IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

PAYRANGE INC.,	Ş
Plaintiff,	ş ş
V.	\$
CARD CONCEPTS INC.,	\$ \$
Defendant.	§ §

C.A. ²⁴⁻³³⁹

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1. Pursuant to Section 1338 of Title 28 of the United States Code, Plaintiff PayRange Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "PayRange") alleges for its Complaint against Defendant Card Concepts Inc. ("CCI") ("CCI" or "Defendant"), on personal knowledge and information and belief as noted.

This Complaint arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 35 U.S.C.
 § 271 et seq., 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

THE PARTIES

PayRange is a Tennessee corporation with its principal place of business at 9600
 NE Cascades Pkwy, Suite 280, Portland, OR 97220.

4. On information and belief, CCI is an Illinois company with employees who work both from home as well as from offices located at 302 South Stewart Ave., Addison, IL 60101.

5. PayRange's acclaimed technology enables its customers to upgrade a coinoperated unattended retail machine into a state-of-the-art mobile payment solution with a small module, called "BluKey." PayRange's mobile app communicates with BluKey to enable mobile

Case 6:24-cv-00339 Document 1 Filed 06/24/24 Page 2 of 16

transactions. The United States Patent & Trademark Office ("USPTO") awarded PayRange a portfolio of patents for its innovations.

- 6. PayRange's patent portfolio is prominently identified on its website pursuant to
- PayRange's virtual patent marking practices: <u>https://payrange.com/patents/</u>
 - 7. PayRange found success in the laundry and vending industries, attracting

significant customers including WASH Multifamily Laundry Systems ("WASH").

Unfortunately, competitors took notice and copied PayRange's technology. As a result,

PayRange initiated litigation against a major competitor (KioSoft) and subsequently against

KioSoft's major customer (CSC). In response, KioSoft and CSC challenged the validity of

PayRange's patents before the USPTO. PayRange prevailed with confirmed claims in every

USPTO challenge that proceeded to a Final Written Decision.

8. On January 31, 2024, PayRange and KioSoft issued a press release announcing a settlement. KioSoft's President, Charles Lee, is quoted:

"While we had challenged the PayRange patents vigorously, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) upheld the PayRange patents and, although we disagreed with the result, we must now accept that PayRange has valid claims," stated Charles Lee, President of KioSoft. "We respect the technologies that have helped the self-service industry thrive; and we look forward to continuing to lead innovation and development by providing best-in-class service to our customers with this fully-licensed technology, without any further legal distractions."

9. KioSoft agreed to license PayRange's technology for an amount that could exceed

\$62 million over a ten-year period, dependent on outcomes with a base license of \$40 million.

10. In April 2024, on the heels of its settlement with KioSoft, PayRange also resolved

its patent infringement dispute with KioSoft's customer CSC.

11. In May 2024, PayRange reached a patent licensing deal with WASH, one of the

largest providers of laundry facilities in the United States. The agreement licenses PayRange's

Case 6:24-cv-00339 Document 1 Filed 06/24/24 Page 3 of 16

patents for use with the WASH-Connect Mobile Payment App and will continue for the term of PayRange's patents. In the press release, WASH's CEO, Jim Gimeson stated:

"We're a privately held company founded in 1947 and we hold dear our reputation for integrity and ethical practices," says WASH CEO Jim Gimeson. "As operators, we hold a deep respect for the innovations PayRange has brought to elevate the laundry industry."

12. PayRange hoped that its other competitors would respect its intellectual property. PayRange hoped that its competitors would, like KioSoft, accept that PayRange had valid patent claims. PayRange hoped that its other competitors would, like, WASH, act with integrity and adhere to ethical practices.

13. On March 21, 2024, PayRange sent CCI a letter (*See* Exhibit 6) providing notice of its potential infringement and inviting licensing discussions. PayRange provided detailed claim charts showing that CCI infringes at least:

- a. U.S. Patent Nos. 11,481,772 (the "'772 patent");
- b. Allowed claims of U.S. Patent Application No. 18/197,071, which issued as
 U.S. Patent No. 11,972,423 (the "'423 patent"); and
- c. Allowed claims of U.S. Patent Application No. 18/197,071, which issues as
 U.S. Patent No. 11,966,920 (the "'920 patent").

