

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
5

6 **Media Key LLC,**

7 **Plaintiff,**

8 **v.**

9 **F5, Inc.,**

10 **Defendant.**
11
12
13

CASE NO. 2:24-CV-0279

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

14 **COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

15 1. Plaintiff Media Key LLC (“Plaintiff”), through its attorneys,
16 complains of F5, Inc. (“Defendant”), and alleges the following:
17

18 **PARTIES**

19
20 2. Plaintiff Media Key LLC is a corporation organized and existing
21 under the laws of New York that maintains its principal place of business at 261 W.
22 35th St., Suite 1003, New York, NY 10001.
23
24
25
26

3. Defendant F5, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Washington that maintains an established place of business at 18325 Waterview Parkway Dallas, Texas 75252.

JURISDICTION

4. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

5. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District and is incorporated in this District's state. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

VENUE

7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has an established place of business in this District. In addition, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District, and Plaintiff has suffered harm in this district.

PATENT -IN -SUIT

8. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 7,606,876 (the "Patent-in-Suit"); including all rights to enforce and

1 prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times
2 against infringers of the Patent-in-Suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff possesses the
3 exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the
4 Patent-in-Suit by Defendant.

5
6 **THE '876 PATENT**

7 9. The '876 Patent is entitled "Media Key for Updateable Content
8 Distribution," and issued 2009-10-20. The application leading to the '876 Patent
9 was filed on 2004-08-09. A true and correct copy of the '876 Patent is attached
10 hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.
11

12
13 **COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '876 PATENT**

14 10. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

15 11. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly
16 infringe one or more claims of the '876 Patent in at least this District by making,
17 using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the
18 Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below
19 (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary
20 claims of the '876 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count
21 below (the "Exemplary '876 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of
22 equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the
23
24
25
26

claims of the '876 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

12. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '876 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

13. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement.** The service of this Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited, constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

14. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '876 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '876 Patent. See Exhibit 2 (extensively referencing these materials to demonstrate how they direct end users to commit patent infringement).

15. **Induced Infringement.** At least since being served by this Complaint and corresponding claim charts, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '876 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their

customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more
1 claims of the '876 Patent.

2 16. Exhibit 2 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '876 Patent
3 Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the
4 Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '876 Patent.
5 Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts
6 satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '876 Patent Claims.
7

8 17. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein
9 the claim charts of Exhibit 2.
10

11 18. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for
12 Defendant's infringement.
13

14 **JURY DEMAND**

15 19. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff
16 respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.
17
18

19 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

20 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:
21

22 A. A judgment that the '876 Patent is valid and enforceable;;
23
24
25
26

1 B. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly and indirectly
2 one or more claims of the '876 Patent;

3 C. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;

4
5 D. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under
6 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's continuing or future infringement, up until
7 the date such judgment is entered with respect to the '876 Patent, including
8 pre- or post-judgment interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35
9 U.S.C. § 284;

10
11
12 E. And, if necessary, to adequately compensate Plaintiff for
13 Defendant's infringement, an accounting:

14
15 i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35
16 U.S.C. § 285 and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees
17 against Defendant that it incurs in prosecuting this action;

18
19 ii. that Plaintiff be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in
20 prosecuting this action; and
21
22
23
24
25
26

1 iii. that Plaintiff be awarded such further relief at law or in equity
2 as the Court deems just and proper.

3 DATED this 29th day of February, 2024.

4
5 By: s/ Philip P. Mann
6 Philip P. Mann, WSBA No. 28860
7 **MANN LAW GROUP PLLC**
8 403 Madison Ave. N. Ste. 240
9 Bainbridge Island, WA 98110
10 Telephone: (206) 436-0900
11 email: phil@mannlawgroup.com

12 Together with:

13 Isaac Rabicoff (*pro hac forthcoming*)
14 **Rabicoff Law LLC**
15 4311 N Ravenswood Ave Suite 315
16 Chicago, IL 60613
17 Telephone: (773) 669-4590
18 Email: isaac@rabilaw.com

19 **Counsel for Plaintiff**
20 **Media Key LLC**