# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. This is a claim for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seg.*, and specifically 35 U.S.C. § 6271.
  - 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).
- 5. As Arizona corporations, Desert Whale I and Desert Whale II are subject to personal jurisdiction in Arizona.
  - 6. Venue is proper in this Court.

# FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 7. Since 1975, Floratech has been a leader in providing cosmetic formulation specifications, ingredients, and product development.
- 8. Floratech offers an extensive and innovative selection of superior botanical emollients and specialty particles to the cosmetics and personal care industry.
- 9. Floratech's botanical ingredients include: gentle exfoliatives, oil-free jojoba esters, Macadamia oil and esters, stabilized high-oleic acid sunflower oil and derivatives, water-soluble emollients and soft spheres delivering customizable additives to match your needs.
- 10. Floratech was the first ISO 9001:2000 certified jojoba oil manufacturer and has adopted the Cosmetic, Toiletries and Fragrance Association's Consumer Commitment Code (CCC) to ensure its customers receive the safest possible ingredients.
- 11. Floratech's customers expect and receive the highest quality ingredients for use in their cosmetic formulations.
- 12. Floratech's innovations have been widely recognized in the cosmetics industry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

13.	As	a	leader	in	the	cosmetics	industry,	Floratech	has	developed	aı
intellectual	prope	erty	portfol	lio t	to pro	otect its inr	novations i	in the deve	lopm	ents of jojo	ba
derived ma	terials	, as	well as	oth	er na	itural produ	cts.				

- 14. On October 14, 2008, U.S. Patent No. 7,435,424 B1 entitled High Unsaponifiables and Methods of Using Same (the "'424 Patent") was duly and legally issued in the name of inventors Lee Roy Copeland, Robert Kleiman, Sambasivarao Koritala, James Brown, and Melanie Cummings. At issuance, the '424 Patent was assigned to Floratech.
- 15. Desert Whale has been making, using, selling or offering for sale, without a license or authority from Floratech, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, products that embody the inventions claimed in the '424 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).
- 16. The products Desert Whale sells under the names Jojoba Hydrate and Jojoba Illuminate infringe the '424 Patent. Upon information and belief, other Desert Whale products may also infringe the '424 Patent.
- 17. Desert Whale has and will continue to induce others (including Desert Whale's customers) to infringe the '424 Patent.
- 18. Floratech has provided notice to Desert Whale of Desert Whale's infringement. Nonetheless, Desert Whale continues to infringe and induce infringement of the '424 Patent.
- 19. Floratech has been damaged by Desert Whale's acts of infringement of the '424 Patent and will continue to be damaged by Desert Whale's infringement and inducement of infringement, unless the infringement by Desert Whale is enjoined by this Court.
- 20. Desert Whale has had actual knowledge of the specifications and issued claims of the '424 Patent and its continuing infringement of the '424 Patent is willful and deliberate.

1001 124 (004)

21. Floratech provided notice of its patent rights as set forth in the '424 Patent in full compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 287(a).

### **CAUSE OF ACTION**

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,435,424 B1)

- 22. Floratech repeats and incorporates by reference its allegations set forth above.
- 23. Desert Whale's acts in making, using, selling or offering for sale, without a license or authority from Floratech, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, products that embody the inventions claimed in the '424 Patent constitute infringement of '424 Patent.
- 24. As a direct and proximate cause of Desert Whale's infringement Floratech has suffered damages in excess of the jurisdictional minimums of this Court.
- 25. Desert Whale's infringement of the '424 Patent is willful. This is an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. §§ 284, 285 and the Court should award Floratech its attorneys' fees incurred bringing this action, treble damages and up to three times Desert Whale's profits derived from infringement.

## **JURY DEMAND**

26. Floratech requests trial by jury.

# **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Floratech prays that this Court enter judgment against Desert Whale as follows:

A. That Desert Whale, its officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys and all persons in active concert or participation with Desert Whale, be found to have infringed the valid U.S. Patent No. 7,435,424 B1.

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

B.	That Desert Whale, its officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys and
	all persons in active concert or participation with Desert Whale, be
	permanently enjoined from making, using, selling or offering for sale, without
	a license or authority from Floratech, products that embody the inventions
	claimed in the '424 Patent

- C. That Floratech be compensated for the damages caused by Desert Whale's infringement in an amount determined by an accounting, but not less than a reasonable royalty plus interest.
- D. That the award of damages be trebled as provided in 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- E. That Floratech be awarded its costs and attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- F. That Floratech be awarded such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

DATED this 8th day of April, 2009.

#### KERCSMAR & FELTUS PLLC

By: s/ Geoffrey S. Kercsmar

Geoffrey S. Kercsmar Gregory B. Collins 6263 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite 320 Scottsdale, Arizona 85250 Counsel for Plaintiff International Flora Technologies, Ltd.