14. In response, CCI advanced several unsubstantiated arguments about noninfringement and invalidity, but those arguments were meritless. Unfortunately, CCI provided no indication that it would cease infringement, take a license, or even accept PayRange's invitation to meet. PayRange was, again, compelled to file this action to protect its innovations and stop CCI's patent infringement.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

15. This is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq.

16. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this patent infringement action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

17. Upon information and belief, CCI has infringed PayRange's patents-in-suit in this District by, among other things, engaging in infringing conduct within and directed at, or from, this District. CCI has purposefully and voluntarily placed one or more of its infringing products, as described below, into the stream of commerce with the expectation that these infringing products will be used in this District. CCI's infringing products have been and continue to be used in this District.

18. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b). CCI has a regular and established place of business in this District. For example, CCI has one or more employee working in this District. On information and belief, an individual by the name of Adam Arafat is CCI's Remote Product Support Technician and he resides in Harker Heights, Texas. Mr. Harker's LinkedIn profile identifies his job responsibilities as:

[P]roviding diagnostic and troubleshooting support to end users with technical issues of varying complexity involving computer hardware and software, network connectivity, related peripheral equipment, and proprietary hardware. Escalating support requests as necessary. Monitor and maintain ticket management system, CRM data, SLA agreements and inventory records.

See Exhibit 7.

19. On information and belief, based on his job responsibilities, Mr. Arafat conducts his work responsibilities from his residence in this District. On information and belief, Mr. Arafat has been working remotely for CCI at this place for over three years, meaning that it is regular and established. On information and belief, based on Mr. Arafat's job responsibilities, he

-4-

Case 6:24-cv-00339 Document 1 Filed 06/24/24 Page 5 of 16

would necessarily possess property of CCI at his residence in this District and would send and/or receive equipment from customers or distributors. As such, CCI was holding out to the relevant public that it had a presence in this District. On information and belief, Mr. Arafat has personal knowledge regarding the design and operation of the accused products.

20. Further, CCI previously registered to do business in Texas, as shown below:

×

Franchise Search Results Public In	formation Report
As of : 06/22/20	24 23:13:41
This page is valid for most business trans with the Secret Obtain a certification for filings	ary of State
CARD CONC	EPTS INC.
Texas Taxpayer Number	32081446315
Mailing Address	302 S STEWART AVE ADDISON, IL 60101-3310
	FORFEITER
Right to Transact Business in Texas	FORFEITED File missing reports, information reports and/or payments
 Right to Transact Business in Texas State of Formation 	File missing reports, information reports and/or payments
	File missing reports, information reports and/or payments
State of Formation	File missing reports, information reports and/or payments IL Not Registered
State of Formation Effective SOS Registration Date	File missing reports, information reports and/or payments IL Not Registered Not Registered

See Exhibit 8.

Franchise Tax Details

21. As shown, CCI had appointed Mr. Arafat as its registered agent in Texas. On information and belief, CCI's registered office address in Texas was Mr. Arafat's residence.

PAYRANGE'S PATENTS-IN-SUIT

22. To protect its unique and innovative technologies, PayRange filed a provisional patent application (No. 61/917,936) on December 18, 2013. Several patents issued based on this original application, including the patents-in-suit, a summarized below.

23. On January 12, 2021, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 10,891,608 (the "'608 patent"), titled "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR AN OFFLINE-PAYMENT OPERATED MACHINE TO ACCEPT ELECTRONIC PAYMENTS." A true and correct copy of the '608 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

24. On October 25, 2022, the USPTO issued the '772 Patent, titled "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PRESENTING REPRESENTATIONS OF PAYMENT ACCEPTING UNIT EVENTS." A true and correct copy of the '772 Patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2.** On November 22, 2023, PayRange filed a disclaimer in the '772 Patent, which disclaims Claims 1-6, 8-10 and 12-20 of the '772 Patent. A true and correct copy of the disclaimer is attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**.

25. On April 23, 2024, the USPTO issued the '920 patent, titled "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PRESENTING REPRESENTATIONS OF PAYMENT ACCEPTING UNIT EVENTS." A true and correct copy of the '920 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit 4**.

26. On April 30, 2024, the USPTO issued the '423 patent, titled "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PRESENTING REPRESENTATIONS OF PAYMENT ACCEPTING UNIT EVENTS." A true and correct copy of the '423 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit 5**.

