1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Susan S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No. 167) RAMEY LLP 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 Houston, Texas 77006 Telephone: (800) 993-7499 Fax: (832) 900-4941 Northern California Office: 303 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 600 Redwood City, CA, US 94065 Telephone: (800) 993-7499 Fax: (832) 900-4941 Attorneys for Plaintiff FLICK INTELLIGENCE, LLC		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION		
12	OAKLAN	ND DIVISION	
13 14	FLICK INTELLIGENCE, LLC, Plaintiff,	Case No.: 4:24-cv-02201	
15	V.	PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL	
		COMPLAINT FOR PATENT	
16	HTC AMERICA, INC.	INFRINGEMENT	
17 18	Defendant.	(35 U.S.C. § 271)	
19		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
20			
21	PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
22	Flick Intelligence LLC ("Plaintiff" or "Flick") files this Original Complaint and		
23	domand for jumy trial goalsing relief from natant infringement of the claims of		
24	demand for jury trial seeking relief from patent infringement of the claims of		
25	0.055.007.(()1.1007		
26	Inc. ("Defendant" or "HTC").		
27 28	I. THE PARTIES		

1. Plaintiff is a Texas Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business located in Harris County, Texas.

2. On information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with a regular and established place of business located at 1625 Shattuck Ave., Suite 300, Berkeley, CA 94709. On information and belief, Defendant sells and offers to sell products and services throughout California, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and services that perform infringing methods or processes into the stream of commerce knowing that they would be sold in California and this judicial district. Defendant can be served with process through their registered agent Cogency Global Inc., at 1780 Barnes Blvd SW, Tumwater, Washington 98512, at its place of business, or anywhere else it may be found.

## II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has original subject-matter jurisdiction over the entire action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because Plaintiff's claim arises under an Act of Congress relating to patents, namely, 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: (i) Defendant is present within or has minimum contacts within the State of California and this judicial district; (ii) Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of California and in this judicial district; and (iii) Plaintiff's cause

State of California and in this judicial district.

of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the

5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b). Defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business in this District. Further, venue is proper because Defendant conducts substantial business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in California and this District.

## III. Infringement of the '237 Patent

- 6. On May 16, 2016, U.S. Patent No. 9,965,237 ("the '237 patent", included as Exhibit A and part of this complaint) entitled "Methods, systems and processor-readable media for bidirectional communications and data sharing," was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Flick Intelligence, LLC, owns the '237 patent by assignment.
- 7. The '237 patent relates to novel and improved methods, systems, and processor-readable media for supporting bidirectional communications and data sharing.
- 8. Defendant offers for sale, sells, manufactures, and modifies device(s) to use the patented Methods, systems and processor-readable media, including but not limited

to, VIVE XR Elite and related systems that infringe one or more claims of the '237 patent, including one or more of claims 1-16, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant put the inventions claimed by the '237 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for Defendant's actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendant's products and services would never have been put into service. Defendant's acts complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments to perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial benefit from it.

- 9. Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the preliminary exemplary table attached as Exhibit B. These allegations of infringement are preliminary and are therefore subject to change.
- 10. Defendant has and continues to induce infringement. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services and related services that provide question and answer services across the Internet such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1–16 of the '237 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '237 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the date of the filing of the lawsuit. For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

11. Defendant has and continues to contributorily infringe. Defendant has actively

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

infringement is previously alleged in this complaint. 12. Defendant has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff damage by direct and indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the '237 patent.

## IV. **CONDITIONS PRECEDENT**

13. Plaintiff is a non-practicing entity, with no products to mark. Plaintiff has pled all statutory requirements to obtain pre-suit damages. Further, all conditions precedent to recovery are met.

## V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

enter judgment that Defendant has infringed the claims of the '237 patent; a.

Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

- b. award Plaintiff damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for Defendant's infringement of the Patent-in-Suit in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty or lost profits, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- c. award Plaintiff an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial and an award by the Court of additional damage for any such acts of infringement;
- d. declare this case to be "exceptional" under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award Plaintiff its attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action;
- e. declare Defendant's infringement to be willful and treble the damages, including attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an increase in the damage award pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- f. a decree addressing future infringement that either (if) awards a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, affiliates, divisions, and subsidiaries, and those in association with Defendant from infringing the claims of the Patents-in-Suit, or (ii) awards damages for future infringement in lieu of an injunction in an amount consistent with the fact that for future infringement the Defendant will be an adjudicated infringer of a valid patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the future infringement will be willful as a matter of law; and
- g. award Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: April 12, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

1		
2	RAMEY LLP	
3	/s/ Susan S.Q. Kalra	
4	Susan S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No. 16740)	
5	5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 Houston, Texas 77006	
6	Telephone: (800) 993-7499	
7	Fax: (832) 900-4941	
8	Northern California Office:	
9	303 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 600	
	Redwood City, CA, US 94065	
10	/s/ William P. Ramey, III	
11	William P. Ramey, III (pro hac vice anticipated)	
12	Texas Bar No. 24027643	
13	wramey@rameyfirm.com	
14	5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800	
15	Houston, Texas 77006	
	Telephone: (713) 426-3923	
16	Fax: (832) 689-9175	
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
18	FLICK INTELLIGENCE, LLC	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	<b>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</b>	
28	Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on issues so triable by right.	

1	Dated. April 12, 2024 Res	pectfully submitted,
2	RA	MEY LLP
3	3	
4	Sus	Susan S.Q. Kalra an S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No. 16740)
5	ska	ra@rameyfirm.com
6	Hou	0 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 uston, Texas 77006
7	Tel	ephone: (800) 993-7499
8		: (832) 900-4941
9	Au	forneys for Plaintiff
10		ICK INTELLIGENCE, LLC
11		
12		
13		
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	3	