, WRG1830/ZFR183x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System ZFR-HPSST-0 High power survey tool); data acquisition devices (for example, Johnson Controls Vibration Diagnostics Service WVS-1000); devices including radio modules (for example, WRZ-7860-0 One to One Wireless Receiver and devices including the WRZ Radio Module 25-2934-4 Integrated Transceiver Module for WLAN 802.11 b/g/n, Bluetooth, Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE), and ANT, and devices including Zigbee-enabled WRZ Radio Module 2.4 25-2845); access points (for example, WRG1830/ZFR183x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System USB Wi-Fi AP, FX-ZFR Series Wireless Field Bus System ZFR-USBHA-0 USB Dongle with ZigBee Driver); wireless interfaces (for example, WRG1830/ZFR183x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System ZFR1831 Pro Wireless Interface); Visonic ZigBee solutions and products (for example, ZigBee compatible GB-540, MCT-350, MCT-370, MP-840 and MP-841 intrusion detectors); field bus systems (for example, Johnson Controls FX-ZFR Series Wireless Field Bus System, which can use ZigBee); ZigBee and/or WiFi modules and interfaces (for example, smartphone and tablet Wi-Fi interfaces); and related accessories and software (all collectively referred to as the “Accused Products”). These Accused Products infringe the Asserted Patents by at least their manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and use in the U.S.

71. The Asserted Patents cover Accused Products of Johnson Controls that use the ZigBee protocol to communicate with other devices on a communication network, including those

of third-party manufacturers. Examples of the Johnson Controls' ZigBee products include the KONOz Smart thermostat (including model number KN-Z-WH1-B04) which "uses the Zigbee protocol to easily communicate with your home automation hub," and "makes controlling KONOz from existing apps a snap," which is shown below:

LUX[®] HOME PRODUCTS SUPPORT ABOUT US CONTACT US

zigbee certified product FCC

Get Connected

Seamless Integration with Your Smart Home

KONOz is compatible with the leading connected home platforms so you can expand with the peace of mind of not having to run another home automation system. KONOz uses the Zigbee protocol to easily communicate with your home automation hub. This makes controlling KONOz from existing apps a snap! KONOz will be the solution to your Smart Home Automation needs with Zero Degrees of Difficulty

KONO Thermostat + Zigbee 3.0 Compatible Hub + Your Home Automation System App

Product Features

Model Number

KN-Z-WH1-B04

Power Source

4 AA Alkaline Batteries ,
Wall – Powered (24Vac C-Wire)
LUX Power Bridge

Heating / Cooling

Universal compatibility with conventional forced air, gas, oil & electric furnaces up to 2H/1C, heat pumps with or without Aux/Emergency heat and Hydronic (hot water) heat



Accessories



Decor Snap Covers™ Available separately

See *LUX KONOz*, [HTTPS://WWW.LUXPRODUCTS.COM/KONOZ/](https://www.luxproducts.com/konoz/) (last visited Sep. 30, 2022).

72. ZigBee protocols, which are covered by the Asserted Patents and utilized by certain Accused Products, are based on the IEEE 802.15.4 standard for wireless network communication.

Below is an excerpt from the technical specification for ZigBee protocols describing the basic architecture and standards that enable wireless network communication.

1.1 Protocol Description

The ZigBee Alliance has developed a very low-cost, very low-power-consumption, two-way, wireless communications standard. Solutions adopting the ZigBee standard will be embedded in consumer electronics, home and building automation, industrial controls, PC peripherals, medical sensor applications, toys, and games.

1.1.3 Stack Architecture

The ZigBee stack architecture is made up of a set of blocks called layers. Each layer performs a specific set of services for the layer above. A data entity provides a data transmission service and a management entity provides all other services. Each service entity exposes an interface to the upper layer through a service access point (SAP), and each SAP supports a number of service primitives to achieve the required functionality.

The IEEE 802.15.4 standard defines the two lower layers: the physical (PHY) layer and the medium access control (MAC) sub-layer. The ZigBee Alliance builds on this foundation by providing the network (NWK) layer and the framework for the application layer. The application layer framework consists of the application support sub-layer (APS) and the ZigBee device objects (ZDO). Manufacturer-defined application objects use the framework and share APS and security services with the ZDO.

The PHY layer operates in two separate frequency ranges: 868/915 MHz and 2.4 GHz. The lower frequency PHY layer covers both the 868 MHz European band and the 915 MHz band, used in countries such as the United States and Australia. The higher frequency PHY layer is used virtually worldwide. A complete description of the PHY layers can be found in [B1].

ZigBee Specification, revision r21 at 1, THE ZIGBEE ALLIANCE, <https://zigbeealliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/docs-05-3474-21-0csg-zigbee-specification.pdf> (August 5, 2015).

73. The IEEE 802.15.4 standard based mobile ad-hoc network, utilized by the Accused Products, is a type of Low-Rate Wireless Personal Area Network (LR-WPAN) that allows transmission of data between plurality of network nodes.

IEEE STANDARDS ASSOCIATION

**IEEE Standard for
Local and metropolitan area networks—**

**Part 15.4: Low-Rate Wireless Personal Area
Networks (LR-WPANs)**

4. General description

4.1 General

An LR-WPAN is a simple, low-cost communication network that allows wireless connectivity in applications with limited power and relaxed throughput requirements. The main objectives of an LR-WPAN are ease of installation, reliable data transfer, extremely low cost, and a reasonable battery life, while maintaining a simple and flexible protocol.

Two different device types can participate in an IEEE 802.15.4 network: a full-function device (FFD) and a reduced-function device (RFD). An FFD is a device that is capable of serving as a personal area network (PAN) coordinator or a coordinator. An RFD is a device that is not capable of serving as either a PAN coordinator or a coordinator. An RFD is intended for applications that are extremely simple, such as a light switch or a passive infrared sensor; it does not have the need to send large amounts of data and only associates with a single FFD at a time. Consequently, the RFD can be implemented using minimal resources and memory capacity.

4.2 Components of the IEEE 802.15.4 WPAN

A system conforming to this standard consists of several components. The most basic is the device. Two or more devices communicating on the same physical channel constitute a WPAN. However, this WPAN includes at least one FFD, which operates as the PAN coordinator.

Page 8, http://ecee.colorado.edu/~liue/teaching/comm_standards/2015S_zigbee/802.15.4-2011.pdf

74. In the ZigBee network of the Accused Products, a network device/node is configured to monitor the performance of a channel-in-use based on its energy measurement. As described

below, if the measurement value is higher than the value on other channels (threshold), it indicates interference is present on the channel, consequently resulting in transmission failures.



ANNEX E OPERATING NETWORK MANAGER AS NETWORK CHANNEL MANAGER FOR INTERFERENCE REPORTING AND RESOLUTION

A single device can become the Network Channel Manager. This device acts as the central mechanism for reception of network interference reports and changing the channel of the network if interference is detected. The default address of the network manager is the coordinator, however this can be updated by sending a Mgmt_NWK_Update_req command with a different short address for the network channel manager. The device that is the Network Channel Manager shall set the network manager bit in the server mask in the node descriptor and shall respond to System_Server_Discovery_req commands.

Each router or coordinator is responsible for tracking transmit failures using the TransmitFailure field in the neighbor table and also keeping a NIB counter for total transmissions attempted. A device that detects a significant number of transmission failures may take action to determine if interference is a cause. The following steps are an example of that procedure¹:

1. Conduct an energy scan on all channels within the current PHY. If this energy scan does not indicate higher energy on the current channel then other channels, no action is taken. The device should continue to operate as normal and the message counters are not reset. However, repeated energy scans are not desirable as the device is off the network during these scans and therefore implementations should limit how often a device with failures conducts energy scans.
2. If the energy scan does indicate increased energy on the channel in use, a Mgmt_NWK_Update_notify should be sent to the Network Manager to indicate interference is present. This report is sent as an APS Unicast with acknowledgement and once the acknowledgement is received the total transmit and transmit failure counters are reset to zero.

Page 516, <https://zigbeealliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/docs-05-3474-21-0csg-zigbee-specification.pdf>

75. As described below, the network manager node facilitates switching to a different channel, i.e., scouting available separate channels, if the performance on the channel-in-use falls below a threshold (i.e., when the current channel's energy is higher than channels, indicating

increased interference, and thereby resulting in multiple transmission failures). The network nodes switch to a new (second) channel whose energy level is lowest or below an acceptable threshold.



A single device can become the Network Channel Manager. This device acts as the central mechanism for reception of network interference reports and changing the channel of the network if interference is detected. The default address of the network manager is the coordinator, however this can be updated by sending a Mgmt_NWK_Update_req command with a different short address for the network channel manager. The device that is the Network Channel Manager shall set the network manager bit in the server mask in the node descriptor and shall respond to System_Server_Discovery_req commands.

Each router or coordinator is responsible for tracking transmit failures using the TransmitFailure field in the neighbor table and also keeping a NIB counter for total transmissions attempted. A device that detects a significant number of transmission failures may take action to determine if interference is a cause. The following steps are an example of that procedure¹:

1. Conduct an energy scan on all channels within the current PHY. If this energy scan does not indicate higher energy on the current channel than other channels, no action is taken. The device should continue to operate as normal and the message counters are not reset. However, repeated energy scans are not desirable as the device is off the network during these scans and therefore implementations should limit how often a device with failures conducts energy scans.
2. If the energy scan does indicate increased energy on the channel in use, a Mgmt_NWK_Update_notify should be sent to the Network Manager to indicate interference is present. This report is sent as an APS Unicast with acknowledgement and once the acknowledgement is received the total transmit and transmit failure counters are reset to zero.
3. To avoid a device with communication problems from constantly sending reports to the network manager, the device should not send a Mgmt_NWK_Update_notify more than 4 times per hour.

Upon receipt of an unsolicited Mgmt_NWK_Update_notify, the network manager must evaluate if a channel change is required in the network. The specific mechanisms the network manager uses to decide upon a channel change are left to the implementers. It is expected that implementers will apply different methods to best determine when a channel change is required and how to select the most appropriate channel. The following is offered as guidance for implementation.

