IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

MODULUS SYSTEMS LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 6:23-cv-335

•

ZEBRA TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION,

Defendant.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff states for its Complaint against Defendant as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Modulus Systems LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Delaware.
- 3. On information and belief, Defendant Zebra Technologies Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware with a regular and established place of business in this judicial district, including 507 E Howard Lane, Bldg 1, Austin, TX 78753.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over all causes of action set forth herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, including 35 U.S.C. § 271 *et seq*.
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant. For example, Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in and/or directed toward the State of Texas. On information and belief, Defendant has derived substantial revenues from its infringing acts occurring within the State of Texas.
- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant under the provisions of the Texas Long Arm Statute and consistent with Constitutional due process by virtue of the fact that, upon information and belief, Defendant has availed itself of the privilege of conducting and soliciting business within this State, including engaging in at least some of the infringing activities in this State, such that it would be reasonable for this Court to exercise jurisdiction consistent with principles underlying the U.S. Constitution and without offending traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant, on information and belief, has a regular and established place of business and has committed acts of patent infringement in this judicial district.

Plaintiff's U.S. Patent No. 8,410,990

8. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 8,410,990, including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the '990 patent. Accordingly, Plaintiff

possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the '990 patent by Defendant.

9. The '990 patent, which issued on April 2, 2013, is entitled, "Antenna with Integrated RF Module." The '990 patent issued from U.S. Application No. 12/621,723, which was filed on November 19, 2009. The '723 application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Application No. 11/958,102, filed Dec. 17, 2007. The '990 patent also claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/116,600, filed on Nov. 20, 2008. A true and correct copy of the '990 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

Plaintiff's U.S. Patent No. 8,866,696

- 10. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 8,866,696, including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the '696 patent. Accordingly, Plaintiff possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the '696 patent by Defendant.
- 11. The '696 patent, which issued on Oct. 21, 2014, is entitled, "Antenna with Integrated RF Module." The '696 patent issued from U.S. Application No. 11/958,102, which was filed on December 17, 2007. A true and correct copy of the '696 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by reference.

COUNT ONE: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '990 PATENT

- 12. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the preceding allegations of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
 - 13. **Direct Infringement**. Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one

or more claims of the '990 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the chart incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claim of the '990 patent (the "Exemplary '990 Patent Claim") identified in the chart incorporated into this Count as Exhibit C literally or by the doctrine of equivalents.

- 14. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement**. Plaintiff provided Defendant with notice of its infringement of the '990 patent by letter dated April 14, 2023, which was received by Defendant prior to the filing of this lawsuit.
- 15. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States products that infringe the '990 patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '990 patent. *See* Exhibit C.
- 16. **Induced Infringement**. At least since being provided with notice of its infringement of the '990 patent, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '990 patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to its customers for use in end-user products and distributing product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use their products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '990 patent.
- 17. Exhibit C includes a chart comparing the Exemplary '990 Patent Claim to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in this chart, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '990 patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant

Products incorporated in this chart satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '990 Patent Claim.

- 18. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference the claim chart of Exhibit C into its allegations herein.
- 19. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

COUNT TWO: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '696 PATENT

- 20. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.
- 21. **Direct Infringement**. Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '696 patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the chart incorporated into this Count (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claim of the '696 patent (the "Exemplary '696 Patent Claim") identified in the chart incorporated into this Count as Exhibit D literally or by the doctrine of equivalents.
- 22. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement**. Plaintiff provided Defendant with notice of its infringement of the '696 patent by letter dated April 14, 2023, which was received by Defendant prior to the filing of this lawsuit.
- 23. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States products that infringe the '696 patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '696 patent. *See* Exhibit D.
 - 24. **Induced Infringement**. At least since being provided with notice of its

infringement of the '696 patent, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '696 patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to its customers for use in end-user products and distributing product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use their products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '696 patent.

- 25. Exhibit D includes a chart comparing the Exemplary '696 Patent Claim to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in this chart, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '696 patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in this chart satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '696 Patent Claim.
- 26. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference the claim chart of Exhibit D into its allegations herein.
- 27. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the following relief:

- a. Declaring that Defendant has infringed the '990 patent and the '696 patent;
- b. That Defendant be ordered to pay damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for its infringement of the '990 patent and the '696 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- c. That Defendant be ordered to pay prejudgment interest pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- d. That Defendant be ordered to pay all costs associated with this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

- e. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- f. That Plaintiff be granted such other and additional relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable. THIS 9th day of May, 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Cortney S. Alexander

Cortney S. Alexander Telephone: 404-855-3867

Email: cortneyalexander@kentrisley.com

KENT & RISLEY LLC 5755 North Point Parkway Suite 57 Alpharetta, Georgia 30022

Attorneys for Plaintiff