Case 2:06-cv-0057 PDL Document LEFT led 02/07/06 Page 1 of 13

I (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
BACHMANN INDUSTRIES, INC. 1400 East Erie Street Philadelphia, PA 19124				ATI MODEL PRODUCTS, INC. d/b/a MODEL POWER 180 Smith Street Farmingdale, NY 11735				
(b) COUNTY RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Philadelphia County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED ATTORNEYS (JF KNOWN)				
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) Kevin R. Casey, Esquire Gina M. Stowe, Esquire Stradley, Ronon, Stevens & Young, LLP Great Valley Corporate Center 30 Valley Stream Parkway Malvern, PA 19355 (610) 640-5800						VOMAL DARTIE	· C	
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (PLACE AN X I	N ONE BOX ONLY)		TIZENSHIP (Diversity Cases Only)	OF PRII	NCIPAL PARTIE	INTIFF AND O	CE AN x IN ONE BOX ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)
□ 1 U.S. Government	n		PTF	DEF			PTF DEF	
Plaintiff 2 U.S. Government	nt Not a Party)	_	This State 1	□ 1 □ 2 □ 2	Incorporated or Princ of Business in This S Incorporated and of Business in Anothe	tate I Principal I		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizer Parties in Item			Subject of a 13	□ 3	Foreign Nation		□6□6
IV. CAUSE OF ACT	CION (CITE THE IIS CIVIL	STATISTE UNDER WI	Foreign		E A BRIEF ST	ATEMENT OF CAUSE.		
DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL ST.	ATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY)	Willful patent	infringe	ment under 35	U.S.C.	§§ 271, 281, 283, 2	:84 and 2	285.
V. NATURE OF SU								
CONTRACT		RTS		ORFEITURE/PE	NALTY	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal	O1	HER STATUTES State
110 Insurance 120 Marine 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL IN Beginstein State	rry — Getice Gry — Getice Gry — Getice Gry — Getice Gry — Geticate Gry	620 Other Food & 1 625 Drug Related S Property 21 U 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs	Brug Setzure of USC 881 P	28 USC 158 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark OCIAL SECURITY	410	Reappointment Auditrust Banks and Banking Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. Deportation Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Selective Service Securities/Commodities/
(Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefit 160 Stockholders' Sults 190 Other Contract	□ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury	□ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Len □ 380 Other Person Property Dai □ 385 Property Dai Product Light	ding I	ABOR 710 Fair Labor Sta Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations		862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) REDERAL TAX SUITS	B91	Securities Commonities Exchange Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 Agricultural Acts Economic Stabilization Act
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lase & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting	PRISONER PET 510 Motions to V Sentence Habeas Corp General 535 Death Pens 535 Death Pens 540 Other	acate Cous: Coust	Reporting & Disclosure Act Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc Security Act		or Defendant)	893 894 895 900 950 890	Environmental Matters Energy Allocation Act Freedom of Information Act Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice Constitutionality of State Statutes Other Statutory Actions Appeal to District
VI. ORIGIN		(PLACE AN x I	N ONE BO		Transferred fr	om		☐ 7 Judge from
☐ 1 Original ☐ 2 Removed from ☐ 3 Remanded from ☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 another district ☐ 6 Multidistret Magistrate [
Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Appellate Court Appellate Court Check YES only if demanded in complaint: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND								
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER								
IF ANY								
ATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD								
TED STATES DISTRICT COURT								

Case 2:06-cv-00571-PD Document 1 Filed 02/07/06 Page 2 of 13 INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

L.(a) Plaintiffs - Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

(b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved).

(c) Attorneys. Enter firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney or record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United states, its officers or agencies, place an X in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS-44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

IV. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause.

V. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section IV above, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.

VI. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate's decision.

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS-44 is used to reference relating pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

GPO: 1989 - 237-312

7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BACHMANN INDUSTRIES, INC.,	:
Plaintiff, v.	: : CIVIL ACTION NO.
ATI MODEL PRODUCTS, INC d/b/a MODEL POWER,	: : TRIAL BY JURY IS REQUESTED
Defendant	•
DISCLOSURE STAT	TEMENT FORM
Please check one box:	
☐ The nongovernmental corporate party,does not have any parent corporation and any publi of its stock.	, in the above listed civil action cly held corporation that owns 10% or more
The nongovernmental corporate party, <u>Back</u> action has the following parent corporation(s) and parent of its stock: Kader Ind. Co. Ltd. of Hong Kong	
2/7/06 Signat	R Cozey KPC2697
Couns	sel for: Bachmann Industries, Inc.
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1 Disclosure S	Statement
motion, response, or other re	rict court must file two copies of a statement cly held corporation that owns 10% or more on.

information that the statement requires.

Case 2:06-cv-00571-PD Document 1 Filed 02/07/06 Page 4 of 13

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BACHMANN INDUSTRIES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO.

