# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

WIRELESSWERX IP LLC,	
Plaintiff,	

Civil Action No. 1:24-cv-01035

V.

TRIMBLE, INC., Defendant JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

# PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, WirelessWerx IP, LLC ("WirelessWerx" or "Plaintiff"), files this Complaint for Patent Infringement against Trimble, INC. ("Trimble" or "Defendant"), and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

## **PARTIES**

Plaintiff is a Texas limited liability company having an address located at 5900
Balcones Dr., Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78731.

 On information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware. Defendant has its headquarters at 10368 Westmoor Drive, Westminster, CO, 80021.

3. Defendant can be served through its registered agent, The Corporation Trust Company, at Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, at its place of business, or anywhere else it may be found.

4. On information and belief, Defendant directly and/or indirectly develops, designs, manufactures, distributes, markets, offers to sell and/or sells infringing products and services in the United States, including in the District of Colorado, and otherwise directs infringing activities to this District in connection with its products and services.

#### **JURISDICTION**

5. This civil action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, including without limitation 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285 based on Defendant's unauthorized commercial manufacture, use, importation, offer for sale, and sale of the Accused Products in the United States. This is a patent infringement lawsuit over which this Court has subject matter jurisdiction under, *inter alia*, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, and 1338(a).

6. This United States District Court for the District of Colorado has general and specific personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, directly or through intermediaries, Defendant has committed acts within this District giving rise to this action and are present in and transact and conduct business in and with residents of this District and the State of Colorado.

7. Plaintiff's causes of action arise, at least in part, from Defendant's contacts with and activities in this District and the State of Colorado.

8. Defendant has committed acts of infringing the Patent-in-Suit within this District and the State of Colorado by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into this District and elsewhere in the State of Colorado, products claimed by the Patent-in-Suit, including without limitation products made by practicing the claimed methods of the Patent-in-Suit. Defendant, directly and through intermediaries, makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, imports, ships, distributes, advertises, promotes, and/or otherwise commercializes such infringing products into this District and the State of Colorado. Defendant regularly conducts and solicits business in, engages in other persistent courses of conduct in, and/or derives substantial revenue from goods and services provided to residents of this District and the State of Colorado.

9. Personal jurisdiction exists over Defendant because Defendant has minimum contacts with this forum as a result of business regularly conducted within the State of Colorado

#### Case No. 1:24-cv-01035 Document 1 filed 04/17/24 USDC Colorado pg 3 of 7

and within this district, and, on information and belief, specifically as a result of, at least, committing the tort of patent infringement within Colorado and this District. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, in part, because Defendant does continuous and systematic business in this District, including by providing infringing products and services to the residents of the District of Colorado that Defendant knew would be used within this District, and by soliciting business from the residents of the District of Colorado. For example, Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this Court because, *inter alia*, Defendant has regular and established places of business throughout this District. Also, Defendant has hired and is hiring within this District for positions that, on information and belief, relate to infringement of the Patent-in-Suit. Accordingly, this Court's jurisdiction over the Defendant comports with the constitutional standards of fair play and substantial justice and arises directly from the Defendant's purposeful minimum contacts with the State of Colorado.

10. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, because in addition to Defendant's online website and advertising within this District, Defendant has also made its products available within this judicial district and advertised to residents within the district to hire employees to be located in this District.

11. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 exclusive of interests and costs.

12. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) based on information set forth herein, which is hereby repeated and incorporated by reference. Further, upon information and belief, Defendant has committed or induced acts of infringement, and/or advertise, market, sell, and/or offer to sell products, including infringing products, in this District.

#### THE PATENT-IN-SUIT

13. On November 17, 2010, United States Patent No. 8,290,515 ("the '515 Patent"), entitled "Methods and Systems to Monitor and Control Devices Utilizing Wireless Media" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"). The '515 Patent claims patent-eligible subject matter and is valid and enforceable. WirelessWerx is the exclusive owner by assignment of all rights, title, and interest in the '515 Patent, including the right to bring this suit for damages, and including the right to sue and recover all past, present, and future damages for infringement of the '515 Patent. Defendant is not licensed to the '515 Patent, either expressly or implicitly, nor do they enjoy or benefit from any rights in or to the '515 patent whatsoever. A true and correct copy of the '515 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

14. The '515 Patent is referred to herein as the "Patent-in-Suit."

15. Plaintiff WirelessWerx is the owner of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the Patent-in-Suit. The Patent-in-Suit is presumed valid under 35 U.S.C. § 282.

#### PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF THE '515 PATENT

16. Plaintiff restates and realleges the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

17. Defendant has, under 35 U.S.C. §271(a), directly infringed, and continues to directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more of claims 1-6, including without limitation at least claim 5 of the '515 Patent, by making, using, testing, selling, offering for sale and/or importing into the United States Defendant's Accused Products.

18. On information and belief, Defendant has made no attempt to design around the claims of the '515 Patent.

## Case No. 1:24-cv-01035 Document 1 filed 04/17/24 USDC Colorado pg 5 of 7

19. On information and belief, Defendant did not have a reasonable basis for believing that the claims of the '515 Patent were invalid.

20. On information and belief, Defendant's Accused Products are available to businesses and individuals throughout the United States and in the State of Colorado, including in this District.

21. WirelessWerx has been damaged as the result of Defendant's infringement.

22. The claim chart attached hereto as **Exhibit B** describes how the elements of example claim 5 from the '515 Patent are infringed by the Accused Products. This provides details regarding only one example of Defendant's infringement, and only as to a single patent claim. Plaintiff reserves its right to amend and fully provide its infringement arguments and evidence thereof until its Preliminary and Final Infringement Contentions are later produced according to the court's scheduling order in this case.

23. Defendant has and continues to induce infringement from at least the filing date of the lawsuit. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services and related services that provide question and answer services across the Internet such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-6 of the '515 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant, from at least the filing date of the lawsuit, has continued to encourage and instruct others on how to use the products showing specific intent. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '515 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.<sup>1</sup> For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to amend and add inducement pre-suit if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

### Case No. 1:24-cv-01035 Document 1 filed 04/17/24 USDC Colorado pg 6 of 7

24. Defendant has and continues to contributorily infringe from at least the filing date of the lawsuit. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services and related services that provide question and answer services across the Internet such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-6 of the '515 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant, from at least the filing date of the lawsuit, has continued to encourage and instruct others on how to use the products showing specific intent. Further, there are no substantial non-infringing uses for Defendant's products and services. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '515 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit. For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

25. Defendant has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff damage by direct and indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the '515 patent.

## **CONDITIONS PRECEDENT**

26. Plaintiff is a non-practicing entity, with no products to mark. Plaintiff has pled all statutory requirements to obtain pre-suit damages. All conditions precedent to recovery are met.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff WirelessWerx respectfully requests the following relief:

A. A judgment that Defendant has directly infringed either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents and continue to directly infringe the Patent-in-Suit;

B. Provided discovery reveals that Defendant knew (1) knew of the patent-in-suit prior to the filing date of the lawsuit; (2) after acquiring that knowledge, it infringed the patent; and (3) in doing so, it knew, or should have known, that its conduct amounted to infringement of the patent, declare Defendants' infringement to be willful and treble the damages, including attorneys' fees,

## Case No. 1:24-cv-01035 Document 1 filed 04/17/24 USDC Colorado pg 7 of 7

expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an increase in the damage award pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

C. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay Plaintiff damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 including past damages based on, inter alia, any necessary compliance with 35 U.S.C. §287, and supplemental damages for any continuing post-verdict infringement through entry of the final judgment with an accounting as needed;

D. A judgment that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and Plaintiff is therefore entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees;

E. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay Plaintiff pre-judgment and postjudgment interest on the damages awarded;

- F. A judgment and order awarding a compulsory ongoing royalty;
- G. A judgment and order awarding Plaintiff costs associated with bringing this action;
- H. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

## JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 38, Plaintiff WirelessWerx hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

## **Ramey LLP**

By: <u>/s/ William P. Ramey, III</u> William P. Ramey, III Texas Bar No. 24027643 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 Houston, Texas 77006 (713) 426-3923 (telephone) (832) 900-4941 (fax) wramey@rameyfirm.com

Attorneys for WirelessWerx IP, LLC