| 1 | Susan S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No. 16740) | | |
|----|--|------------------------------|--|
| 2 | RAMEY LLP 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 | | |
| 3 | Houston, Texas 77006 Telephone: (800) 993-7499 | | |
| 4 | Fax: (832) 900-4941 | | |
| 5 | Northern California Office: 303 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 600 Redwood City, CA, US 94065 Telephone: (800) 993-7499 Fax: (832) 900-4941 | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | Attorneys for Plaintiff | | |
| 9 | VDPP, LLC, | | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 11 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | VDPP, LLC, | Case No.: 3:24-cv-01118 | |
| 14 | Plaintiff, v. | PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL | |
| 15 | | COMPLAINT FOR PATENT | |
| 16 | OPTOMA TECHNOLOGY, INC., Defendant. | INFRINGEMENT | |
| 17 | | (35 U.S.C. § 271) | |
| 18 | | JURY TRIAL DEMANDED | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLA | AINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | VDPP LLC ("Plaintiff" or "VDPP") files this Original Complaint and demand for | | |
| 23 | jury trial seeking relief from patent infringement of the claims of 10,021,380 ("the | | |
| 24 | '380 patent"), 10,951,881("the '881 patent"), 9,699,444 ("the '444 patent") (referred | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | to as the "Patents-in-Suit") by Optoma Technology, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Optoma"). | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

I. THE PARTIES

4 1. Plaintiff VDPP is a company organized under the laws of Oregon with a
5 principal place of business located in Corvallis, Oregon.

6

1

2

3

2. On information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized and existing 7 under the laws of the State of California, with a regular and established place of 8 9 business located at 47697 Westinghouse Drive, Fremont, CA, 94539. On information 10 and belief, Defendant sells and offers to sell products and services throughout 11 California, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and services that 12 13 perform infringing methods or processes into the stream of commerce knowing that 14 they would be sold in California and this judicial district. Defendant can be served 15 with process through their registered agent, Julie Wolf, 47697 Westinghouse Drive, 16 17 Fremont, CA, 94539, at its place of business, or anywhere else it may be found.

18

19

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has original subject-matter jurisdiction over the entire action
 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because Plaintiff's claim arises under an
 Act of Congress relating to patents, namely, 35 U.S.C. § 271.

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: (i) Defendant is
present within or has minimum contacts within the State of California and this judicial
district; (ii) Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting
business in the State of California and in this judicial district; and (iii) Plaintiff's cause

of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the
 State of California and in this judicial district.

- 5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b). 4 5 Defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place 6 of business in this District. Further, venue is proper because Defendant conducts 7 substantial business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at 8 9 least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or 10 soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct and/or deriving 11 substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in California and 12 13 this District.
- 14

15

III. Infringement of the '380 Patent

6. On July 10, 2018, U.S. Patent No. 10,021,380 ("the '380 patent", included as
Exhibit A and part of this complaint) entitled "Faster State Transitioning for
Continuous Adjustable 3Deeps Filter Spectacles Using Multi-Layered Variable Tint
Materials" was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
Plaintiff owns the '380 patent by assignment.

22

23

7. The '380 patent relates to methods and systems for modifying an image.

8. Defendant maintains, operates, and administers systems, products, and services
in the field of automotive manufacture that infringes one or more of claims of the '380
patent, including one or more of claims 1-30, literally or under the doctrine of
equivalents. Defendant put the inventions claimed by the '380 Patent into service (i.e.,

used them); but for Defendant's actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments
involving Defendant's products and services would never have been put into service.
Defendant's acts complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments
as a whole to perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial
benefit from it.

- 8
 9. Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the preliminary
 9
 exemplary table attached as Exhibit B. These allegations of infringement are
 10
 preliminary and are therefore subject to change.
- 10.Defendant has and continues to induce infringement. Defendant has actively 12 13 encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related 14 companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., 15 systems and methods related to modifying an image) such as to cause infringement of 16 17 one or more of claims 1-30 of the '380 patent, literally or under the doctrine of 18 equivalents. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '380 patent and the technology 19 underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.¹ For clarity, direct 20 21 infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.
- 11.Defendant has and continues to contributorily infringe. Defendant has actively
 encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related
 companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g.,
- 27
- 28 Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

systems and methods related to modifying an image) such as to cause infringement of
one or more of claims 1-30 of the '380 patent, literally or under the doctrine of
equivalents. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '380 patent and the technology
underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.² For clarity, direct
infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

8 12.Defendant has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff damage by direct and
 9 indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the '380
 10 patent.

