

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

Lab Technology LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:24-CV-0323-JRG-RSP

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

1. Plaintiff Lab Technology LLC (“Plaintiff”), through its attorneys, complains of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless (“Defendant”), and alleges the following:

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Lab Technology LLC is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of New Mexico that maintains its principal place of business at 1209 Mountain Rd Pl NE STE n, Albuquerque, NM 87110.

3. Defendant Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless is a general partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a principal place of business at One Verizon Way, Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920.

JURISDICTION

4. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

5. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

VENUE

7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has an established place of business in this District. In addition, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District, and Plaintiff has suffered harm in this district.

PATENTS-IN-SUIT

8. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent Nos. 8,503,973; 8,515,032; and 9,219,982 (the “Patents-in-Suit”); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patents-in-Suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patents-in-Suit by Defendant.

THE '973 PATENT

9. The '973 Patent is entitled “Method and system for obtaining emergency caller location,” and issued 2013-08-06. The application leading to the '973 Patent was filed on 2011-12-23. A true and correct copy of the '973 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

THE '032 PATENT

10. The '032 Patent is entitled “System to record and analyze voice message usage information,” and issued 2013-08-20. The application leading to the '032 Patent was filed on

2011-04-28. A true and correct copy of the '032 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2 and incorporated herein by reference.

THE '982 PATENT

11. The '982 Patent is entitled "Apparatus and method for automatically refreshing a display of a telephone," and issued 2015-12-22. The application leading to the '982 Patent was filed on 2015-06-04. A true and correct copy of the '982 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 3 and incorporated herein by reference.

COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '973 PATENT

12. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

13. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '973 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '973 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '973 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '973 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

14. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '973 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

15. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement.** The service of the Original Complaint filed May 3, 2024, and this Amended Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited, constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

16. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '973 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '973 Patent. See Exhibit 4 (extensively referencing these materials to demonstrate how they direct end users to commit patent infringement).

17. **Induced Infringement.** At least since being served by this Complaint and corresponding claim charts, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '973 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '973 Patent.

18. Exhibit 4 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '973 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '973 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '973 Patent Claims.

19. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 4.

20. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

COUNT 2: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '032 PATENT

21. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

22. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '032 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '032 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '032 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '032 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

23. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '032 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

24. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement.** The service of this Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited, constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

25. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '032 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '032 Patent. See Exhibit 5

(extensively referencing these materials to demonstrate how they direct end users to commit patent infringement).

26. **Induced Infringement.** At least since being served by this Complaint and corresponding claim charts, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '032 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '032 Patent.

27. Exhibit 5 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '032 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '032 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '032 Patent Claims.

28. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 5.

29. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

COUNT 3: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '982 PATENT

30. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

31. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '982 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '982 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '982 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of

equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '982 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

32. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '982 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

33. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement.** The service of this Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited, constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

34. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '982 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '982 Patent. See Exhibit 6 (extensively referencing these materials to demonstrate how they direct end users to commit patent infringement).

35. **Induced Infringement.** At least since being served by this Complaint and corresponding claim charts, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '982 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '982 Patent.

36. Exhibit 6 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '982 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products

practice the technology claimed by the '982 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '982 Patent Claims.

37. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 6.

38. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

JURY DEMAND

39. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the '973 Patent is valid and enforceable
- B. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly and indirectly one or more claims of the '973 Patent;
- C. A judgment that the '032 Patent is valid and enforceable
- D. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly and indirectly one or more claims of the '032 Patent;
- E. A judgment that the '982 Patent is valid and enforceable
- F. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly and indirectly one or more claims of the '982 Patent;
- G. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;
- H. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's continuing or future infringement, up until the date such judgment

is entered with respect to the '973; '032; and '982 Patents, including pre- or post-judgment interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

- I. And, if necessary, to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement, an accounting:
- i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys fees against Defendant that it incurs in prosecuting this action;
 - ii. that Plaintiff be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and
 - iii. that Plaintiff be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: August 21, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Isaac Rabicoff
Isaac Rabicoff
Rabicoff Law LLC
4311 N Ravenswood Ave Suite 315
Chicago, IL 60613
7736694590
isaac@rabilaw.com

**Counsel for Plaintiff
Lab Technology LLC**