Comment: Zigbee network further allows network devices/nodes to function as Network Channel Manager. The network manager node facilitates switching to a different channel if the performance on the channel-in-use falls below a threshold (i.e., when the current channel's energy is higher than channels, indicating increased interference, and thereby resulting in multiple transmission failures).

Page 516, <https://zigbeealliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/docs-05-3474-21-0csg-zigbee-specification.pdf>

76. With reference to the above graphic and as further described below, the ZigBee network of the Accused Products further allows using the command to request interference reports, i.e., broadcasts a channel activity query, from the network nodes, which involves scanning the energy level on all the channels including the newly switched (second) channel. The interference


report will represent determining the performance for the second channel. In addition, the most recent energy level value and failure rate (indicative of the channel performance/activity) corresponding to the channels is stored, i.e., the channel activity is updated.

The network manager may do the following:

1. Wait and evaluate if other reports from other devices are received. This may be appropriate if there are no other failures reported. In this case the network manager should add the reporting device to a list of devices that have reported interference. The number of devices on such a list would depend on the size of the network. The network manager can age devices out of this list.
2. Request other interference reports using the `Mgmt_NWK_Update_req` command. This may be done if other failures have been reported or the network manager device itself has failures and a channel change may be desired. The network manager may request data from the list of devices that have reported interference plus other randomly selected routers in the network. The network manager should not request an update from the device that has just reported interference since this data is fresh already.
3. Upon receipt of the `Mgmt_NWK_Update_notify`, the network manager shall determine if a channel change is required using whatever implementation specific mechanisms are considered appropriate. The network manager device with just one channel allowed in the `apsChannelMask` parameter must not issue the `Mgmt_Nwk_Update_Req` command to request other devices to change the current channel. However, the network manager may report channel quality issues to the application.
4. If the above data indicate a channel change should be considered, the network manager completed the following:
 - a. Select a single channel based on the `Mgmt_NWK_Update_notify` based on the lowest energy. This is the proposed new channel. If this new channel does not have an energy level below an acceptable threshold, a channel change should not be done. Additionally, a new channel shall not belong to a PHY different from the one on which a network manager is operating now.
5. Prior to changing channels, the network manager should store the energy scan value as the last energy scan value and the failure rate from the existing channel as the last failure rate. These values are useful to allow comparison of the failure rate and energy level on the previous channel to evaluate if the network is causing its own interference.
6. The network manager should broadcast a `Mgmt_NWK_Update_req` notifying devices of the new channel. The broadcast shall be to all devices with `RxOnWhenIdle` equal to `TRUE`. The network manager is responsible for incrementing the `nwkUpdateId` parameter from the NIB and including it in the `Mgmt_NWK_Update_req`. The network manager shall set a timer based on the value of `apsChannelTimer` upon issue of a `Mgmt_NWK_Update_req` that changes channels and shall not issue another such command until this timer expires. However, during this period, the network manager can complete the above analysis. However, instead of changing channels, the network manager would report to the local application using `Mgmt_NWK_Update_notify` and the application can force a channel change using the `Mgmt_NWK_Update_req`.

Upon receipt of a `Mgmt_NWK_Update_req` with a change of channels, the local network manager shall set a timer equal to the `nwkNetworkBroadcastDeliveryTime` and shall switch channels upon expiration of this timer. Each node shall also increment the `nwkUpdateId` parameter and also reset the total transmit count and the transmit failure counters.

77. The Asserted Patents also cover Accused Products of Johnson Controls that utilize the Wi-Fi protocol. Examples of such products include the LUX CS1 Smart Thermostat and LUX App. As shown below, the LUX CS1 and LUX App are Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11) compliant:



CS1

Smart Features

- Geofencing: Home & Away Aware™
- Smart Scheduling: Utility Cost Estimated
- Personalization with Energy savings, Wellness – Sleep Quality, Air Quality Allergies “setting,” Pet Owner “setting,” Peace of mind “setting”
- Smart Reports: energy, utility cost
- Smart Tips: For savings and seasonality tips
- IAQ Fan: works with LUX airSMART IAQ
- Utility: Demand/Response capable
- Reporting: Energy & Run Time Usage
- Apps: IOS & Android
- Multi-user and Multi-thermostat Management

Product

A comfortably designed, smart value thermostat. Pro set up & testing without WiFi with the Pro Services App

GET IT ON Google Play

Download on the App Store

works with Google Assistant

works with amazon alexa

CS1, LUX, <https://pro.luxproducts.com/cs1/> (last visited Sep. 30, 2022).




So Smart its Simple

The new LUX App is packed full of enhanced smart features to make your life both easy and comfortable. The app is user friendly and includes an informative home screen, an intuitive smart scheduling interface, Home and Away Aware smart geofencing, and even the Accuweather so you can always be one step ahead. Smart technology has never been this simple. The LUX App is compatible with the LUX Smart suite of thermostats the all new and affordable CS1 Smart Thermostat, KONO, and GEO devices all utilize the smart app available on IOS & Android devices. Best of all, its free to download!



So Smart its Simple, LUX, <https://www.luxproducts.com/app/> (last visited Sep. 30, 2022).



The app will present a set of instructions specific to your device.



After connecting to the thermostat network, return to the LUX app and connect to your home wifi network.

See *Frequently Asked Questions*, LUX, <https://www.luxproducts.com/faqs/#1591276519431-a8400425-c02e> (last visited Sep. 30, 2022).

78. The Accused Products include an intrusion detection method for a local or metropolitan area network to infringe at least the ‘678, ‘572, and ‘126 patents. For example, the IEEE 802.11 authentication methods utilized by the Accused Products utilize a TKIP that includes a “MIC” to defend against active attacks.

IEEE Std 802.11™-2007
(Revision of
IEEE Std 802.11-1999)

8.3.2.3 TKIP MIC

Flaws in the IEEE 802.11 WEP design cause it to fail to meet its goal of protecting data traffic content from casual eavesdroppers. Among the most significant WEP flaws is the lack of a mechanism to defeat message forgeries and other active attacks. To defend against active attacks, TKIP includes a MIC, named Michael. This MIC offers only weak defenses against message forgeries, but it constitutes the best that can be achieved with the majority of legacy hardware. TKIP uses different MIC keys depending on the direction of the transfer as described in 8.6.1 and 8.6.2.

Annex H contains an implementation of the TKIP MIC. It also provides test vectors for the MIC.

8.3.2.3.1 Motivation for the TKIP MIC

Before defining the details of the MIC, it is useful to review the context in which this mechanism operates. Active attacks enabled by the original WEP design include the following:

- Bit-flipping attacks
- Data (payload) truncation, concatenation, and splicing
- Fragmentation attacks
- Iterative guessing attacks against the key
- Redirection by modifying the MPDU DA or RA field
- Impersonation attacks by modifying the MPDU SA or TA field

The MIC makes it more difficult for any of these attacks to succeed.

All of these attacks remain at the MPDU level with the TKIP MIC. The MIC, however, applies to the MSDU, so it blocks successful MPDU-level attacks. TKIP applies the MIC to the MSDU at the transmitter and verifies it at the MSDU level at the receiver. If a MIC check fails at the MSDU level, the implementation shall discard the MSDU and invoke countermeasures (see 8.3.2.4).

Page 217, <https://www.iith.ac.in/~tbr/teaching/docs/802.11-2007.pdf>

79. Stations (STAs) in an IEEE 802.11 network of the Accused Products associate with each other using a robust security network association (RSNA). As described below, RSNA supports intrusion detection by employing authentication mechanisms and data frame protection

mechanisms (such as, temporal key integrity protocol - TKIP) between the STAs. Data is exchanged between the STAs in the form of MPDUs (medium access control (MAC) protocol data units). The MAC frame (MPDU) comprises a MSDU (information frame) in the frame body, and four addresses that identify, among others, source MAC address (SA) and destination MAC address (DA) for the MSDU.

IEEE Std 802.11™-2007
(Revision of
IEEE Std 802.11-1999)

5.1.1.4 Interaction with other IEEE 802® layers

IEEE Std 802.11 is required to appear to higher layers [logical link control (LLC)] as a wired IEEE 802 LAN. This requires that the IEEE 802.11 network handle STA mobility within the MAC sublayer. To meet reliability assumptions (that LLC makes about lower layers), it is necessary for IEEE Std 802.11 to incorporate functionality that is untraditional for MAC sublayers.

In a robust security network association (RSNA), IEEE Std 802.11 provides functions to protect data frames, IEEE Std 802.1X-2004 provides authentication and a Controlled Port, and IEEE Std 802.11 and IEEE Std 802.1X-2004 collaborate to provide key management. All STAs in an RSNA have a corresponding IEEE 802.1X entity that handles these services. This standard defines how an RSNA utilizes IEEE Std 802.1X-2004 to access these services.

3.126 robust security network (RSN): A security network that allows only the creation of robust security network associations (RSNAs). An RSN can be identified by the indication in the RSN information element (IE) of Beacon frames that the group cipher suite specified is not wired equivalent privacy (WEP).

3.127 robust security network association (RSNA): The type of association used by a pair of stations (STAs) if the procedure to establish authentication or association between them includes the 4-Way Handshake. Note that the existence of an RSNA by a pair of devices does not of itself provide robust security. Robust security is provided when all devices in the network use RSNAs.

5.2.3.2 RSNA

An RSNA defines a number of security features in addition to wired equivalent privacy (WEP) and IEEE 802.11 authentication. These features include the following:

- Enhanced authentication mechanisms for STAs
- Key management algorithms
- Cryptographic key establishment
- An enhanced data cryptographic encapsulation mechanism, called Counter mode with Cipher-block chaining Message authentication code Protocol (CCMP), and, optionally, Temporal Key Integrity Protocol (TKIP).

Page 72, 61, 75 <https://www.iith.ac.in/~tbr/teaching/docs/802.11-2007.pdf>

80. In the TKIP protocol of the Accused Products, an MSDU transmitter STA calculates cryptographic message integrity code (MIC) using the MAC addresses (SA & DA) corresponding to the MSDU. As described below, the transmission is monitored if the MIC (which is obtained using the MAC addresses) is verified/authenticated at the receiver. MSDUs with invalid MICs are discarded and countermeasures are invoked.