11 12

IV. Infringement of the '444 Patent

13 13.On July 4, 2017, U.S. Patent No. 9,699,444 ("the '444 patent", included as
14 Exhibit C and part of this complaint) entitled "Faster state transitioning for continuous
adjustable 3deeps filter spectacles using multi-layered variable tint materials" was
duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Plaintiff owns the
'444 patent by assignment.

14.The '444 patent relates to the field of motion pictures and to a system called
3Deeps that allows almost any motion picture filmed in 2D (single image) to be
viewed with the visual effect of 3-dimensions when viewed through 3Deeps Filter
Spectacles.

- 25
- 26
- 27

²⁸ Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

1 15. Defendant maintains, operates, and administers systems, products, and 2 services in the field of motion pictures that infringes one or more of claims 1-27 of 3 the '444 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant put the 4 5 inventions claimed by the '444 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for 6 Defendant's actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendant's 7 products and services would never have been put into service. Defendant's acts 8 9 complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to 10 perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial benefit from it. 11 16.Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the chart attached 12 13 as Exhibit D. These allegations of infringement are preliminary and are therefore 14 subject to change. 15

16 17. Defendant has and continues to induce infringement. Defendant has actively 17 encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related 18 companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., in 19 20 the field of motion pictures) such as to cause infringement of the claims 1-27 of the 21 '444 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Defendant has 22 known of the '444 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date 23 of the lawsuit.³ For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint. 24 25 26 ³ Plaintiff reserves the right to amend and add inducement pre-suit if discovery

- 27 reveals an earlier date of knowledge.
- 28

1 18.Defendant has and continues to contributorily infringe. Defendant has actively 2 encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related 3 companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., in 4 5 the field of motion pictures) and related services such as to cause infringement of 6 claims 1-27 of the '444 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Further, 7 there are no substantial noninfringing uses for Defendant's products and services. 8 9 Moreover, Defendant has known of the '444 patent and the technology underlying it 10 from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.⁴ For clarity, direct infringement is 11 previously alleged in this complaint. 12 13 19.Defendant has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff damage by direct and 14 indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the '444 15 patent. 16 17 18 V. **Infringement of the '881 Patent** 19 20 20.0n March 16, 2021, U.S. Patent No. 10,951,881 ("the '881 patent", included 21 as Exhibit E and part of this complaint) entitled "Faster State Transitioning for 22 23 Continuous Adjustable 3Deeps Filter Spectacles Using Multi-Layered Variable Tint 24 25 26 ⁴ Plaintiff reserves the right to amend and add inducement pre-suit if discovery 27 reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

Materials" was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
Plaintiff owns the '881 patent by assignment.

- 4 21.The '881 patent relates to an electrically controlled spectacle frame and
 5 optoelectronmic lenses housed in the frame.
- 6
- 22. Defendant maintains, operates, and administers systems, products, and services 7 in the field of automotive manufacture that infringes one or more of claims of the 8 9 '881 patent, including one or more of claims 1-2, literally or under the doctrine of 10 equivalents. Defendant put the inventions claimed by the '881 Patent into service (i.e., 11 used them); but for Defendant's actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments 12 13 involving Defendant's products and services would never have been put into service. 14 Defendant's acts complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments 15 as a whole to perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial 16 17 benefit from it.
- 18

22

23.Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the preliminary
 exemplary table attached as Exhibit F. These allegations of infringement are
 preliminary and are therefore subject to change.

24. Defendant has and continues to induce infringement. Defendant has actively
 encouraged or instructed others ((e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related
 companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., a
 system related to an electrically controlled spectacle frame and optoelectronmic
 lenses housed in the frame) such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-

1 2 of the '881 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover,
2 Defendant has known of the '881 patent and the technology underlying it from at least
4 the filing date of the lawsuit.⁵ For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in
5 this complaint.