ATI MODEL PRODUCTS, INC. d/b/a MODEL POWER,

TRIAL BY JURY IS REQUESTED

Defendant

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SE

SELECT ONE C	F THE	FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:			
	(a)	Habeas Corpus — Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 through §2255.	()		
	(b)	Social Security — Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	()		
	(c)	Arbitration — Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()		
	(d)	Asbestos — Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	()		
	(e)	Special Management — Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	(X)		
	(f)	Standard Management — Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	()		
2/7/06		Se-R Comey KR	C2697		
DATE	Kevin R. Casey, Attorney-at-law				

Bachmann Industries, Inc. **Attorney for Plaintiffs**

Civ. 660) 7/95

Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan in intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

Case 2:06-cv-00571-PD Document 1 Filed 02/07/06 Page 6 of 13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA – DESIGNATION FORM to be to category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: Bachmann Industries Inc. 1400 East Erie A	·						
Address of Plaintiff: Bachmann Industries, Inc., 1400 East Erie Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19124 Address of Defendant: ATI Model Products, Inc. d/b/a Model Power, 180 Smith Street, Farmingdale, NY 11735							
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: <u>Defendant presently sells the infringing product throughout the United States and the harm to Plaintiff is felt at its business residence in Philadelphia, PA.</u> (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)							
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?							
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No 🗹						
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE IF ANY Ludge Data Termina	Yes □ No ☑						
Case Number: Judge Date Termina Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	aicu						
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes \(\sigma\) No \(\sigma\)							
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	Yes □ No ☑						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	Yes □ No ☑						
2. ☐ FELA 2. ☐ Airplane Per 3. ☐ Jones Act – Personal Injury 3. ☐ Assault, Def 4. ☐ Antitrust 5. ☑ Patent 6. ☐ Labor – Management Relations 7. ☐ Civil Rights 7. ☐ Products Lia 8. ☐ Habeas Corpus 8. ☐ Products Lia	ontract and Other Contracts rsonal Injury famation onal Injury cle Personal Injury nal Injury (Please specify) ability						
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate category)							
I, Kevin R. Casey, counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my know recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of int Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	-						
Date: February 7, 2006 Attorney-at-Law Attorney Attorney Attorney Attorney Attorney Attorney	•						
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated							
Date: February 7, 2006 Attorney-at-Law Attorney	58083 y I.D. #						

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BACHMANN INDUSTRIES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO.

ATI MODEL PRODUCTS, INC.

d/b/a MODEL POWER,

v.

TRIAL BY JURY IS REQUESTED

Defendant.

COMPLAINT FOR WILLFUL PATENT INFRINGEMENT

The Parties

- 1. Plaintiff, Bachmann Industries, Inc. ("Bachmann"), is a Pennsylvania corporation having a principal place of business at 1400 East Erie Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19124.
- 2. Defendant, ATI Model Products, Inc. d/b/a Model Power ("Model Power"), is a New York corporation having a place of business at 180 Smith Street, Farmingdale, New York 11735.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 3. Bachmann's claim for willful patent infringement arises under the Patent Act of the United States of America and, more particularly, under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285.
- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over those claims under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (federal question) and 1338(a) (patents).

- 5. This action is between citizens of different States and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 6. Accordingly, this Court also has jurisdiction under the diversity statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1).
 - 7. Venue is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).
- 8. On information and belief, Model Power conducts substantial sales and business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Claim for Willful Patent Infringement

- 9. On September 4, 1990, United States Letters Patent No. 4,953,785 ("the '785 patent") was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office to Hasbro, Inc. for an invention titled "Track Assembly for Toy Vehicle." A copy of the '785 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A.
- 10. On April 2, 1996, United States Letters Patent No. 5,503,330 ("the '330 patent") was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office to Bachmann for an invention titled "Track Bed Simulating Assembly for Snap Together Model Railroad Track." A copy of the '330 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit B.
- 11. Bachmann is the assignee and owner of the entire right, title, and interest in both the '785 patent and the '330 patent, with the full right to enforce both of those two patents.
- 12. The track assembly disclosed and claimed in the '785 patent includes a first track section having an elongated fastening tongue element on one end and a second track section having a receiving slot on one end. The fastening tongue element is receivable in the receiving slot to connect the track sections together in a substantially aligned, end-to-end relation and it

includes an elongated resilient finger which is engageable with a detent in the receiving slot to releasably retain the fastening tongue element in the receiving slot.

- 13. The track assembly disclosed and claimed in the '330 patent is formed from preassembled track sections, each including a pair of spaced-apart parallel metal rails molded in place in a one-piece ladder-like body, and a track accessory in the form of a one-piece molded plastic body having no undercuts to permit fabrication of the body by simple two-piece open and close molds. Male latching members and female engagement structures are integrally molded at each end of each track body, side-by-side for releasable latching engagement with like structures on the ends of like bodies. Each molded body including its latching members and engagement structures is adapted by the provision of suitable openings, spaces, and recesses to permit top-to-bottom nesting engagement of identical track assemblies with one another for protection and reduced storage size.
- 14. Bachmann sells a commercial embodiment of the track assembly disclosed and claimed in the '785 and '330 patents under the designation E-Z Track[®].
- 15. The E-Z Track® product as has been and continues to be of great value to Bachmann.
- 16. Bachmann has long been manufacturing and selling, and continues to manufacture and sell, its E-Z Track® with great commercial success.
- 17. Bachmann has placed and continues to place the statutory notice required by 35 U.S.C. § 287 on all E-Z Track® products that it has manufactured and sold under the '785 and '330 patents.

- 18. Model Power has long been aware of the '785 and '330 patents. In 1997, Bachmann brought an action against Model Power in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Civil Action No. 97-CV-3190, in which Bachmann asserted that Model Power's Lock-it Roadbed Track System infringed claims of the '785 patent (the "1997 Action").
- 19. Bachmann and Model Power settled the 1997 Action, with Model Power agreeing to a license.
- 20. The Bachman/Model Power license agreement expired in 2003 thereby terminating Model Power's right to manufacture and market products covered by the '785 patent.
- 21. Since the expiration of the Bachman/Model Power license in 2003, Model Power has manufactured and marketed, and continues to manufacture and market, track assembly ("the Model Power Track"), photographs of which are attached to this Complaint as Exhibit C.
 - 22. The Model Power Track infringes one or more claims of the '785 patent.
 - 23. The Model Power Track infringes one or more claims of the '330 patent.
- 24. On March 16, 2005, Matthew Tager, President of Model Power, sent a letter to Bud Reece, Sr. Vice President Sales & Marketing of Bachmann, asserting, among other things, that the Model Power Track does not infringe any claim of the '785 patent.
- 25. Kevin R. Casey, patent counsel for Bachmann, sent Mr. Matthew Tager a responsive letter on April 18, 2005, stating, among other things, that the Model Power Track did, in fact, infringe at least one claim of the '785 patent.
- 26. The April 18, 2005 letter also requested a detailed explanation for Model Power's statement that the Model Power Track does not infringe any claims of the '785 patent.
 - 27. The April 18, 2005 letter also expressly referred to the '330 patent.

- 28. To date, Model Power has not provided any explanation supporting its statement that the Model Power Track does not infringe claims of the '785 patent, nor has Model Power addressed the infringement of the '330 patent at all.
- 29. To date, Model Power has neither assured Bachmann that it will cease its infringement nor, on information and belief, that Model Power has ceased such infringement.
- 30. Model Power has been and still is infringing the claims of the '785 patent and Bachmann's rights under that patent by making, using, offering for sale, importing, and/or selling a product that embodies the patented invention.
- 31. Model Power has been and still is infringing the claims of the '330 patent and Bachmann's rights under that patent by making, using, offering for sale, importing, and/or selling a product that embodies the patented invention.
- 32. Upon information and belief, Model Power will continue to infringe the claims of the '785 patent unless enjoined by this Court.
- 33. Upon information and belief, Model Power will continue to infringe the claims of the '330 patent unless enjoined by this Court.
- 34. Upon information and belief, Model Power's infringement of the '785 and '330 patents has been deliberate and willful at all times relevant to the present action.
- 35. Upon information and belief, the infringing acts of Model Power have been committed within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 36. As a consequence of Model Power's infringing acts, Bachmann has been deprived of rights and profits which otherwise would have accrued to Bachmann but for such infringement and, thereby, Model Power has caused Bachmann irreparable damage and threatens to cause Bachmann irreparable damage in the future.

WHEREFORE, Bachmann requests an Order of this Court that:

- (a) The '785 patent is valid and enforceable;
- (b) The '330 patent is valid and enforceable;
- (c) Model Power has infringed at least one claim of the '785 patent;
- (d) Model Power has infringed at least one claim of the '330 patent;
- (e) Model Power, its officers, directors, employees, agents, and all those under their direction and control, are preliminarily and permanently enjoined from making, using, offering for sale, importing, and selling track which embodies the invention claimed in the '785 patent;
- (f) Model Power, its officers, directors, employees, agents, and all those under their direction and control, are preliminarily and permanently enjoined from making, using, offering for sale, importing, and selling track which embodies the invention claimed in the '330 patent;
- (g) Assesses against Model Power damages, including Bachmann's lost profits, caused by Model Power's infringement of the '785 patent;
- (h) Assesses against Model Power damages, including Bachmann's lost profits, caused by Model Power's infringement of the '330 patent;
- (i) Model Power's infringement is and has been deliberate, willful, and wanton, with knowledge of Bachmann's patent rights and, accordingly, awards Bachmann increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 up to three times the amount assessed;
 - (j) Assesses interest and costs against Model Power;
- (k) This is an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and, accordingly, awards Bachmann its reasonable attorney's fees; and

- 7 -

(1) Grants such other relief to Bachmann as this Court deems just, fair and reasonable.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 7, 2006

Kevin R. Casey Gina M. Stowe

Stradley Ronon Stevens & Young, LLP

30 Valley Stream Parkway

Malvern, PA 19355

(610) 640-5800

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Bachman Industries, Inc.