8.3 RSNA data confidentiality protocols

8.3.1 Overview

This standard defines two RSNA data confidentiality and integrity protocols: TKIP and CCMP. Implementation of CCMP shall be mandatory in all IEEE 802.11 devices claiming RSNA compliance. Implementation of TKIP is optional for an RSNA. A design aim for TKIP was that the algorithm should be implementable within the capabilities of most devices supporting only WEP, so that many such devices would be field-upgradeable by the supplier to support TKIP.

8.3.2 Temporal Key Integrity Protocol (TKIP)

8.3.2.1 TKIP overview

The TKIP is a cipher suite enhancing the WEP protocol on pre-RSNA hardware. TKIP modifies WEP as follows:

- a) A transmitter calculates a keyed cryptographic message integrity code (MIC) over the MSDU SA and DA, the MSDU priority (see 8.3.2.3), and the MSDU plaintext data. TKIP appends the computed MIC to the MSDU data prior to fragmentation into MPDUs. The receiver verifies the MIC after decryption, ICV checking, and defragmentation of the MPDUs into an MSDU and discards any received MSDUs with invalid MICs. TKIP's MIC provides a defense against forgery attacks.
- b) Because of the design constraints of the TKIP MIC, it is still possible for an adversary to compromise message integrity; therefore, TKIP also implements countermeasures. The countermeasures bound the probability of a successful forgery and the amount of information an attacker can learn about a key.

Page 213, 214 <https://www.iith.ac.in/~tbr/teaching/docs/802.11-2007.pdf>

81. The TKIP MIC implementation of the Accused Products prevents intrusion attacks, such as, message redirection by modifying destination/receiver MAC address (DA or RA) and impersonation by modifying the source/transmitter MAC address (SA or TA). As described below, the transmission is monitored if the MIC (which is obtained using the MAC addresses) is

verified/authenticated at the receiver. MSDU with an invalid MIC will indicate a modified MAC address (SA or DA), thereby resulting in discarding the MSDU and invoking the countermeasures.

8.3.2.3 TKIP MIC

Flaws in the IEEE 802.11 WEP design cause it to fail to meet its goal of protecting data traffic content from casual eavesdroppers. Among the most significant WEP flaws is the lack of a mechanism to defeat message forgeries and other active attacks. To defend against active attacks, TKIP includes a MIC, named Michael. This MIC offers only weak defenses against message forgeries, but it constitutes the best that can be achieved with the majority of legacy hardware. TKIP uses different MIC keys depending on the direction of the transfer as described in 8.6.1 and 8.6.2.

Annex H contains an implementation of the TKIP MIC. It also provides test vectors for the MIC.

8.3.2.3.1 Motivation for the TKIP MIC

Before defining the details of the MIC, it is useful to review the context in which this mechanism operates.

Active attacks enabled by the original WEP design include the following:

- Bit-flipping attacks
- Data (payload) truncation, concatenation, and splicing
- Fragmentation attacks
- Iterative guessing attacks against the key
- Redirection by modifying the MPDU DA or RA field
- Impersonation attacks by modifying the MPDU SA or TA field

The MIC makes it more difficult for any of these attacks to succeed.

All of these attacks remain at the MPDU level with the TKIP MIC. The MIC, however, applies to the MSDU, so it blocks successful MPDU-level attacks. TKIP applies the MIC to the MSDU at the transmitter and verifies it at the MSDU level at the receiver. If a MIC check fails at the MSDU level, the implementation shall discard the MSDU and invoke countermeasures (see 8.3.2.4).

Page 217, <https://www.iith.ac.in/~tbr/teaching/docs/802.11-2007.pdf>

82. Upon detecting a first MIC failure, as described below, a countermeasure timer is initiated, and a failure event (alert) is reported to the AP by sending a Michael MIC Failure Report frame. Upon detecting a second consecutive MIC failure within 60 seconds, i.e., detecting a number of failed attempts, the participating STAs are deauthenticated, wherein deauthentication

involves sending a notification (i.e., generating an alert) to deauthenticate due to an intrusion (2 consecutive MIC failures has occurred).

8.3.2.4 TKIP countermeasures procedures

The TKIP MIC trades off security in favor of implementability on pre-RSNA devices. Michael provides only weak protection against active attacks. A failure of the MIC in a received MSDU indicates a probable active attack. A successful attack against the MIC would mean an attacker could inject forged data frames and perform further effective attacks against the encryption key itself. If TKIP implementation detects a probable active attack, TKIP shall take countermeasures as specified in this subclause. These countermeasures accomplish the following goals:

- MIC failure events *should* be logged as a security-relevant matter. A MIC failure is an almost certain indication of an active attack and warrants a follow-up by the system administrator.
- The rate of MIC failures *must* be kept below two per minute. This implies that STAs and APs detecting two MIC failure events within 60 s must disable all receptions using TKIP for a period of 60 s. The slowdown makes it difficult for an attacker to make a large number of forgery attempts in a short time.

A single counter or timer shall be used to log MIC failure events. These failure events are defined as follows:

- For an Authenticator:
 - Detection of a MIC failure on a received unicast frame.
 - Receipt of Michael MIC Failure Report frame.
- For a Supplicant:
 - Detection of a MIC failure on a received unicast or broadcast/multicast frame.
 - Attempt to transmit a Michael MIC Failure Report frame.

The number of MIC failures is accrued independent of the particular key context. Any single MIC failure, whether detected by the Supplicant or the Authenticator and whether resulting from a group MIC key failure or a pairwise MIC key failure, shall be treated as cause for a MIC failure event.

The Supplicant uses a single Michael MIC Failure Report frame to report a MIC failure event to the Authenticator. A Michael MIC Failure Report is an EAPOL-Key frame with the following Key Information

The first MIC failure shall be logged, and a timer initiated to enable enforcement of the countermeasures. If the MIC failure event is detected by the Supplicant, it shall also report the event to the AP by sending a Michael MIC Failure Report frame.

If a subsequent MIC failure occurs within 60 s of the most recent previous failure, then a STA whose IEEE 802.1X entity has acted as a Supplicant shall deauthenticate (as defined in 11.3.1.3) itself or deauthenticate all the STAs with a security association if its IEEE 802.1X entity acted as an Authenticator. For an IBSS STA, both Supplicant and Authenticator actions shall be taken. Furthermore, the device shall not receive or transmit any TKIP-encrypted data frames, and shall not receive or transmit any unencrypted data frames other than IEEE 802.1X messages, to or from any peer for a period of at least 60 s after it detects the second failure. If the device is an AP, it shall disallow new associations using TKIP during this 60 s period; at the

Page 219, 220, <https://www.iith.ac.in/~tbr/teaching/docs/802.11-2007.pdf>

83. The Asserted Patents also cover Johnson Controls' Wi-Fi compliant devices, which support WPA and WPA2, and WPA3 security mechanisms, as described below and in the

following paragraph. Of the WPA, WPA2 and WPA3 security mechanism used by the Accused Products, such as Johnson Controls’ smart home Wi-Fi devices, the WPA is based on Temporal Key Integrity Protocol (TKIP), while the WPA2 and WPA3 are based on Counter Mode Cipher Block Chaining Message Authentication Code Protocol (CCMP). Shown below are exemplary IEEE 802.11 compliant smart remotes and routers. The devices each have a housing.

IQ REMOTE






The IQ Remote is a secondary tablet that pairs with the IQ Panel 4, IQ Hub and IQ Panel 2 Plus. Not only does it provide a way to arm and disarm your Panel and see sensor status, but it gives you the features you don't get in your mobile app like chimes, emergency panics, and a siren. The IQ Remote can be upgraded over the air as new software upgrades are released.

QW9104-840

IQ Remote, QOLSYS, <https://qolsys.com/iq-remote-2/> (last visited Sep. 30, 2022).

CONNECTING THE IQ REMOTE TO WIFI

The IQ Remote pairs with the IQ Panel 2 over a secure WIFI Network (802.11 B, G, N, AC) and must be connected to the same 2.4 or 5 GHz network as the IQ Panel 2 before you can add it to the system. To connect to a secure WIFI:

 Touch "Activate WIFI"	 Whitehouse  Your Neighbor Select network from available list.	Password Enter WIFI credentials
---	---	---------------------------------------

IMPORTANT: Please check that the IQ Remote can successfully connect to the network in the chosen installation location

IQ Remote Quick Guide, p. 1, QOLSYS, available for download at <https://qolsys.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/IQ-RemoteV3-Quick-Guide.pdf> (last visited Sep. 30, 2022).



SPECIFICATIONS

Items	IQ WIFI 6
Wireless Band	Dual IEEE 802.11 b/g/n/ax 2.4GHz IEEE 802.11 a/n/ac/ax 5GHz - AX1800
Ethernet	1x LAN / 1x WAN Gigabit Ethernet Ports
Security	WPA2 and WPA 3 Encryption
Expandable Mesh	Add up to 8 nodes (1 controller & 7 agents).
DHCP	Server, Client
Protocols	IPv4 and IPv6
Guest Network	2.4GHz/5GHz
WPS	Physical button is on the back of the device
Power Supply AC-DC	AC (110V 60Hz / 240V 50Hz), 12 DC (12V/1A) - Barrel jack
Operating Temperature	32°F to 104°F (0°C - 40°C)
Operating Distance	Up to 1,500 square feet per point
Weight	425g
Size	151.4mm x 150.4mm x 55.4mm
Part Number	IQWF6




IQ WIFI 6 Specification Sheet, p. 1 QOLSYS, available for download at <https://qolsys.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/IQ-WiFi-6-Flyer-SpeckSheet-07-19-22.pdf> (last visited Sep. 30, 2022) (listing the brands Qolsys, DSC, PowerG, and Johnson Controls in a footer of the specification sheets).

84. As shown above, the Accused Products provide 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz Wi-Fi speeds. This capability ascertains the presence of a MAC controller, a Wi-Fi antenna and transceiver in the device and provides a secure wireless LAN.

85. The Accused Products further utilize a cryptography circuit that implements the 802.11 protocols authentication techniques, including, for example, TKIP and/or CCMP. Shown below is a block diagram from the 802.11 protocol documentation showing the TKIP-based cryptography circuit (such as used with WPA) that is utilized in the Accused Products. The circuit shown encrypts both address (destination address (DA), source address (SA)) and data information (plaintext MSDU) by adding encryptions bits (MIC key) to both the address and data. The cryptography circuit of the Accused Products is also configured to decrypt the encrypted address and data information.

IEEE Std 802.11™-2007
(Revision of
IEEE Std 802.11-1999)




Page 213, 214, <https://www.iith.ac.in/~tbr/teaching/docs/802.11-2007.pdf>

86. Shown below is a block diagram from the 802.11 protocol documentation showing the CCMP-based cryptography circuit (such as used with WPA2) that is utilized in the Accused Products. The circuit shown encrypts both address (A2 – MPDU address 2) and data information (plaintext MPDU) by adding encryptions bits (temporal key (TK)) to both the address and data. The cryptography circuit of the Accused Products is also configured to decrypt the encrypted

address and data information at least for any of the Accused Products that employs CCMP, for example, WPA2-enabled products.

IEEE Std 802.11™-2007
(Revision of
IEEE Std 802.11-1999)




Page 229, <https://www.iith.ac.in/~tbr/teaching/docs/802.11-2007.pdf>

87. Defendants also infringe the '126 patent via products that utilize a volatile memory for storing cryptography information utilized in the cryptography circuit and a battery for maintaining the cryptography in the volatile memory. On information and belief, an example of

such an infringing device is a Johnson Controls Vibration Diagnostics Service WVS-1000 data acquisition device, which is depicted below in a Figure that illustrates a housing for the device.

Figure 6: WVS-1000 DAQ LED indicators and button



Johnson Controls Vibration Diagnostics Service Installation Guide WVS-1000, p. 3, JOHNSON CONTROLS, available for download at <https://docs.johnsoncontrols.com/bas/viewer/book-attachment/7Tzfv5Pgoa5zHblEj5AtFQ/UF31Q~RqgS1xl2cU8zpBgg> (last visited Jan. 3, 2023).

88. The WVS-1000 data acquisition device includes a wireless transceiver carried by a housing. According to the WVS-1000 installation guide, the WVS-1000 data acquisition device provides “WiFi connectivity” and utilizes a battery that provides power to maintain data, including cryptographic information in the product’s internal (volatile) memory. *Id.* at 4 (“The WVS-1000 DAQ is powered by an internal rechargeable battery and cannot be used with a depleted battery.”). Such cryptographic information allows data encryption to be carried out over a secure wireless 802.11 network. The battery is located inside the housing and depicted in blue in the WVS-1000 internal photo shown below.



See, e.g., Application for Equipment Authorization FCC Form 731 TCB Version for FCC ID OEJ-WVS1000 Having Lower Frequency of 2402.0 MHz, Exhibit Internal Photos, JOHNSON CONTROLS INC (Final Action Date Apr. 11, 2021), available for download at <https://apps.fcc.gov/oetcf/eas/reports/GenericSearch.cfm> (last visited Jan. 4, 2023).

89. On information and belief, the WVS-1000 data acquisition device includes a Wi-Fi module that has an internal volatile memory for storing data, including cryptographic information. The WVS-1000 data acquisition devices are enabled to provide wireless communication security and encryption via protocols including at least: “WPA2-PSK TKIP (Wi-Fi Protected Access Pre-Shared Key mode Temporal Key Integrity Protocol),” “WPA2-EAP-PEAP,” and “WPA2-EAP-TLS.” *Johnson Controls Vibration Diagnostics Service Installation Guide WVS-1000*, p. 6, JOHNSON CONTROLS, available for download at <https://docs.johnsoncontrols.com/bas/viewer/book-attachment/7Tzfv5Pgoa5zHblEj5AtFQ/UF31Q~RqgS1xl2cU8zpBgg> (last visited Jan. 3, 2022).

90. On information and belief, Defendants also infringe the '126 patent via the LUX GEO WiFi Thermostat that utilizes a volatile memory for storing cryptography information utilized in the cryptography circuit and a battery for maintaining the cryptography in the volatile memory. Examples of LUX GEO WiFi Thermostats are depicted below in a figure that illustrates housings for each device.



Specification Sheet for LUX GEO WiFi Thermostat, LUX PRODUCTS CORPORATION, available for download at https://pro.luxproducts.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/Geo-SpecSheet_20220316-ES2.pdf (last visited Jan. 3, 2022).

91. LUX GEO WiFi Thermostat includes a wireless transceiver carried by a housing. According to the specification sheet for the LUX GEO WiFi Thermostat, the LUX GEO WiFi Thermostat acquisition device provides “Connectivity” via a “WiFi certified 802.11 b/g/n” radio and utilizes a battery that provides power to maintain data, including cryptographic information in the product’s internal (volatile) memory. *Id.* (enabled to “POWER WITH BATTERY-ONLY.”). Such cryptographic information allows data encryption to be carried out over a secure wireless 802.11 network. Batteries are located inside the housing of the LUX GEO WiFi Thermostat, and

an example of batteries inside the housing appear below in a screen shot from the Lux Products Corporation channel on YouTube.



Search



LUX/GEO Features

LUX[™] Lux Products Corporation
563 subscribers

Subscribe

18



Share



17K views 7 years ago

The Lux/Geo 7 day programmable wifi thermostat is full of features. Let's go over some of the major product and app highlights.

Show more

See, e.g., *LUX/GEO Features*, LUX PRODUCTS CORPORATION (Sep. 1, 2015), <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kdYVLZxEU9k> (last visited Jan. 4, 2023).

92. On information and belief, the LUX GEO WiFi Thermostat includes a Wi-Fi module that has an internal volatile memory for storing data, including cryptographic information. As illustrated below, the LUX GEO WiFi Thermostat is enabled to provide WiFi “data encryption.”

SPECIFICATIONS

MODEL# GEO-WH & GEO-BL

WiFi Specifications	2.4GHz: 802.11 b/g/n SHA256RSA data encryption Network commissioning through iOS/Android app Program and system settings stored on the device or WPS for set up and function – with or without WiFi
---------------------	--

Specification Sheet for LUX GEO WiFi Thermostat, LUX PRODUCTS CORPORATION, available for download at https://pro.luxproducts.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/Geo-SpecSheet_20220316-ES2.pdf (last visited Jan. 3, 2023).

COUNT I

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,224,678)

93. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 92 herein by reference.

94. Plaintiff is the assignee of the '678 patent, entitled “Wireless local or metropolitan area network with intrusion detection features and related methods,” with ownership of all substantial rights in the '678 patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue, and recover damages for past and future infringements.

95. The '678 patent is valid, enforceable, and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code. The '678 patent issued from U.S. Patent Application No. 10/217,042.

96. Defendants have and continue to directly and/or indirectly infringe (by inducing infringement) one or more claims of the '678 patent in this District and elsewhere in Texas and the United States.

97. On information and belief, Defendants design, develop, manufacture, import, distribute, offer to sell, sell, and use the Accused Products, including via the activities of Johnson

Controls' parent, subsidiaries, members, segments, companies, brands and/or related entities, such as Defendants JC Inc. JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security.

98. Defendants each directly infringe the '678 patent via 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the Accused Products, their components, and/or products containing the same that incorporate the fundamental technologies covered by the '678 patent to, for example, its alter egos, agents, intermediaries, related entities, distributors, dealers, importers, customers, parent, subsidiaries, members, segments, companies, brands, resellers, dealers, OEMs, installers, and/or consumers. Furthermore, on information and belief, Defendants design the Accused Products for U.S. consumers, make and sell the Accused Products outside of the United States, deliver those products to related entities, subsidiaries, online stores, distribution partners, retailers, showrooms, resellers, dealers, customers and other related service providers in the United States, or in the case that it delivers the Accused Products outside of the United States it does so intending and/or knowing that those products are destined for the United States and/or designing those products for sale and use in the United States, thereby directly infringing the '678 patent. *See, e.g., Lake Cherokee Hard Drive Techs., L.L.C. v. Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.*, 964 F. Supp. 2d 653, 658 (E.D. Tex. 2013) (denying summary judgment and allowing presentation to jury as to “whether accused products manufactured and delivered abroad but imported into the United States market by downstream customers ... constitute an infringing sale under § 271(a)”).

99. Furthermore, Defendants directly infringe the '678 patent through their direct involvement in the activities of Johnson Controls' parent, subsidiaries, and related entities, including Defendants JC Inc., JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security, and U.S.-based subsidiaries, members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls, including at least by designing the Accused Products for U.S. consumers, selling and offering for

sale the Accused Products directly to its related entities and importing the Accused Products into the United States for its related entities. On information and belief, U.S.-based subsidiaries, including at least Defendants JC Inc, JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security (based in Canada but operating in the U.S. via other entities), conduct activities that constitute direct infringement of the '678 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by importing, offering for sale, selling, and/or using those Accused Products in the U.S. on behalf of and for the benefit of Defendants. Moreover, Defendants Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security utilize and benefit from the activities of their agents and alter egos, i.e., Defendants JC Inc., and/or JC Security. These entities are also vicariously liable for the infringing conduct of Defendants JC Inc. and JC Security and other U.S.-based subsidiaries, members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls (under both the alter ego and agency theories). On information and belief, Defendants JC Inc, JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security and other U.S. based subsidiaries members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls are essentially the same company, comprising members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls. Moreover, JC Inc. and JC Security, as “significant” subsidiaries of parent JCI PLC, along with other Johnson Controls related entities, have the right and ability to control the infringing activities of those subsidiary entities such that Defendants receive a direct financial benefit from that infringement.