6

25.Defendant has and continues to contributorily infringe. Defendant has actively 7 encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related 8 9 companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., a 10 system related to an electrically controlled spectacle frame and optoelectronmic 11 lenses housed in the frame) such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-12 13 2 of the '881 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, 14 Defendant has known of the '881 patent and the technology underlying it from at least 15 the filing date of the lawsuit.⁶ For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in 16 17 this complaint.

18

26.Defendant has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff damage by direct and
 indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the '881
 patent.

- 23
- 24
- 25

 $\frac{26}{27} \int_{k=0}^{5} \frac{1}{27} = \frac{1}{27} \int_{k=0}^{5} \frac{1}{27} \int_{k=0}$

 6 Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

| 1 | VI. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT | | |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 2 | 27.Plaintiff is a non-practicing entity, with no products to mark. Plaintiff has pled | | |
| 3 | 27. Flamum is a non-practicing entity, with no products to mark. Flamum has pied | | |
| 4 | all statutory requirements to obtain pre-suit damages. Further, all conditions | | |
| 5 | precedent to recovery are met. | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF | | |
| 9 | WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows: | | |
| 10 | a. enter judgment that Defendant has infringed the claims of the '359 patent; | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | b. award Plaintiff damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for | | |
| 13 | Defendant's infringement of the Patent-in-Suit in an amount no less than a | | |
| 14 | reasonable royalty or lost profits, together with pre-judgment and post- | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | judgment interest and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 284; | | |
| 17 | c. award Plaintiff an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial and | | |
| 18 19 | an award by the Court of additional damage for any such acts of infringement. | | |
| 20 | d. declare this case to be "exceptional" under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award Plaintiff | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | its attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action; | | |
| 23 | e. declare Defendant's infringement to be willful and treble the damages, | | |
| 24 | including attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an | | |
| 25 | increase in the damage award pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284; | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | f. a decree addressing future infringement that either (if) awards a permanent | | |
| 28 | injunction enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, affiliates, | | |

| 1 | divisions, and subsidiaries, and those in association with Defendant from | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | infringing the claims of the Potents in Suit or (ii) awards domages for future | | |
| 3 | infringing the claims of the Patents-in-Suit, or (ii) awards damages for future | | |
| 4 | infringement in lieu of an injunction in an amount consistent with the fact that | | |
| 5 | for future infringement the Defendant will be an adjudicated infringer of a valid | | |
| 6 | patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the future infringement | | |
| 7 | patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the future infringement | | |
| 8 | will be willful as a matter of law; and | | |
| 9 | g. award Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | Dated: February 23, 2024Respectfully submitted, | | |
| 12 | RAMEY LLP | | |
| 13 | /s/ Susan S.Q. Kalra | | |
| 14 | Susan S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No. 16740) | | |
| 15 | 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 Houston, Toxos 77006 | | |
| 16 | Houston, Texas 77006 Telephone: (800) 993-7499 | | |
| 17 | Fax: (832) 900-4941 | | |
| 18 | Northern California Office: | | |
| 19 | 303 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 600 | | |
| | Redwood City, CA, US 94065 | | |
| 20 | Attorneys for Plaintiff | | |
| 21 | VDPP, LLC | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | | | |
|--------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL | | |
| 2 | Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by | Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on issues so triable by right. | |
| 3 4 | Dated: February 23, 2024 P | espectfully submitted, | |
| 5 | R | RAMEY LLP | |
| 6 | ,) /c | / Susan S.Q. Kalra | |
| 7 | S S | usan S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No. 16740) | |
| 8 | | kalra@rameyfirm.com 020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 | |
| 9 | | louston, Texas 77006 | |
| 10 | | elephone: (800) 993-7499 | |
| 11 | | ax: (832) 900-4941 | |
| 12 | | Attorneys for Plaintiff | |
| 13 | | VDPP, LLC, | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | , | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | 5 | | |
| 27 | , | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | | |