CONFORM COPY

Daniel M. Cislo, Esq., No. 125,378
Daniel M. Cislo, Esq., No. 125,378 Kelly W. Cunningham, Esq., No. 186,229
CISLO & THOMAS LLP
1333 2nd Street, Suite 500
Santa Monica, California 90401
Telephone: (310) 451-0647 Telefax: (310) 394-4477
Telefax: (310) 394-4477

Attorneys for Plaintiff AB COASTER HOLDINGS, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AB COASTER HOLDINGS, INC., a) CASE NO. Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

V

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NETNETSTORE.COM, INC., an Ohio corporation; RAKATAK.COM; JEREMY W. RAK, an individual; JOHNNY RAK, an individual and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

CV 08-07968

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR:

(1) PATENT INFRINGEMENT;

UNFAIR COMPETITION

(2) TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT; (3) STATE AND COMMON LAW

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

For its Complaint, Plaintiff AB COASTER HOLDINGS, INC. ("Ab Coaster Holdings") hereby alleges and asserts as follows:

I. PARTIES

1. Ab Coaster Holdings is a Delaware corporation with offices in Wilmington, Delaware, and is the owner of, among other intellectual property, United States Patent Nos. 7,455,633 ("the '633 patent) and D565,134 ("the '134

Mark").

1

5

6 7

8 9 10

90401-4110 SIMILE: (310) 394-4477 11 12 13 14

SANTA MONICA, (310) 451-0647 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

15

Defendant NETNETSTORE.COM, INC. is believed to be an 2. a. Ohio corporation ("NetNetStore") with a business address of 863 Broadway, Lorain, Ohio 44052;

patent); and United States Trademark Registration No. 3,407,362 for AB

COASTER for manually-operated exercise equipment ("the AB COASTER

- Defendant RAKATAK.COM is believed to be a dba of h. NetNetStore or a company of unknown origin and status ("Rakatak") with a business address of 863 Broadway, Lorain, Ohio 44052; and
- c. Defendant JEREMY W. RAK is believed to be an individual residing in the State of Ohio. Jeremy Rak is believed to be the president and owner of Defendant Rakatak.
- d. Defendant JOHNNY RAK is believed to be an individual residing in the State of Ohio. Johnny Rak is believed to be the owner of Defendant NetNetStore.
- 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate or otherwise of Defendants Does 1-10 inclusive, are unknown to Ab Coaster Holdings, which therefore sues them by such fictitious names. Ab Coaster Holdings will seek leave to amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when they have been ascertained. Ab Coaster Holdings is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged and that Ab Coaster Holdings' damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by those Defendants. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants Does 1-10 inclusive were the agents, servants, employees or attorneys of their co-defendants, and in doing the things hereinafter alleged were acting within the course and scope of their

permission and consent of their co-defendants (hereinafter, collectively with the named defendants, "Defendants").

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

authority as those agents, servants, employees or attorneys, and with the

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) since the patent and trademark infringement claims arise under Acts of Congress, including the Federal Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1121(a), and 1125(a); the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. §§ 281 and 289. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the unfair competition claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(b) and over the other State and common law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 5. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) since a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district. Additionally, venue as to netnetstore.com and Rakatak.com is believed to be proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) since these defendants are believed to be corporations subject to personal jurisdiction in this district. Additionally, venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400 to the extent that the Defendants' Internet website, through which Defendants have offered and continue to offer the accused products in violation of both patent and trademark rights of Ab Coaster Holdings, constitutes a regular and established place of business in this judicial district.
- 6. Upon information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because they have offered and continue to offer for sale the accused products in this judicial district through, among possibly other media and

methods, the Internet website, www.rakatak.com. As a result, a substantial portion of the acts and omissions by Defendants that give rise to this action occurred in this judicial district. Additionally, although Ab Coaster Holdings is presently unable to verify that Defendants have imported any of the accused products through the ports in this judicial district and continues to investigate this issue, Ab Coaster Holdings is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges that Defendants have imported at least some of its products for resale, not necessarily the accused products, through the ports in this judicial district.

III. FACTS GIVING RISE TO THIS ACTION

- 7. Ab Coaster Holdings markets, distributes, and sells throughout the nation and many other countries a manually-operated exercise device known as the Ab Coaster. Ab Coaster Holdings is also the owner of certain intellectual property in and to the Ab Coaster, including United States Patent Nos. 7,455,633 ("the '633 patent) and D565,134 ("the '134 patent); and United States Trademark Registration No. 3,407,362 for AB COASTER ("the federal trademark registration").
- 8. Ab Coaster Holdings attaches as Exhibit 1 to this complaint a true and correct image of its authentic Ab Coaster. Ab Coaster Holdings attaches as Exhibits 2 and 3 to this complaint a true and correct copy of the '633 patent and the '134 patent, respectively. Ab Coaster Holdings attaches as Exhibit 4 to this complaint a true and correct copy of the AB COASTER federal trademark registration.
- 9. At all times relevant to this action, Ab Coaster Holdings has been the sole and exclusive owner of the '633 patent, the '134 patent, and the federal

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

trademark registration, and the '633 patent and the '134 patent have been valid and fully enforceable. They remain valid and fully enforceable today.

- 10. Additionally, since at least as early as March 1, 2007, Ab Coaster Holdings has marketed, distributed, and sold its Ab Coasters in interstate commerce under its AB COASTER trademark and has marked each of its Ab Coasters with the proper patent notice.
- 11. Ab Coaster Holdings has extensively advertised and promoted its Ab Coaster product throughout the United States and in other countries bearing the AB COASTER trademark. As a result, Ab Coaster Holdings has developed a strong reputation and trademark significance in its AB COASTER mark in the minds of the public and trade.
- 12. On or about December 1, 2008, Ab Coaster Holdings became aware of Defendants' attempts to sell a counterfeit Ab Coaster through, at least, its Ab Coaster Holdings attaches as Exhibit 1 to this website, rakatak.com. complaint a true and correct copy of the Internet web page from rakatak.com that contains this counterfeit Ab Coaster, complete with an unauthorized use of the federally registered AB COASTER trademark.
- 13. This counterfeit Ab Coaster is identical in every minute detail to the authentic Ab Coaster that is protected by the '633 and '134 patents. The design is identical in every minute detail and feature to the design that is claimed and protected by the '134 patent, and infringement of the '134 patent therefore cannot be legitimately disputed. The '633 patent is likewise infringed since each and every element and limitation in the claims of the '633 patent, properly construed, read on the counterfeit Ab Coaster.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

14. Upon information and belief, Ab Coaster Holdings alleges that Defendants have used, are using, and intend to continue using in commerce the term AB COASTER as a trademark for the sale of exercise products in such as way as will likely cause confusion or mistake, or will likely deceive the public into believing that Defendants' products are affiliated with or approved by Ab Coaster Holdings.

- 15. Defendants market and sell their exercise products using the same channels of trade and to the same or a similar class of consumers as Ab Coaster, even to the use of the identical trademark to sell its infringing product.
- 16. On information and belief, Defendants have adopted and are marketing and selling its exercise products with full knowledge of Ab Coaster Holdings' trademark rights in the AB COASTER Mark and without ever seeking or obtaining any consent or authorization from Ab Coaster Holdings for such use of the AB COASTER Mark.
- Ab Coaster Holdings hereby seeks (1) injunctive relief against 17. Defendants' continuing infringement of Ab Coaster Holdings' patent rights, including in and to the '633 patent and the '134 patent; 2) injunctive relief against Defendants' continued unauthorized and improper commercial use of a trademark confusingly similar to the AB COASTER Mark for sales of exercise devices; and (3) reimbursement of Ab Coaster Holdings' attorneys' fees and costs for having to bring this suit to enforce its patent and trademark rights.

/// 26

27 ///

28 ///

SUITE 500 1333 2ND STREET SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401-4110 HONE: (310) 451-0647 FACSIMILE: (310) 394-4477

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF PATENT INFRINGEMENT 35 U.S.C §§ 281 and 289

18. Ab Coaster Holdings repeats and alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 17 of this Complaint, and incorporates them herein as though set forth in full.

- 19. This claim is against all Defendants and each of them for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 *et seq.*, including specifically, §§ 281 and 289.
- 20. By making, using, importing, offering to sell, and/or selling, and continuing to make, use, import, offer to sell and/or sell counterfeit Ab Coasters as discussed above, Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '633 and '134 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 21. Upon information and belief, Ab Coaster Holdings alleges that Defendants' foregoing infringing acts have been with the full knowledge of Ab Coaster Holdings' rights and interests, thereby constituting willful patent infringement.
- 22. Ab Coaster Holdings has been damaged by Defendants' acts as alleged in this complaint, and the Defendants have profited thereby. Ab Coaster Holdings is entitled to a complete accounting of all revenue derived by Defendants from the unlawful conduct alleged herein in order to determine the full amount of money damages which Ab Coaster Holdings has suffered due to Defendants' acts of infringement.

23. Furthermore, the harm to Ab Coaster Holdings arising from Defendants' acts of infringement of its issued patents is not fully compensable by money damages. Rather, Ab Coaster Holdings has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable harm which has no adequate remedy at law and which will continue unless Defendants' conduct is enjoined. Ab Coaster Holdings is therefore also entitled to a preliminary injunction, to be made permanent on entry of the judgment, preventing Defendants from further infringement.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF FEDERAL TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114 and 1125a

- 24. Ab Coaster Holdings repeats and alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 23 of this Complaint, and incorporates them herein as though set forth in full.
- 25. This claim is against all Defendants and each of them for trademark infringement under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, et seq., including specifically, §§ 1114 and 1125(a).
- 26. Defendants are using the AB COASTER trademark in connection with offers to sell counterfeit Ab Coaster exercise products in such as way as will likely cause confusion or mistake, or will likely deceive the public in relation to their products being associated or identified or being the same as those of Ab Coaster Holdings.
- 27. Ab Coaster Holdings never consented to or authorized Defendants' adoption or use of the AB COASTER mark for sales of any products. Defendants

therefore have infringed and are infringing the federally registered AB COASTER trademark in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114 and 1125(a).

- 28. The Ninth Circuit considers the following non-exclusive factors to determine whether there is a likelihood of confusion: similarity of the marks; similarity of the products or services; similarity of the marketing channels used; and likelihood of expansion in product lines; strength of plaintiff's mark; defendant's intent in selecting its mark; likely degree of care of purchasers; and evidence of actual confusion.
- 29. The AB COASTER Mark is very strong after years of extensive, national marketing and promotion, significant sales volume, critical acclaim, and widespread public recognition. Defendants use the AB COASTER trademark for the sale of identical or virtually identical exercise products that so closely resemble Ab Coaster Holdings' exercise products and share such similar marketing channels as to cause a likelihood of confusion. These points of similarity, among a surplus of other evidence under these Ninth Circuit factors, weigh in favor of both a likelihood of confusion as well as a strong suggestion that Defendants intended and still intend to ride on Ab Coaster Holdings' substantial goodwill.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Ab Coaster Holdings alleges that, at all times relevant to this action, including when Defendants first adopted the federally registered AB COASTER trademark and commenced their commercial use of the mark on counterfeit exercise products, Defendants knew of Ab Coaster Holdings' prior adoption and widespread commercial use of the AB COASTER trademark on identical exercise products, and knew of the valuable goodwill and reputation acquired by Ab Coaster Holdings in connection with the AB COASTER

trademark. Defendants' infringement of the AB COASTER trademark is therefore willful and deliberate.

- 31. Ab Coaster Holdings has no control over the composition and quality of the infringing goods sold by Defendants. Ab Coaster Holdings is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Defendants' use of the AB COASTER trademark has caused confusion and mistake and the deception of purchasers as to the source of origin of Defendants' infringing products. Because of the confusion as to the source engendered by the Defendants' unauthorized use of the AB COASTER trademark, Ab Coaster Holdings' valuable goodwill developed at great expense and effort by Ab Coaster Holdings is being harmed and at risk of further damage.
- 32. The goodwill of Ab Coaster Holdings' business under the AB COASTER trademark is of enormous value, and Ab Coaster Holdings will suffer irreparable harm should Defendants' infringement be allowed to continue to the great detriment of its reputation and goodwill. Defendants' infringement will continue unless enjoined.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER STATE and COMMON LAW

(California Business & Professions Code, §§ 17200, 17203)

33. Ab Coaster Holdings repeats and alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 32 of this Complaint, and incorporates them herein as though set forth in full.