<u>COUNT I</u> INFRINGEMENT OF THE '608 PATENT

27. PayRange realleges and incorporates the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.

-6-

Case 6:24-cv-00339 Document 1 Filed 06/24/24 Page 7 of 16

28. PayRange is the assignee and owner of all right, title, and interest in and to the '608 patent. PayRange has the exclusive right to make, use, sell, and offer to sell any product embodying the '608 patent throughout the United States, and to import any product embodying the '608 patent into the United States.

29. A significant advantage of the '608 patent is the ability to emulate an analog signal generated by the coin receiving switch of the offline payment-operated machine. Another advantage was receiving a wireless request via the short-range wireless transceiver from a mobile device. A further advantage is causing an offline payment-operated machine to initiate the requested cashless operation by issuing the first number of electrical pulses. These advantages were not routine, well-understood or conventional.

30. Upon information and belief, CCI has and is infringing at least claim 1 of the '608 patent in this District and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, directly or through intermediaries, making, using, selling and/or offering for sale payment devices, including FasCard, covered by one or more claims of the '608 patent to the injury of PayRange. CCI is directly infringing, literally infringing, and/or infringing the '608 patent under the doctrine of equivalents. CCI is thus liable for infringement of the '608 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

31. When placed into operation by CCI or CCI's users, CCI's infringing products infringe at least claim 1 of the '608 patent. They include a payment module (FasCard) for an offline payment-operated machine (*e.g.*, laundry machine) including a coin receiving switch, the payment module comprising: a short-range wireless transceiver (*e.g.*, NFC) configured to communicate with one or more mobile devices; one or more processors; a first interface module configured to output to a control unit of the offline payment-operated machine one or more

-7-

Case 6:24-cv-00339 Document 1 Filed 06/24/24 Page 8 of 16

electrical pulses, each of the one or more electrical pulses emulating an analog signal generated by the coin receiving switch of the offline payment-operated machine in response to insertion of a single coin of a predetermined type in the offline payment-operated machine; and memory (e.g., Flash memory) with one or more programs for execution by the one or more processors, the one or more programs including instructions for: storing, in the memory of the payment module, a number of the electrical pulses that must be received by the control unit to initiate an operation of the offline payment operating machine; receiving a wireless request via the shortrange wireless transceiver from a respective mobile device of the one or more mobile devices to initiate a cashless operation of the offline-payment operated machine; and in response to the wireless request: determining a first number of electrical pulses to output via the first interface module to the control unit of the offline payment-operated machine in order to initiate the requested cashless operation of the offline payment-operated machine; causing the offline payment-operated machine to initiate the requested cashless operation by issuing the first number of electrical pulses to the control unit via the first interface module; and sending operation information corresponding to the initiated operation of the offline payment-operated machine to the respective mobile device via the short-range wireless transceiver.

32. To the extent CCI payment devices (including FasCard), without more, do not directly infringe at least claim 1 of the '608 patent, CCI actively encourages their business partners, end-users and/or customers to use CCI payment devices in an infringing manner. CCI encourages infringement with a specific intent to cause its business partners and customers to infringe. CCI's acts thus constitute active inducement of patent infringement in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

-8-

Case 6:24-cv-00339 Document 1 Filed 06/24/24 Page 9 of 16

33. In addition, CCI contributes to infringement of the same under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) inasmuch as the infringing products offered for sale and sold by CCI are each a component of a patented machine or an apparatus used in practicing a patented process, constituting a material part of the invention, knowing the same to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringement of the '608 patent.

34. CCI's direct infringement, contributory infringement, and inducement of infringement have irreparably harmed PayRange.

35. Upon information and belief, CCI will continue to infringe the '608 patent unless enjoined.

36. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, PayRange is entitled to damages adequate to compensate for the infringement. PayRange has complied with its patent marking obligations including by virtually marking its patents through the following URL:

https://payrange.com/patents/

37. This case is "exceptional" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, and PayRange is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees.

<u>COUNT II</u> <u>INFRINGEMENT OF THE '920 PATENT</u>

38. PayRange realleges and incorporates the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.

39. PayRange is the assignee and owner of all right, title, and interest in and to the '920 patent. PayRange has the exclusive right to make, use, sell, and offer to sell any product embodying the '920 patent throughout the United States, and to import any product embodying the '920 patent into the United States.

-9-

Case 6:24-cv-00339 Document 1 Filed 06/24/24 Page 10 of 16

40. A significant advantage of the '920 patent is the ability to identify payment accepting units based on the location or identifier corresponding to the payment accepting units. Another significant advantage is displaying a visual indication of the payment accepting units. Completing a transaction after establishing a wireless communication is another significant advantage. These advantages were not routine, well-understood or conventional.

41. Upon information and belief, CCI has and is infringing at least claim 1 of the '920 patent in this District and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, directly or through intermediaries, making, using, selling and/or offering for sale products such as FasCard app, covered by one or more claims of the '920 patent to the injury of PayRange. CCI is directly infringing, literally infringing, and/or infringing the '920 patent under the doctrine of equivalents. CCI is thus liable for infringement of the '920 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

42. **Exhibit 6**, which is incorporated herein, include a chart providing an element-byelement comparison between CCI and claim 1 of the '920 patent.

43. CCI actively encourages their business partners and/or customers to use FasCard in an infringing manner. CCI encourages infringement with a specific intent to cause its business partners and customers to infringe. CCI's acts thus constitute active inducement of patent infringement in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

44. To the extent FasCard, without more, does not directly infringe at least claim 1 of the '920 patent, CCI contributes to infringement of the same under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) inasmuch as the infringing products offered for sale and sold by CCI is a component of a patented machine or an apparatus used in practicing a patented process, constituting a material part of PayRange's invention, knowing the same to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringement of the '920 patent.

-10-

Case 6:24-cv-00339 Document 1 Filed 06/24/24 Page 11 of 16

45. CCI's direct infringement, contributory infringement, and inducement of infringement have irreparably harmed PayRange. On information and belief, PayRange has lost prospective customers and was forced to compete against its own technology, at least in part, due to CCI's infringement. The continued infringement harms PayRange's reputation in the marketplace and discourages other potential customers from purchasing PayRange's solutions. These reputational and business harms cannot be adequately remedied by monetary compensation.

46. Upon information and belief, CCI will continue to infringe the '920 patent unless enjoined.

47. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, PayRange is entitled to damages adequate to compensate for the infringement, including a reasonable royalty and/or lost profits. PayRange notified CCI of its infringement even prior to issuance of the '920 patent.

48. This case is "exceptional" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, and PayRange is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees.

<u>COUNT III</u> <u>INFRINGEMENT OF THE '423 PATENT</u>

49. PayRange realleges and incorporates the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.

50. PayRange is the assignee and owner of all right, title, and interest in and to the '423 patent. PayRange has the exclusive right to make, use, sell, and offer to sell any product embodying the '423 patent throughout the United States, and to import any product embodying the '423 patent into the United States.

51. A significant advantage of the '423 patent is the ability to identify payment accepting units based on an identifier corresponding to the payment accepting units. Another

-11-

Case 6:24-cv-00339 Document 1 Filed 06/24/24 Page 12 of 16

significant advantage is displaying a visual indication of the payment accepting units. Completing a transaction after establishing a wireless communication was another significant advantage. These advantages were not routine, well-understood or conventional.

52. Upon information and belief, CCI has and is infringing at least claim 1 of the '423 patent in this District and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, directly or through intermediaries, making, using, selling and/or offering for sale products such as FasCard app, covered by one or more claims of the '423 patent to the injury of PayRange. CCI is directly infringing, literally infringing, and/or infringing the '423 patent under the doctrine of equivalents. CCI is thus liable for infringement of the '423 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

53. **Exhibit 6**, which is incorporated herein, includes a chart providing an elementby-element comparison between CCI and claim 1 of the '423 patent.

54. CCI actively encourages its business partners, end-users and/or customers to, for instance, use FasCard in an infringing manner. CCI encourages infringement with a specific intent to cause its business partners and customers to infringe. CCI's acts thus constitute active inducement of patent infringement in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

55. To the extent FasCard, without more, does not directly infringe at least claim 1 of the '423 patent, CCI contributes to infringement of the same under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) inasmuch as the infringing products offered for sale and sold by CCI is a component of a patented machine or an apparatus used in practicing a patented process, constituting a material part of PayRange's invention, knowing the same to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringement of the '423 patent.

Case 6:24-cv-00339 Document 1 Filed 06/24/24 Page 13 of 16

56. CCI's direct infringement, contributory infringement, and inducement of infringement have irreparably harmed PayRange. On information and belief, PayRange has lost prospective customers and was forced to compete against its own technology, at least in part, due to CCI's infringement. The continued infringement harms PayRange's reputation in the marketplace and discourages other potential customers from purchasing PayRange's solutions. These reputational and business harms cannot be adequately remedied by monetary compensation.