100. For example, Defendants infringe claim 51 of the '678 patent via the Accused Products that utilize 802.11 (Wi-Fi) protocols, including, but not limited to LUX CS1, LUX GEO, LUX KONO, YORK Hx and YORK Hx3 thermostats; Johnson Controls, Tyco, American Dynamics, Illustra and/or DSC security cameras, including at least DSC SN-629F1, SN-750EF1, and 631PT1 and Illustra ADCI600FW012 security cameras; Johnson Controls and/or DSC and/or

IOTEGA WS900x security system panels; Johnson Controls and/or DSC and/or PowerSeries ProHSM3WIFI WiFi adapter modules; Johnson Controls and/or DSC and/or Telguard ASG1000-1T5NAS interactive hubs; Johnson Controls and/or Tyco and/or Visonic PowerMaster-360R Modern Wireless Alarm and Home Automation Gateways; Johnson Controls and/or Qolsys IQ Hub, IQ Panel 4 and IQ Panel 2+ security panels; Johnson Controls and/or Qolsys IQ Remotes, IQ WiFi 6 and IQ WiFi security panels; Lux App; DSC WS9TCHWNA iotega touchscreen keypads; EasyIO FW-08, FW-08V and FW-28 controllers and/or actuators; JC-WRG1830-0 Wireless Router Gateway with USB Wi-Fi AP; WVS-1000 Johnson Controls Vibration Diagnostics Service; WRZ-7860-0 One to One Wireless Receiver and devices including the WRZ Radio Module 25-2934-4 Integrated Transceiver Module for WLAN 802.11 b/g/n, Bluetooth, Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE), and ANT; WRG1830/ZFR183x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System USB Wi-Fi AP access point; and related accessories and software.

101. Those Accused Products include “[a]n intrusion detection method for a wireless local or metropolitan area network comprising a plurality of stations” comprising the limitations of claim 51. The technology discussion above and the example Accused Products provide context for Plaintiff’s allegations that each of those limitations are met. For example, the Accused Products include the steps of transmitting data between the plurality of stations using a media access layer (MAC), each of the stations having a respective MAC address associated therewith; monitoring transmissions among the plurality of stations to detect failed attempts to authenticate MAC addresses; and generating an intrusion alert based upon detecting a number of failed attempts to authenticate a MAC address.

102. At a minimum, Defendants have known of the ’678 patent at least as early as the filing date of this complaint. In addition, Defendants have known about infringement of an

L3Harris (“Harris”) patent portfolio that was acquired by Stingray, which includes the ’678 patent, since at least its receipt of a letter dated July 7, 2020, from Acacia Research Corp, working with Acacia Research Group LLC and on behalf of Stingray. The letter notifies Tyco Integrated Security that its products practice the technologies covered by Stingray’s Harris patent portfolio. Further, Tyco Integrated Security is now a Johnson Controls company. *See Tyco is now Johnson Controls*, TYCOIS.COM, <https://www.tycois.com/home> (“Tyco Integrated Security is now Johnson Controls, the world leader in fire protection, security, HVAC, building controls and energy storage”); *see also id.* (including a link to Terms of Use for Johnson Controls at <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/legal/terms>, said Terms of Use stating, “This website (the ‘Site’) is provided by Johnson Controls International plc and its affiliated companies (‘Johnson Controls’).”); *WNC1800/FX-ZFR182x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System Technical Bulletin*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, <https://docs.johnsoncontrols.com/bas/r/Facility-Explorer/en-US/WNC1800/FX-ZFR182x-Pro-Series-Wireless-Field-Bus-System-Technical-Bulletin> (last visited Oct. 4, 2022). Follow-up correspondence on behalf of Stingray, regarding Stingray’s Harris patent portfolio, was sent directly to Johnson Controls, including, for example, correspondence in February 2021. Johnson Controls did not respond. On March 16, 2022, a letter was sent on behalf of Stingray (a wholly owned subsidiary of Acacia Research Group LLC) to Johnson Controls again notifying Johnson Controls of and providing Johnson Controls with the opportunity to license Stingray’s “premier [Harris] patent portfolio in wireless networking.” Again, Johnson Controls did not respond.

103. On information and belief, since at least the above-mentioned date when Johnson Controls was on notice of its infringement, Defendants have each actively induced, under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), importers, online stores, distribution partners, retailers, reseller partners, dealers,

consumers, and other related service providers that import, distribute, purchase, offer for sale, sell, or use the Accused Products that include or are made using all of the limitations of one or more claims of the '678 patent to directly infringe one or more claims of the '678 patent by using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the Accused Products. Since at least the notice provided on the above-mentioned date, Defendants each do so with knowledge, or with willful blindness of the fact, that the induced acts constitute infringement of the '678 patent. On information and belief, Defendants each intend to cause, and have taken affirmative steps to induce, infringement by importers, online stores, distribution partners, retailers, reseller partners, dealers, consumers, and other related service providers by at least, *inter alia*, creating advertisements that promote the infringing use of the Accused Products, creating and/or maintaining established distribution channels for the Accused Products into and within the United States, manufacturing the Accused Products in conformity with U.S. laws and regulations, distributing or making available instructions or manuals for these products to purchasers and prospective buyers, testing wireless networking features in the Accused Products, and/or providing technical support, replacement parts, or services for these products to purchasers in the United States. *See, e.g., Services and Support*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/services-and-support> (last visited Jan. 2, 2023) (providing consumers with “HVAC Operations, Maintenance, and Repair Services” and “Security Maintenance and Support” and a link entitled “Product Documentation” where consumers may access instructions for using Johnson Control’s products); *see also Lux Products Corporation*, YOUTUBE.COM, <https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCOE9M13g5cBxst2bIF29C5g/videos> (providing consumers with Johnson Controls- and/or LUX- produced how-to videos related to Johnson Controls and/or LUX products) (last visited Sep. 30, 2022). Furthermore, Johnson Controls markets smartphone

and tablet interfaces and its application software as providing remote control for Johnson Controls products and working with Google Assistant, Amazon Alexa, Apple HomeKit, Apple Home App or Siri to control Johnson Controls Products with voice commands or connect with other connected products. *See Frequently Asked Questions*, LUX, <https://www.luxproducts.com/faqs/> (scroll down and access “Smart Home”) (last visited Sep. 30, 2022). Such compatibility provides convenience and added functionality that induces consumers to use Johnson Controls products, including the smartphone and tablet Wi-Fi interfaces utilizing WiFi protocols in networks with other third-party devices, and thus further infringe the ’678 patent.

104. On information and belief, despite having knowledge of the patent portfolio including the ’678 patent and knowledge that it is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the portfolio, Defendants have nevertheless continued their infringing conduct and disregarded an objectively high likelihood of infringement. Each of Defendants’ infringing activities relative to the ’678 patent have been, and continue to be, willful, wanton, malicious, in bad-faith, deliberate, consciously wrongful, flagrant, characteristic of a pirate, and an egregious case of misconduct beyond typical infringement such that Plaintiff is entitled under 35 U.S.C. § 284 to enhanced damages up to three times the amount found or assessed.

105. Plaintiff Stingray has been damaged as a result of Defendants’ infringing conduct described in this Count. Each Defendant is thus jointly and severally liable to Stingray in an amount that adequately compensates Stingray for Defendants’ infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT II

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,440,572)

106. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 105 herein by reference.

107. Plaintiff is the assignee of the '572 patent, entitled "Secure wireless LAN device and associated methods," with ownership of all substantial rights in the '572 patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue, and recover damages for past and future infringements.

108. The '572 patent is valid, enforceable, and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code. The '572 patent issued from U.S. Patent Application No. 09/760,619.

109. Defendants have and continue to directly and/or indirectly infringe (by inducing infringement) one or more claims of the '572 patent in this District and elsewhere in Texas and the United States.

110. On information and belief, Defendants design, develop, manufacture, import, distribute, offer to sell, sell, and use the Accused Products, including via the activities of Johnson Controls' parent, subsidiaries, members, segments, companies, brands and/or related entities, such as Defendants JC Inc. JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security.

111. Defendants each directly infringe the '572 patent via 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the Accused Products, their components, and/or products containing the same that incorporate the fundamental technologies covered by the '572 patent to, for example, its alter egos, agents, intermediaries, related entities, distributors, dealers, importers, customers, parent, subsidiaries, members, segments, companies, brands, resellers, dealers, OEMs, installers, and/or consumers. Furthermore, on information and belief, Defendants design the Accused Products for U.S. consumers, make and sell the Accused Products outside of the United States, deliver those products to related entities, subsidiaries, online stores, distribution partners, retailers, showrooms, resellers, dealers, customers and other related service providers in the United States, or in the case that it delivers the Accused Products outside of the United States

it does so intending and/or knowing that those products are destined for the United States and/or designing those products for sale and use in the United States, thereby directly infringing the '572 patent. *See, e.g., Lake Cherokee Hard Drive Techs., L.L.C. v. Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.*, 964 F. Supp. 2d 653, 658 (E.D. Tex. 2013) (denying summary judgment and allowing presentation to jury as to “whether accused products manufactured and delivered abroad but imported into the United States market by downstream customers ... constitute an infringing sale under § 271(a)”).

112. Furthermore, Defendants directly infringe the '572 patent through their direct involvement in the activities of 'parent, subsidiaries, and related entities, including Defendants JC Inc., JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, Tyco Security, and other U.S.-based subsidiaries, members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls, including at least by designing the Accused Products for U.S. consumers, selling and offering for sale the Accused Products directly to their related entities and importing the Accused Products into the United States for their related entities. On information and belief, U.S.-based subsidiaries, including at least Defendants JC Inc, JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security (based in Canada but operating in the U.S. via other entities), conduct activities that constitute direct infringement of the '572 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by importing, offering for sale, selling, and/or using those Accused Products in the U.S. on behalf of and for the benefit of Defendants. Moreover, Defendants Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security utilize and benefit from the activities of their agents and alter egos, i.e., Defendants JC Inc., and/or JC Security. These entities are also vicariously liable for the infringing conduct of Defendants JC Inc. and JC Security and other U.S.-based subsidiaries, members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls (under both the alter ego and agency theories). On information and belief, Defendants JC Inc, JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security and other U.S. based subsidiaries members,

segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls are essentially the same company, comprising members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls. Moreover, JC Inc. and JC Security, as “significant” subsidiaries of parent JCI PLC, along with other Johnson Controls related entities, have the right and ability to control the infringing activities of those subsidiary entities such that Defendants receive a direct financial benefit from that infringement.