- 34. The AB COASTER trademark is wholly associated with Ab Coaster Holdings due to its extensive marketing efforts, sales successes, and pervasive use thereof and as such, Ab Coaster Holdings has developed valuable assets in its mark and the aforementioned products, it is only fair and legitimate that Ab Coaster Holdings be able to continue its business without unfair, improper, unauthorized, and illegal interference by Defendants as alleged herein.
- 35. Defendants' intentional misuse of the federally registered AB COASTER trademark in commerce in connection with its marketing and/or sale of its counterfeit products appears purposefully directed at undercutting Ab Coaster Holdings' legitimate business involving its products. This misuse therefore constitutes unfair competition in violation of the California Business and Professions Code, §§ 17200 and 17203.
- 36. Ab Coaster Holdings alleges that the aforesaid acts of unfair competition undertaken by Defendants were intentionally and knowingly performed and directed toward perpetuating a business competing unfairly with Ab Coaster Holdings and were done with a willful disregard for the rights of Ab Coaster Holdings.
- 37. By reason of Defendants' acts of unfair competition, Ab Coaster Holdings has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury unless and until this Court enters an order enjoining Defendants from any further acts of unfair competition. Defendants' continuing acts of unfair competition, unless enjoined, will cause irreparable damage to Ab Coaster Holdings in that it will have no adequate remedy at law to compel Defendants to cease such acts, and no way to determine its losses proximately caused by such acts of Defendants. Ab Coaster Holdings will also be compelled to prosecute a multiplicity of actions, one

9

10

18

19

25

24

27

28

26

action each time Defendants, or any one of them, commit such acts, and in each such action it will still be extremely difficult to ascertain the amount of compensation which will afford adequate relief to Ab Coaster Holdings. Coaster Holdings is therefore entitled to a preliminary injunction and a permanent injunction against further infringing conduct by Defendants.

- 38. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid acts of unfair competition, Defendants have wrongfully taken Ab Coaster Holdings' profits and the benefit of their creativity and investment of time, energy and money. Defendants should therefore disgorge all profits from the sale of infringing products and further should be ordered to perform full restitution to Ab Coaster Holdings as a consequence of Defendants' infringing activities.
- 39. In doing the acts hereinabove alleged, Defendants have acted fraudulently, oppressively, and maliciously, and, by reason thereof, Ab Coaster Holdings is entitled to exemplary and punitive damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Ab Coaster Holdings prays for relief against Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:

- 1. For a judgment that Defendants have infringed Ab Coaster Holdings' rights in and to its '633 patent;
- 2. For a judgment that Defendants have infringed Ab Coaster Holdings' rights in and to its '134 patent;

- 3. For a judgment that Defendants have infringed Ab Coaster Holdings' rights in and to its federally registered AB COASTER trademark;
- 4. For a judgment that Defendants have committed acts of unfair competition against Ab Coaster Holdings;
- 5. For a judgment that Defendants have willfully and deliberately committed acts of patent and trademark infringement and unfair competition against Ab Coaster Holdings;
- 6. An order preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants, and each of them, and their officers, directors, agents, servants, attorneys, and employees and all other persons acting in concert with them from, including but not limited to:
 - a) committing any further acts of patent infringement, trademark infringement, or unfair competition,
 - b) any further use of the AB COASTER trademark, or any colorable imitation thereof, on any product;
 - c) representing directly or indirectly in any form or manner whatsoever that any product is or was ever associated with or approved by Ab Coaster Holdings when, in fact, it is not and never was,
 - d) committing any other acts calculated to compete unfairly with Ab Coaster Holdings in any manner, and
 - e) inducing or enabling any other to commit any of the foregoing wrongs;
- 7. For an order seizing and impounding all infringing products and all manufacturing supplies in Defendants' possession or control;

4 5 6

8 9

7

10 11 12

13 SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNI FELEPHONE: (310) 451-0647 14

15 16 17

> 18 19 20

> > 21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

- 8. For an order for an accounting and disgorgement of Defendants' profits from its infringing and unfair business activity;
- 9. For an order awarding to Ab Coaster Holdings the maximum allowable damages to adequate to fully compensate Ab Coaster Holdings for the harm caused by Defendants' patent infringement, increased to three times this amount;
- For an order awarding to Ab Coaster Holdings the maximum 10. allowable damages resulting from Defendants' trademark infringement, including the greater of Ab Coaster Holdings' lost profits and Defendants illicit profits, increased to three times this amount;
- For an order for Ab Coaster Holdings' attorneys' fees and costs Ab 11. Coaster Holdings incurred in having to bring and sustain this action for the legal enforcement of its patent and trademark rights, and it rights to be free from unfair competition; and

///

///

///

12. For such other and further equitable and legal relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

CISLO & THOMAS LLP

Dated: December 3, 2008

Daniel M. Cislo, Esq.

