_	Susan S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No. 16740) Email: skalra@rameyfirm.com RAMEY LLP 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 Houston, Texas 77006 Telephone: (800) 993-7499 Fax: (832) 900-4941 William P. Ramey, III (pro hac vice anticipated) Email: wramey@rameyfirm.com RAMEY LLP 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 Houston, TX 77006 Telephone: (713) 426-3923 Fax: (832) 689-9175 Attorneys for Plaintiff VDPP LLC,		
5			
7			
8			
9 10			
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION		
13			
14 15	VDPP, LLC, Plaintiff,	Case No.: 5:24-cv-01783	
16	V.	PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT	
17	XIAOMI USA LLC, Defendant,	INFRINGEMENT	
18		(35 U.S.C. § 271)	
19 20		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
21			
22	PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
23	VDPP LLC ("Plaintiff" or "VDPP") files this Original Complaint and demand		
24	for jury trial seeking relief from patent infringement of the claims of U.S. Patent No.		
2526	9,948,922 ("the '922 patent") and U.S. Patent No. 10,021,380 ("the '380 patent")		
27	(referred to as the "Patents-in-Suit") by Xiaomi USA LLC, ("Defendant" or		
28			

"Xiaomi").

4 5

1

2

3

1. Plaintiff is a company organized under the laws of Oregon with a principal place of business located in Corvallis, Oregon.

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

I. THE PARTIES

2. On information and belief, Defendant is an incorporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. On information and belief, Defendant has an established place of business in this District at 97 E Brokaw Rd Ste 310 San Jose, CA, 95112. Defendant can be served with process through their registered agent, Incorporating Services, LTD., at 9920 Pacific Heights Blvd., Suite 150, San Diego, California 92121, at its place of business, or anywhere else it may be found.

II. **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. This Court has original subject-matter jurisdiction over the entire action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because Plaintiff's claim arises under an Act of Congress relating to patents, namely, 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: (i) Defendant is present within or has minimum contacts within the State of California and this judicial district; (ii) Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of California and in this judicial district; and (iii) Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of California and in this judicial district.

5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b). Defendant has committed acts of infringement and have a regular and established place of business in this District. Further, venue is proper because Defendant conducts substantial business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in California and this District.

III. INFRINGEMENT OF THE '922 PATENT

- 6. On April 17, 2018, U.S. Patent No. 9,948,922 ("the '922 patent", included as Exhibit A) entitled "Faster State Transitioning for Continuous Adjustable 3Deeps Filter Spectacles Using Multi-Layered Variable Tint Materials" was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Plaintiff owns the '922 patent by assignment.
- 7. The '922 patent relates to a system called 3Deeps that will allow almost any motion picture filmed in 2D (single image) to be viewed with the visual effect of 3-dimensions.
- 8. Defendant maintains, operates, and administers systems, products, and services in the field of motion pictures that infringes one or more of claims of the '922 patent, including one or more of claims 1-12, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant puts the inventions claimed by the '922 Patent into service (i.e., used

1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 |

them); but for Defendant's actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendant's products and services would never have been put into service. Defendant's acts complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial benefit from it.

9. Defendant has and continues to induce infringement. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on a system comprising a storage adapted to store one or more image frames and a processor adapted to obtain a first image frame from a first video stream of one or more of claims 1-12 of the '922 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '922 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit. For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

10.Defendant has and continues to contributorily infringe. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., a system related to the field of motion pictures) and related services that provide system and method comprising a storage adapted to store one or more image frames and a processor adapted to obtain a first image frame from a first video stream such as to

¹ Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-12 of the '922 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '922 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.² For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

11.Defendant has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff damage by direct and indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the '922 patent.

IV. INFRINGEMENT OF THE '380 PATENT

12.On July 10, 2018, U.S. Patent No. 10,021,380 ("the '380 patent", included as Exhibit C and part of this complaint) entitled "Faster State Transitioning for Continuous Adjustable 3Deeps Filter Spectacles Using Multi-Layered Variable Tint Materials" was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Plaintiff owns the '380 patent by assignment.

13. The '380 patent relates to methods and systems for modifying an image.

14.Defendant maintains, operates, and administers systems, products, and services in the field of automotive manufacture that infringes one or more of claims of the '380 patent, including one or more of claims 1-30, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant puts the inventions claimed by the '380 patent into service (i.e., used them); but for Defendant's actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments

² Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

involving Defendant's products and services would never have been put into service.

Defendant's acts complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial benefit from it.

15.Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the preliminary exemplary table attached as Exhibit D. These allegations of infringement are preliminary and are therefore subject to change.

16.Defendant has and continues to induce infringement. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., systems and methods related to modifying an image) such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-30 of the '380 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '380 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.³ For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

17.Defendant has and continues to contributorily infringe. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., systems and methods related to modifying an image) such as to cause infringement of

³ Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

one or more of claims 1-30 of the '380 patent, literally or under the doctrine of 1 2 equivalents. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '380 patent and the technology 3 underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.⁴ For clarity, direct 4 5 infringement is previously alleged in this complaint. 6 18. Defendant has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff damage by direct and 7 indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the '380 8 9 patent. 10 V. **CONDITIONS PRECEDENT** 11 12 19. Plaintiff is a non-practicing entity, with no products to mark. Plaintiff has plead 13 all statutory requirements to obtain pre-suit damages. Further, all conditions precedent 14 for recovery are met. 15 16 VI. **JURY DEMAND** 17 Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on issues so triable by right. 18 VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF 19 20 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows: 21 enter judgment that Defendant has infringed the claims of the '922 and '380 a. 22 patents; 23 24 b. award Plaintiff damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for 25 Defendant's infringement of the Patents-in-Suit in an amount no less than a 26 27 Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of

28

knowledge.

- reasonable royalty or lost profits, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- c. award Plaintiff an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial and an award by the Court of additional damage for any such acts of infringement;
- d. declare this case to be "exceptional" under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award Plaintiff its attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action;
- e. declare Defendant's infringement to be willful and treble the damages, including attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an increase in the damage award pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- f. a decree addressing future infringement that either (if) awards a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant and their agents, servants, employees, affiliates, divisions, and subsidiaries, and those in association with Defendant from infringing the claims of the Patents-in-Suit, or (ii) awards damages for future infringement in lieu of an injunction in an amount consistent with the fact that for future infringement the Defendant will be an adjudicated infringer of a valid patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the future infringement will be willful as a matter of law; and
- g. award Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

1	Dated: March 22, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
2		RAMEY LLP
3		/s/ Susan S.Q. Kalra
4		Susan S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No. 16740) RAMEY LLP
5		5020 Montrose Bvd., Suite 800 Houston, Texas 77006
6		(800) 993-7499 (832) 900-4941 (facsimile)
7		
8		Northern California Office: 303 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 600
9		Redwood City, CA, US 94065
10		/a / W:11: D. D III
		/s/ William P. Ramey, III William P. Ramey, III (pro hac vice)
11		wramey@rameyfirm.com
12		
13		Jeffrey E. Kubiak (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Texas Bar No. 24028470
14		jkubiak@rameyfirm.com
15		
16		5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800
17		Houston, Texas 77006 Telephone: (713) 426-3923
18		Fax: (832) 689-9175
19		Attorneys for Plaintiff
20		VDPP LLC
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		