113. For example, Defendants infringe claim 1 of the '572 patent via the Accused Products that utilize 802.11 (Wi-Fi) protocols, including, but not limited to LUX CS1, LUX GEO, LUX KONO, YORK Hx and YORK Hx3 thermostats; Johnson Controls, Tyco, American Dynamics, Illustra and/or DSC security cameras, including at least DSC SN-629F1, SN-750EF1, and 631PT1 and Illustra ADCI600FW012 security cameras; Johnson Controls and/or DSC and/or IOTEGA WS900x security system panels; Johnson Controls and/or DSC and/or PowerSeries ProHSM3WIFI WiFi adapter modules; Johnson Controls and/or DSC and/or Telguard ASG1000-1T5NAS interactive hubs; Tyco and/or Visonic PowerMaster-360R Modern Wireless Alarm and Home Automation Gateways; Johnson Controls and/or Qolsys IQ Hub, IQ Panel 4 and IQ Panel 2+ security panels; Johnson Controls and/or Qolsys IQ Remotes, IQ WiFi 6 and IQ WiFi security panels; Lux App; DSC WS9TCHWNA iotega touchscreen keypads; EasyIO FW-08, FW-08V and FW-28 controllers and/or actuators; JC-WRG1830-0 Wireless Router Gateway with USB Wi-Fi AP; WVS-1000 Johnson Controls Vibration Diagnostics Service; WRZ-7860-0 One to One Wireless Receiver and devices including the WRZ Radio Module 25-2934-4 Integrated Transceiver Module for WLAN 802.11 b/g/n, Bluetooth, Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE), and ANT; WRG1830/ZFR183x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System USB Wi-Fi AP access point; and related accessories and software.

114. Those Accused Products include “[a] secure wireless local area network (LAN) device” comprising the limitations of claim 1. The technology discussion above and the example Accused Products provide context for Plaintiff’s allegations that each of those limitations are met. For example, the Accused Products include a housing; a wireless transceiver carried by said housing; a medium access controller (MAC) carried by said housing; and a cryptography circuit carried by said housing and connected to said MAC and said wireless transceiver for encrypting both address and data information for transmission by at least adding a plurality of encrypting bits to both the address and the data information, and for decrypting both the address and the data information upon reception.

115. Defendants further infringe the ’572 patent via 35 U.S.C. § 271(g) by selling, offering to sell, and/or importing IoT and smart home devices, their components, and/or products containing same, that are made by a process covered by the ’572 patent. On information and belief, the infringing IoT and smart home devices, their components, and/or products containing same are not materially changed by subsequent processes, and they are neither trivial nor nonessential components of another product.

116. Defendants further infringe based on the importation, sale, offer for sale, or use of the Accused Products that are made from a process covered by the ’572 patent. To the extent that Plaintiff made reasonable efforts to determine whether the patented processes of the ’572 patent were used in the production of the Accused Products but was not able to so determine, the Accused Products should be presumed by this Court to have been so made, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 295.

117. At a minimum, Defendants have known of the ’572 patent at least as early as the filing date of this complaint. In addition, Defendants have known about infringement of an

L3Harris (“Harris”) patent portfolio that was acquired by Stingray, which includes the ’572 patent, since at least its receipt of a letter dated July 7, 2020, from Acacia Research Corp, working with Acacia Research Group and on behalf of Stingray. The letter notifies Tyco Integrated Security that its products practice the technologies covered by Stingray’s Harris patent portfolio. Further, Tyco Integrated Security is now a Johnson Controls company. *See Tyco is now Johnson Controls*, TYCOIS.COM, <https://www.tycois.com/home> (“Tyco Integrated Security is now Johnson Controls, the world leader in fire protection, security, HVAC, building controls and energy storage”); *see also id.* (including a link to Terms of Use for Johnson Controls at <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/legal/terms>, said Terms of Use stating, “This website (the ‘Site’) is provided by Johnson Controls International plc and its affiliated companies (‘Johnson Controls’).”); *WNC1800/FX-ZFR182x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System Technical Bulletin*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, <https://docs.johnsoncontrols.com/bas/r/Facility-Explorer/en-US/WNC1800/FX-ZFR182x-Pro-Series-Wireless-Field-Bus-System-Technical-Bulletin> (last visited Oct. 4, 2022). Follow-up correspondence on behalf of Stingray, regarding Stingray’s Harris patent portfolio, was sent directly to Johnson Controls, including, for example, correspondence in February 2021. Johnson Controls did not respond. On March 16, 2022, a letter was sent on behalf of Stingray (a wholly owned subsidiary of Acacia Research Group LLC) to Johnson Controls again notifying Johnson Controls of and providing Johnson Controls with the opportunity to license Stingray’s “premier [Harris] patent portfolio in wireless networking.” Again, Johnson Controls did not respond.

118. On information and belief, since at least the above-mentioned date when Johnson Controls was on notice of its infringement, Defendants have each actively induced, under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), importers, online stores, distribution partners, retailers, reseller partners, dealers,

consumers, and other related service providers that import, distribute, purchase, offer for sale, sell, or use the Accused Products that include or are made using all of the limitations of one or more claims of the '572 patent to directly infringe one or more claims of the '572 patent by using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the Accused Products. Since at least the notice provided on the above-mentioned date, Defendants each do so with knowledge, or with willful blindness of the fact, that the induced acts constitute infringement of the '572 patent. On information and belief, Defendants each intend to cause, and have taken affirmative steps to induce, infringement by importers, online stores, distribution partners, retailers, reseller partners, dealers, consumers, and other related service providers by at least, *inter alia*, creating advertisements that promote the infringing use of the Accused Products, creating and/or maintaining established distribution channels for the Accused Products into and within the United States, manufacturing the Accused Products in conformity with U.S. laws and regulations, distributing or making available instructions or manuals for these products to purchasers and prospective buyers, testing wireless networking features in the Accused Products, and/or providing technical support, replacement parts, or services for these products to purchasers in the United States. *See, e.g., Services and Support*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/services-and-support> (last visited Jan. 2, 2023) (providing consumers with “HVAC Operations, Maintenance, and Repair Services” and “Security Maintenance and Support” and a link entitled “Product Documentation” where consumers may access instructions for using Johnson Control’s products); *see also Lux Products Corporation*, YOUTUBE.COM, <https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCOE9M13g5cBxst2bIF29C5g/videos> (providing consumers with Johnson Controls- and/or LUX- produced how-to videos related to Johnson Controls and/or LUX products) (last visited Sep. 30, 2022). Furthermore, Johnson Controls markets smartphone

and tablet interfaces and its application software as providing remote control for Johnson Controls products and working with Google Assistant, Amazon Alexa, Apple HomeKit, Apple Home App or Siri to control Johnson Controls Products with voice commands or connect with other connected products. *See Frequently Asked Questions*, LUX, <https://www.luxproducts.com/faqs/> (scroll down and access “Smart Home”) (last visited Sep. 30, 2022). Such compatibility provides convenience and added functionality that induces consumers to use Johnson Controls products, including the smartphone and tablet Wi-Fi interfaces utilizing WiFi protocols in networks with other third-party devices, and thus further infringe the ’572 patent.

119. On information and belief, despite having knowledge of the patent portfolio including the ’572 patent and knowledge that it is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the portfolio, Defendants have nevertheless continued their infringing conduct and disregarded an objectively high likelihood of infringement. Each of Defendants’ infringing activities relative to the ’572 patent have been, and continue to be, willful, wanton, malicious, in bad-faith, deliberate, consciously wrongful, flagrant, characteristic of a pirate, and an egregious case of misconduct beyond typical infringement such that Plaintiff is entitled under 35 U.S.C. § 284 to enhanced damages up to three times the amount found or assessed.

120. Plaintiff Stingray has been damaged as a result of Defendants’ infringing conduct described in this Count. Each Defendant is thus jointly and severally liable to Stingray in an amount that adequately compensates Stingray for Defendants’ infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT III

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,616,961)

121. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 120 herein by reference.

122. Plaintiff is the assignee of the '961 patent, entitled "Allocating channels in a mobile ad hoc network," with ownership of all substantial rights in the '961 patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue, and recover damages for past and future infringements.

123. The '961 patent is valid, enforceable, and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code. The '961 patent issued from U.S. Patent Application No. 10/134,862.

124. Defendants have and continue to directly and/or indirectly infringe (by inducing infringement) one or more claims of the '961 patent in this District and elsewhere in Texas and the United States.

125. On information and belief, Defendants design, develop, manufacture, import, distribute, offer to sell, sell, and use the Accused Products, including via the activities of Johnson Controls' parent, subsidiaries, members, segments, companies, brands and/or related entities, such as Defendants JC Inc., JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security.

126. Defendants each directly infringe the '961 patent via 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the Accused Products, their components, and/or products containing the same that incorporate the fundamental technologies covered by the '961 patent to, for example, its alter egos, agents, intermediaries, related entities, distributors, dealers, importers, customers, parent, subsidiaries, members, segments, companies, brands, resellers, dealers, OEMs, installers, and/or consumers. Furthermore, on information and belief, Defendants design the Accused Products for U.S. consumers, make and sell the Accused Products outside of the United States, deliver those products to related entities, subsidiaries, online stores, distribution partners, retailers, showrooms, resellers, dealers, customers and other related service providers in the United States, or in the case that it delivers the Accused Products outside of the United States

it does so intending and/or knowing that those products are destined for the United States and/or designing those products for sale and use in the United States, thereby directly infringing the '961 patent. *See, e.g., Lake Cherokee Hard Drive Techs., L.L.C. v. Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.*, 964 F. Supp. 2d 653, 658 (E.D. Tex. 2013) (denying summary judgment and allowing presentation to jury as to “whether accused products manufactured and delivered abroad but imported into the United States market by downstream customers ... constitute an infringing sale under § 271(a)”).

127. Furthermore, Defendants directly infringe the '961 patent through their direct involvement in the activities of Johnson Controls' parent, subsidiaries, and related entities, including Defendants JC Inc., JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security, including at least by designing the Accused Products for U.S. consumers, selling and offering for sale the Accused Products directly to its related entities and importing the Accused Products into the United States for its related entities. On information and belief, U.S.-based subsidiaries, including at least JC Inc., JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security (based in Canada but operating in the U.S. via other entities), conduct activities that constitute direct infringement of the '961 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by importing, offering for sale, selling, and/or using those Accused Products in the U.S. on behalf of and for the benefit of Defendants. Moreover, Defendants Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security utilize and benefit from the activities of their agents and alter egos, i.e., Defendants JC Inc., and/or JC Security. These entities are also vicariously liable for the infringing conduct of Defendants JC Inc. and JC Security and other U.S.-based subsidiaries, members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls (under both the alter ego and agency theories). On information and belief, Defendants JC Inc., JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security and other U.S. based subsidiaries members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls are essentially the

same company, comprising members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls. Moreover, JC Inc. and JC Security, as “significant” subsidiaries of parent JCI PLC along with its related entities, have the right and ability to control the infringing activities of those subsidiary entities such that Defendants receive a direct financial benefit from that infringement.

128. For example, Defendants infringe claim 1 of the '961 patent via the Accused Products that utilize ZigBee protocols, including, but not limited to Johnson Controls and/or LUX KONOz thermostats; Johnson Controls and/or Tyco and/or Visonic ZigBee compatible ZigBee compatible GB-540, MCT-350, MCT-370, MP-840 and MP-841 intrusion detectors; Johnson Controls FX-ZFR Series Wireless Field Bus System and components thereof, including at least Zigbee-enabled sensors; FX-ZFR1811 Router; FX-ZFR1810 Coordinator; WNC1800/FX-ZFR182x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System and components thereof, including at least Zigbee-enabled sensors; WNC1800 Wireless Network Coordinator (WNC) Gateway; WRG1830/ZFR183x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System and components thereof, including at least Zigbee-enabled sensors; ZigBee modules and interfaces; and related accessories and software.

129. Those Accused Products include a “method for dynamic channel allocation in a mobile ad hoc network comprising a plurality of wireless mobile nodes and a plurality of wireless communication links connecting the plurality of wireless mobile nodes together over a plurality of separate channels at different frequencies” comprising the limitations of claim 1. The technology discussion above and the example Accused Products provide context for Plaintiff’s allegations that each of those limitations are met. For example, the Accused Products include the steps of at each node, monitoring link performance on a first channel, link performance being based upon at least one quality of service (QoS) threshold; at each node, scouting one or more other available separate channels at different frequencies when the monitored link performance on the first channel falls

below the QoS threshold by at least switching to a second separate channel at a different frequency, broadcasting a channel activity query to determine link performance for the second separate channel, and processing replies to the channel activity query to determine the link performance for the second separate channel; and at each node, updating respective channel activity for the first and second separate channels at different frequencies based upon the processed replies.

130. At a minimum, Defendants have known of the '961 patent at least as early as the filing date of this complaint. In addition, Defendants have known about infringement of an L3Harris ("Harris") patent portfolio that was acquired by Stingray, which includes the '961 patent, since at least its receipt of a letter dated July 7, 2020, from Acacia Research Corp, working with Acacia Research Group LLC and on behalf of Stingray. The letter notifies Tyco Integrated Security of its infringing use of Stingray's Harris patent portfolio including, for example, "mesh networking used in wireless control of home automation devices," in at least the "advanced ZigBee intrusion detectors, which are sold under Tyco's Visonic brand, as well as [Tyco Integrated Security's] WNC1800/ZFR182x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System using low power 802.15.4 mesh technology." The letter notifies Tyco Integrated Security that its products practice the technologies covered by the Stingray patent portfolio. Further, Tyco Integrated Security is now a Johnson Controls company. *See Tyco is now Johnson Controls*, TYCOIS.COM, <https://www.tycois.com/home> ("Tyco Integrated Security is now Johnson Controls, the world leader in fire protection, security, HVAC, building controls and energy storage"); *see also id.* (including a link to Terms of Use for Johnson Controls at <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/legal/terms>, said Terms of Use stating, "This website (the 'Site') is provided by Johnson Controls International plc and its affiliated companies ('Johnson Controls')."); *WNC1800/FX-ZFR182x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System Technical Bulletin*,

JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, <https://docs.johnsoncontrols.com/bas/r/Facility-Explorer/en-US/WNC1800/FX-ZFR182x-Pro-Series-Wireless-Field-Bus-System-Technical-Bulletin> (last visited Oct. 4, 2022) Follow-up correspondence on behalf of Stingray, regarding Stingray’s Harris patent portfolio, was sent directly to Johnson Controls, including, for example, correspondence in February 2021. Johnson Controls did not respond. On March 16, 2022, a letter was sent on behalf of Stingray (a wholly owned subsidiary of Acacia Research Group LLC), to Johnson Controls again notifying Johnson Controls of and providing Johnson Controls with the opportunity to license Stingray’s “premier [Harris] patent portfolio in wireless networking, including patents related to the Zigbee . . . standards crucial to the blossoming Internet of Things (IoT).” Johnson Controls again did not respond.

131. On information and belief, since at least the above-mentioned date when Johnson Controls was on notice of its infringement, Defendants have each actively induced, under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), importers, online stores, distribution partners, retailers, reseller partners, dealers, consumers, and other related service providers that import, distribute, purchase, offer for sale, sell, or use the Accused Products that include or are made using all of the limitations of one or more claims of the ’961 patent to directly infringe one or more claims of the ’961 patent by using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the Accused Products. Since at least the notice provided on the above-mentioned date, Defendants each do so with knowledge, or with willful blindness of the fact, that the induced acts constitute infringement of the ’961 patent. On information and belief, Defendants each intend to cause, and have taken affirmative steps to induce, infringement by importers, online stores, distribution partners, retailers, reseller partners, dealers, consumers, and other related service providers by at least, *inter alia*, creating advertisements that promote the infringing use of the Accused Products, creating and/or maintaining established distribution

channels for the Accused Products into and within the United States, manufacturing the Accused Products in conformity with U.S. laws and regulations, distributing or making available instructions or manuals for these products to purchasers and prospective buyers, testing wireless networking features in the Accused Products, and/or providing technical support, replacement parts, or services for these products to purchasers in the United States. *See, e.g., Services and Support*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/services-and-support> (last visited Jan. 2, 2023) (providing consumers with “HVAC Operations, Maintenance, and Repair Services” and “Security Maintenance and Support” and a link entitled “Product Documentation” where consumers may access instructions for using Johnson Control’s products); *see also KONOz Installation Manual*, LUX, <https://www.luxproducts.com/installation/#1524081905498-6d73ce08-ebce> (providing consumers with Johnson Controls- and/or LUX- produced instruction manual related to Johnson Controls and/or LUX products) (last visited Sep. 30, 2022). Furthermore, Johnson Controls markets its LUX Konoz thermostat as being compatible with a smart hub and smart hub app and working with Google Assistant and Amazon Alexa to control Johnson Controls Products with voice commands. *See LUX KONOz*, LUX, <https://www.luxproducts.com/konoz/> (last visited Sep. 30, 2022). Such compatibility provides convenience and added functionality that induces consumers to use Johnson Controls products, including the smartphone and tablet Wi-Fi interfaces utilizing ZigBee and/or WiFi protocols in networks with other third-party devices, and thus further infringe the ’961 patent.

132. On information and belief, despite having knowledge of the patent portfolio including the ’961 patent and knowledge that it is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the portfolio, Defendants have nevertheless continued their infringing conduct and disregarded an objectively high likelihood of infringement. Each of Defendants’ infringing activities relative to

the '961 patent have been, and continue to be, willful, wanton, malicious, in bad-faith, deliberate, consciously wrongful, flagrant, characteristic of a pirate, and an egregious case of misconduct beyond typical infringement such that Plaintiff is entitled under 35 U.S.C. § 284 to enhanced damages up to three times the amount found or assessed.

133. Plaintiff Stingray has been damaged as a result of Defendants' infringing conduct described in this Count. Each Defendant is thus jointly and severally liable to Stingray in an amount that adequately compensates Stingray for Defendants' infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT IV

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,441,126)

134. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 133 herein by reference.

135. Plaintiff is the assignee of the '126 patent, entitled "Secure wireless LAN device including tamper resistant feature and associated method," with ownership of all substantial rights in the '126 patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue, and recover damages for past and future infringements.

136. The '126 patent is valid, enforceable, and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code. The '126 patent issued from U.S. Patent Application No. 09/761,173 filed on January 16, 2001.

137. Defendants have and continue to directly and/or indirectly infringe (by inducing infringement) one or more claims of the '126 patent in this District and elsewhere in Texas and the United States.

138. On information and belief, Defendants design, develop, manufacture, import, distribute, offer to sell, sell, and use the Accused Products, including via the activities of Johnson

Controls' parent, subsidiaries, members, segments, companies, brands and/or related entities, such as Defendants JC Inc., JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security.

139. Defendants each directly infringe the '126 patent via 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the Accused Products, their components, and/or products containing the same that incorporate the fundamental technologies covered by the '126 patent to, for example, its alter egos, agents, intermediaries, related entities, distributors, dealers, importers, customers, parent, subsidiaries, members, segments, companies, brands, resellers, dealers, OEMs, installers, and/or consumers. Furthermore, on information and belief, Defendants design the Accused Products for U.S. consumers, make and sell the Accused Products outside of the United States, deliver those products to related entities, subsidiaries, online stores, distribution partners, retailers, showrooms, resellers, dealers, installers, customers and other related service providers in the United States, or in the case that it delivers the Accused Products outside of the United States it does so intending and/or knowing that those products are destined for the United States and/or designing those products for sale and use in the United States, thereby directly infringing the '126 patent. *See, e.g., Lake Cherokee Hard Drive Techs., L.L.C. v. Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.*, 964 F. Supp. 2d 653, 658 (E.D. Tex. 2013) (denying summary judgment and allowing presentation to jury as to “whether accused products manufactured and delivered abroad but imported into the United States market by downstream customers ... constitute an infringing sale under § 271(a)”).

