IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION

Auth Token LLC,	Case No. 6:24-CV-251-RP
Plaintiff,	Patent Case
v.	Jury Trial Demanded
Automatic Data Processing, Inc.,	
Defendant.	

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

1.   Plaintiff Auth Token LLC ("Plaintiff"), through its attorneys, complains of

Automatic Data Processing, Inc. ("Defendant"), and alleges the following:

PARTIES

2.   Plaintiff Auth Token LLC is a corporation organized and existing under the laws

of Delaware that maintains its principal place of business at 261 West 35th St, Suite 1003, New

York, NY 10001.

3.   Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc. is a corporation organized and

existing under the laws of Delaware that maintains an established place of business at 18911

Hardy Oak Blvd, San Antonio, TX 78258.

JURISDICTION

4.   This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the

United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

5.   This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6.   This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

VENUE

7.   Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has an established place of business in this District. In addition, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District, and Plaintiff has suffered harm in this district.

PATENT-IN-SUIT

8.   Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 8,375,212 (the "Patent-in-Suit"); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patent-in-Suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patent-in-Suit by Defendant.

THE '212 PATENT

9.   The '212 Patent is entitled "Method for personalizing an authentication token," and issued 2013-02-12. The application leading to the '212 Patent was filed on 2010-12-27. A true and correct copy of the '212 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '212 PATENT

10.   Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

11.   **Direct Infringement**. Defendant directly infringed one or more claims of the '212 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing,

without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this

Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringed at least the exemplary

method claims of the '212 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below

(the "Exemplary '212 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information

and belief, numerous other devices that infringed the claims of the '212 Patent have been made,

used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

12.   Defendant also directly infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents,

the Exemplary '212 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these

Exemplary Products.

13.   Exhibit 2 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '212 Patent Claims to the

Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products

practice the technology claimed by the '212 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant

Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '212 Patent Claims.

14.   Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim

charts of Exhibit 2.

15.   Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's

infringement.

JURY DEMAND

16.   Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully

requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

A.   A judgment that the '212 Patent is valid and enforceable

B.   A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly one or more claims of the '212 Patent;

C.   An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;

D.   A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's past infringement at least with respect to the '212 Patent.

E.   And, if necessary, to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement, an accounting:

  i.   that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys fees against Defendant that it incurs in prosecuting this action;

  ii.   that Plaintiff be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and

  iii.   that Plaintiff be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: October 25, 2024   Respectfully submitted,

                                           /s/ Isaac Rabicoff
                                           Isaac Rabicoff
                                           Rabicoff Law LLC
                                           4311 N Ravenswood Ave Suite 315
                                           Chicago, IL 60613
                                           7736694590
                                           isaac@rabilaw.com

                                           Counsel for Plaintiff
                                           Auth Token LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing document was served on all parties

who have appeared in this case on October 25, 2024 via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Isaac Rabicoff
Isaac Rabicoff