Kelly W. Cunningham, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff AB COASTER HOLDINGS, INC.

T:\08-21960\Complaint for patent and trademark infringement.DOC

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff AB COASTER HOLDINGS, INC. hereby demands a trial by jury as provided by Rule 38(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and by the Local Rules of this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

CISLO & THOMAS LLP

Dated: December 3, 2008

Daniel M. Cislo, Esq.

Kelly W. Cunningham, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff AB COASTER HOLDINGS, INC.

T:\08-21960\Complaint for patent and trademark infringement.DOC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been	assigned to District	Judge George H.	Wu and the	e assigned	discovery
Magistrate Judge is Victo	r B. Kenton.				

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV08- 7968 GW (VBKx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X]	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Ц	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516	Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 13 Riverside, CA 92501	34
	Loo / Ingoloo, O/ DOU 12		Janua Ana, 5A 52761-4616	Kiverside, OA 32001	

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Case 2:08-cv-07968-GW-VBK	Document 1	Filed 12/03/08	Page 18 of 20	Page ID #:
---------------------------	------------	----------------	---------------	------------



	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
AB COASTER HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation,	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CV08-07968 GW 1814
NETNETSTORE.COM, INC., an Ohio corporation; RAKATAK.COM; JEREMY W. RAK, an individual; JOHNNY RAK, an individual and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	
TO: THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT(S):	
	file with this court and serve upon plaintiff's attorney nose address is:
an answer to the IX complaint □ame	THOMAS LLP treet, Suite 500 , California 90401 451-0647 0) 394-4477 ended complaint □ counterclaim □ cross-claim vs after service of this Summons upon you, exclusive by default will be taken against you for the relief
	Clerk, U.S. District Court
DEC - 3 2008 Dated:	By: Deputy Clerk
	1192

Case 2:08-UNITEDSTATES LESTRICE GOURT CENTRAL DISTRICT PEGGALIFORNIA Page ID #:19 CIVIL COVER SHEET

				CIVIL COVE	K SHEET						
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) AB COASTER HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation,					RAKAT	ETSTORE.C	EREMY	C., an Ohio corp W. RAK, an ind ES 1-10, inclusi	lividual; J	ОНИМУ	,
(b) County of Residence of Fire County of Los Angeles	st Listed	Plaintiff (Except in U.S.	Plaintif	f Cases):	County of State of		rirst Listed	Defendant (In U.S	. Plaintiff C	ases Only	') :
(c) Attomeys (Firm Name, Adyourself, provide same.) Daniel M. Cislo, Esq. Kelly W. Cunningham CISLO & THOMAS LI 1333 2nd Street, Suite Santa Monica, Califor	, Esq. _P e 500	·	•	representing) 451-0647	Attorneys	(If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	N (Place	e an X in one box only.)				PRINCIPAL I		- For Diversity Cas	es Only		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 13	Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This		•	PTF DE	CF .			TF DEF]4 □4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendan	t ['] □4	Diversity (Indicate Citize of Parties in Item III)	enship	Citizen of Anotl	ner State		□2 □:	2 Incorporated an of Business in .			15 🗆 5
				Citizen or Subje	ct of a Fore	eign Country	□3 □:	3 Foreign Nation]6 □6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in or 1 Original □ 2 Remove Proceeding State Communication	ed from	• ,		einstated or 🔲 :	Transferr	ed from anoth	er district (Dis	nlti- strict igation	Judge f	to District rom rate Judge
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL	AINT:	JURY DEMAND: 🗶	Yes □	No (Check 'Yes	only if d	emanded in co	mplaint.)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	.P. 23:	☐ Yes 🗷 No		X	MONEY D	EMANDED	IN COMP	LAINT: \$ Damag	ges to be d	etermine	d at trial.
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cit Patent infringement under competition under 28 U.S.	28 US C. Sec	ction 1338(b)	ich you 1 1338	are filing and wr (a); Tradema	ite a brief s k infringe	tatement of ca ement unde	use. Do n r 35 USC	ot cite jurisdictiona Sections 281 a	statutes un and 289; l	less diver Jnfair	rsity.)
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Plac	e an X	in one box only.)									
□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities □ /Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes □ 890 Other Statutory Actions	110 120 130 140 150 151 152 153 160 190 195 210 220 230 245 290	Insurance Marine Miller Act Negotiable Instrument Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment Medicare Act Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) Recovery of Overpayment of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract Contract Product Liability Land Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land Tort Product Liability All Other Real Property	□ 310 □ 315 □ 320 □ 330 □ 340 □ 355 □ 360 □ 362 □ 365 □ 368	SONAL INJURY Airplane Airplane Produ Liability Assault, Libel & Slander Fed. Employers Liability Marine Marine Product Liability Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle Product Liabilit Other Personal Injury Personal Injury Med Malpractic Personal Injury Product Liabilit Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability	1 370 371	Withdrawal 2 USC 157 WIL RIGHTS Voting Employment Housing/Acc mmodations Welfare Other Civil Rights	530	PRISONER PETITIONS Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus General Cotto Habeas Corpus Habeas Corpus Habeas Habe	☐ 710 Fa ☐ AA ☐ 720 La Re ☐ 730 La Re ☐ 740 Ra ☐ 790 Oc ☐ 791 Er ☐ 820 Cc ※ 830 Pa ☐ 840 Tr ☐ 861 H1 ☐ 862 Bi ☐ 863 Di ☐ 864 SS ☐ 865 RS ☐ 865 RS ☐ 870 Ta ☐ 871 IR	ct abor/Mgm elations abor/Mgm eporting & isclosure / ailway Lal ther Labor mpl. Ret. I ccurity Ac PERTY R Dpyrights attent ademark AL SECI (IA (1395fi ack Lung (WC/DIW 05(g)) SID Title 2 SI (405(g) SAI TAX axes (U.S. Defendar	Standards at. at. bt. check Act bor Act r Litigation Inc. t IGHTS W XVI) SUITS Plaintiff at.
f yes, list case number(s):			uil	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		. F110	 143				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case N	umber:							-		

CV-71 (01/03)

Case 2:08-cVNFFBSTATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALL ORNI Page ID #:20

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM JS-44C, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

VIII(b). RELATED CASES:	Have any cases been pre	eviously filed that are related to the present case? 🕱 No 🖂 Yes
If yes, list case number(s):	····	
1 1 1	□ A. Appear to arise fror□ B. Involve the same or□ C. Involve the same pa□ D. Call for determination	se and the present case: In the same or substantially identical transactions, happenings, or events; Is substantially the same parties or property; In the same of substantially identical questions of law, or Is sons may entail unnecessary duplication of labor if heard by different judges.
IX. VENUE: List the Californi ☐ Check here if the U.S. gover Ab Coaster Holdings	nment, its agencies or em	r than California, in which EACH named plaintiff resides (Use an additional sheet if necessary) ployees is a named plaintiff. ngeles
List the California County, or S ☐ Check here if the U.S. gove NetNetStore.com: S Rakatak.com: State Jeremy W. Rak: Stat Johnny Rak: State of	rnment, its agencies or er state of Ohio of Ohio ite of Ohio	nia, in which EACH named defendant resides. (Use an additional sheet if necessary). nployees is a named defendant. .
List the California County, or Note: In land condemnation can All Claims: County of	ses, use the location of the	ornia, in which EACH claim arose. (Use an additional sheet if necessary) e tract of land involved.
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY (OR PRO PER):	Kell (-) Date 12-3-08
Notice to Counsel/Parties or other papers as required	: The CV-71 (JS-44) Ci	vil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings oved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not rpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions
Key to Statistical codes relating	to Social Security Cases:	:
Nature of Suit C	Code Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	ніа .	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
863	DIWW .	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))

CV-71 (01/03)