140. Furthermore, Defendants directly infringe the '126 patent through their direct involvement in the activities of Johnson Controls' parent, subsidiaries, and related entities, including Defendants JC Inc. JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, Tyco Security, and other U.S.-based subsidiaries, members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls,

including at least by designing the Accused Products for U.S. consumers, selling and offering for sale the Accused Products directly to its related entities and importing the Accused Products into the United States for its related entities. On information and belief, U.S.-based subsidiaries, including at least JC Inc., JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security (based in Canada but operating in the U.S. via other entities), conduct activities that constitute direct infringement of the '126 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by importing, offering for sale, selling, and/or using those Accused Products in the U.S. on behalf of and for the benefit of Defendants. Moreover, Defendants Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security utilize and benefit from the activities of their agents and alter egos, i.e., Defendants JC Inc., and/or JC Security. These entities are also vicariously liable for the infringing conduct of Defendants JC Inc. and JC Security and other U.S.-based subsidiaries, members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls (under both the alter ego and agency theories). On information and belief, Defendants JC Inc., JC Security, Sensormatic, Visonic, Qolsys, and Tyco Security and other U.S. based subsidiaries members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls are essentially the same company, comprising members, segments, companies and/or brands of Johnson Controls. Moreover, JC Inc. and JC Security, as “significant” subsidiaries of parent JCI PLC, along with its other related entities, have the right and ability to control the infringing activities of those subsidiary entities such that Defendants receive a direct financial benefit from that infringement.

141. For example, Defendants infringe claim 1 of the '126 patent via the Accused Products that utilize 802.11 (Wi-Fi) protocols, including, but not limited to Defendants' infringing Accused Products that are enabled or compliant with Wi-Fi and that utilize a battery and a volatile memory for the storage of device data, including cryptographic data. On information and belief, such Accused Products include, but are not limited to, smart thermostats (for example, LUX GEO

Smart Thermostat), Wi-Fi cameras (for example, Johnson Controls, Tyco, American Dynamics, Illustra and/or DSC security cameras, including at least DSC SN-629F1, SN-750EF1, and 631PT1 and Illustra ADCI600FW012 security cameras), Wi-Fi panels, routers, gateways and/or keypads (for example, IQ Panel 2+, IQ Panel 4, IQ Hub, IQ Panel, iotega WS900x wireless panel, WRG1830-0 Wireless Router Gateway with USB Wi-Fi AP, PowerMaster-360R Modern Wireless Alarm and Home Automation Gateway, and DSC WS9TCHWNA iotega Touchscreen Keypad), products including transceiver modules and at least one battery (for example, 7860-0 receiver, products including 25-2934-4 Integrated Transceiver Modules for WLAN 802.11 b/g/n) and/or sensor data acquisition devices (for example, WVS-1000 Johnson Controls Vibration Diagnostics Service device).

142. Those Accused Products include “[a] secure wireless local area network (LAN) device” comprising the limitations of claim 1 of the ’126 patent. The technology discussion above and the example Accused Products provide context for Plaintiff’s allegations that each of those limitations are met. For example, the Accused Products include a housing; a wireless transceiver carried by said housing; a media access controller (MAC) carried by said housing; and a cryptography circuit carried by said housing and connected to said MAC and said wireless transceiver, said cryptography circuit comprising at least one volatile memory for storing cryptography information, and a battery for maintaining the cryptography information in said at least one volatile memory.

143. At a minimum, Defendants have known of the ’126 patent at least as early as the filing date of this complaint. In addition, Defendants have known about infringement of an L3Harris (“Harris”) patent portfolio that was acquired by Stingray, which includes the ’126 patent, since at least its receipt of a letter dated July 7, 2020, from Acacia Research Corp, working with

Acacia Research Group LLC and on behalf of Stingray. The letter notifies Tyco Integrated Security that its products practice the technologies covered by the Stingray's Harris patent portfolio. Further, Tyco Integrated Security is now a Johnson Controls company. *See Tyco is now Johnson Controls*, TYCOIS.COM, <https://www.tycois.com/home> ("Tyco Integrated Security is now Johnson Controls, the world leader in fire protection, security, HVAC, building controls and energy storage"); *see also id.* (including a link to Terms of Use for Johnson Controls at <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/legal/terms>, said Terms of Use stating, "This website (the 'Site') is provided by Johnson Controls International plc and its affiliated companies ('Johnson Controls')."); *WNC1800/FX-ZFR182x Pro Series Wireless Field Bus System Technical Bulletin*, JOHNSONCONTROLS.COM, <https://docs.johnsoncontrols.com/bas/r/Facility-Explorer/en-US/WNC1800/FX-ZFR182x-Pro-Series-Wireless-Field-Bus-System-Technical-Bulletin> (last visited Oct. 4, 2022). Follow-up correspondence on behalf of Stingray, regarding Stingray's Harris patent portfolio, was sent directly to Johnson Controls, including, for example, correspondence in February 2021. Johnson Controls did not respond. On March 16, 2022, a letter was sent on behalf of Stingray (a wholly owned subsidiary of Acacia Research Group LLC) to Johnson Controls again notifying Johnson Controls of and providing Johnson Controls with the opportunity to license Stingray's "premier [Harris] patent portfolio in wireless networking." Again, Johnson Controls did not respond.

144. On information and belief, since at least the above-mentioned date when Defendants were on notice of their infringement, Defendants have each actively induced, under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), importers, online stores, distribution partners, retailers, reseller partners, dealers, installers, OEMs, consumers, and other related service providers that import, distribute, purchase, offer for sale, sell, or use the Accused Products that include or are made using all of the limitations of one

or more claims of the '126 patent to directly infringe one or more claims of the '126 patent by using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the Accused Products. Since at least the date of notice provided above, Defendants each conduct infringing activities with knowledge, or with willful blindness of the fact, that the induced acts constitute infringement of the '126 patent. On information and belief, Defendants each intend to cause, and have taken affirmative steps to induce, infringement by importers, online stores, distribution partners, retailers, reseller partners, dealers, OEMS, installers, consumers, and other related service providers through at least, *inter alia*, the following activities: creating advertisements that promote the infringing use of the Accused Products; creating and/or maintaining established distribution channels for the Accused Products into and within the United States; manufacturing the Accused Products in conformity with U.S. laws and regulations; distributing or making available instructions or manuals for these products to purchasers and prospective buyers; testing and certifying (with for example the Wi-Fi Alliance and/or the FCC) wireless networking features in the Accused Products; and providing technical support, replacement parts, or services for these products to purchasers in the United States. *See, e.g., Services and Support, JOHNSON CONTROLS, <https://www.johnsoncontrols.com/services-and-support> (last visited Jan. 2, 2023) (providing consumers with “HVAC Operations, Maintenance, and Repair Services” and “Security Maintenance and Support” and a link entitled “Product Documentation” where consumers may access instructions for using Johnson Control’s products. Furthermore, Johnson Controls markets smartphone and tablet interfaces and its application software as providing remote control for Johnson Controls products and working with Google Assistant, Amazon Alexa, Apple HomeKit, Apple Home App or Siri to control Johnson Controls Products with voice commands or connect with other connected products. *See Frequently Asked Questions, LUX,**

<https://www.luxproducts.com/faqs/> (scroll down and access “Smart Home”) (last visited Sep. 30, 2022). Such compatibility provides convenience and added functionality that induces consumers to use Johnson Controls products, including the smartphone and tablet Wi-Fi interfaces utilizing WiFi protocols in networks with other third-party devices. Thus, these activities further infringe or induce infringement of the ’126 patent.

145. On information and belief, despite having knowledge of the patent portfolio including the ’126 patent and knowledge that it is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the portfolio, Defendants have nevertheless continued their infringing conduct and disregarded an objectively high likelihood of infringement. Each of Defendants’ infringing activities relative to the ’126 patent have been, and continue to be, willful, wanton, malicious, in bad-faith, deliberate, consciously wrongful, flagrant, characteristic of a pirate, and an egregious case of misconduct beyond typical infringement such that Plaintiff is entitled under 35 U.S.C. § 284 to enhanced damages up to three times the amount found or assessed.

146. Plaintiff Stingray has been damaged as a result of Defendants’ infringing conduct described in this Count. Each Defendant is thus jointly and severally liable to Stingray in an amount that adequately compensates Stingray for their infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

CONCLUSION

147. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of Defendants’ wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court.

148. Plaintiff has incurred and will incur attorneys’ fees, costs, and expenses in the prosecution of this action. The circumstances of this dispute may give rise to an exceptional case

within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, and Plaintiff is entitled to recover its reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses.

JURY DEMAND

149. Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

150. Plaintiff requests that the Court find in its favor and against Defendants, and that the Court grant Plaintiff the following relief:

1. A judgment that Defendants have infringed the Asserted Patents as alleged herein, directly and/or indirectly by way of inducing infringement of such patents;
2. A judgment for an accounting of damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of the acts of infringement by Defendants;
3. A judgment and order requiring Defendants to pay Plaintiff damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, including up to treble damages as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 284, and any royalties determined to be appropriate;
4. A judgment and order requiring Defendants to pay Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages awarded;
5. A judgment and order finding this to be an exceptional case and requiring Defendants to pay the costs of this action (including all disbursements) and attorneys' fees as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Dated: April 19, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jeffrey R. Bragalone

Jeffrey R. Bragalone (lead attorney)

Texas Bar No. 02855775

E-mail: jbragalone@bosfirm.com

Terry A. Saad

Texas Bar No. 24066015

E-mail: tsaad@bosfirm.com

Marcus Benavides

Texas Bar No. 24035574

E-mail: mbenavides@bosfirm.com

Brandon Zuniga

Texas Bar no. 24088720

E-mail: bzuniga@bosfirm.com

BRAGALONE OLEJKO SAAD PC

901 Main Street

Suite 3800

Dallas, Texas 75202

Telephone: (214) 785-6670

Facsimile: (214) 785-6680

Wesley Hill

Texas Bar No. 24032294

E-mail: wh@wsfirm.com

WARD, SMITH, & HILL, PLLC

1507 Bill Owens Parkway

Longview, Texas 75604

Telephone: (903) 757-6400

Facsimile: (903) 757-2323

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

STINGRAY IP SOLUTIONS LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). Therefore, this document was served on all counsel who are deemed to have consented to electronic service on April 19, 2023.

/s/ Terry A. Saad

TERRY A. SAAD