57. Upon information and belief, CCI will continue to infringe the '423 patent unless enjoined.

58. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, PayRange is entitled to damages adequate to compensate for the infringement, including a reasonable royalty and/or lost profits. PayRange notified CCI of its infringement even prior to issuance of the '423 patent.

59. This case is "exceptional" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, and PayRange is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees.

<u>COUNT IV</u> INFRINGEMENT OF THE '772 PATENT

60. PayRange realleges and incorporates the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.

61. PayRange is the assignee and owner of all right, title, and interest in and to the '772 patent. PayRange has the exclusive right to make, use, sell, and offer to sell any product embodying the '772 patent throughout the United States, and to import any product embodying the '772 patent into the United States.

-13-

Case 6:24-cv-00339 Document 1 Filed 06/24/24 Page 14 of 16

62. A significant advantage of the '772 patent is the capability to display multiple payment accepting units on a mobile device. This allows a user to select one of many different machines and initiate payment to the selected machine using a mobile device.

63. The '772 patent was unconventional in several respects. For example, claim 11 of the '772 patent was unconventional. Before users request products and/or services, the mobile payment application shows available payment accepting units and the prepared balance. When users request products and/or services, the mobile payment application shows an indication of the initiation of transaction. Conventionally, the information described above was not easily accessible to users or the information was shown on the interfaces of the payment accepting units, which were limited in the amount and the type of information that can be displayed.

64. Upon information and belief, CCI has and is infringing claim 11 of the '772 patent in this District and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, directly or through intermediaries, using products with mobile payment functionality, covered by claim 11 of the '772 patent to the injury of PayRange. CCI is directly infringing, literally infringing, and/or infringing the '772 patent under the doctrine of equivalents. CCI is thus liable for infringement of the '772 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

65. **Exhibit 6**, which is incorporated herein, provides an element-by-element comparison between CCI and claim 11 of the '772 patent.

66. CCI actively encourages their business partners and/or customers to use FasCard in an infringing manner. CCI encouraged infringement with a specific intent to cause its business partners and customers to infringe. CCI's acts thus constitute active inducement of patent infringement in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

-14-

Case 6:24-cv-00339 Document 1 Filed 06/24/24 Page 15 of 16

67. CCI's direct infringement and inducement of infringement have irreparably harmed PayRange. On information and belief, PayRange has lost prospective customers and was forced to compete against its own technology, at least in part, due to CCI's infringement. The continued infringement harms PayRange's reputation in the marketplace and discouraging other potential customers from purchasing PayRange's solutions. These reputational and business harms cannot be adequately remedied by monetary compensation.

68. Upon information and belief, CCI will continue to infringe the '772 patent unless enjoined.

69. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, PayRange is entitled to damages adequate to compensate for the infringement.

70. This case is "exceptional" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, and PayRange is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PayRange requests that the Court find in its favor and against CCI, and that the Court grant PayRange the following relief:

- a. Judgment that CCI infringes the patents-in-suit;
- b. Judgment that CCI is jointly and severally liable for infringement of the patents-insuit.
- c. That PayRange be granted with injunctive relief against CCI and its officers, employees, agents, servants, attorneys, instrumentalities, and/or those in privity with them, to prevent the recurrence of the infringing activities complained of herein, including an injunction against further installations of infringing payment modules, discontinue providing service to installed modules practicing infringing technology, and removing all infringing mobile apps from all third party app stores such as the

Google Play Store and Apple App Store, and for all further proper injunctive relief pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283;

- d. Judgment that CCI account for and pay to PayRange all damages and costs incurred by PayRange, caused by CCI's infringing activities complained of herein;
- e. Judgment that CCI willfully infringed and increase the damages award to PayRange up to three times the amount assessed, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- f. That PayRange be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages;
- g. That this Court declare this an exceptional case and award PayRange reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- h. That PayRange be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of this action.

Dated: June 24, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Ryan R. Smith

James C. Yoon (Admitted to Practice WDTX; CA State Bar No. 177155) Ryan R. Smith (Admitted to Practice WDTX; CA State Bar No. 229323) Jamie Y. Otto (PHV forthcoming) WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Tel: (650) 493-9300

Attorneys for Plaintiff PayRange Inc.