11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

۱	Patrick J. Reilly, Esq.
١	Nevada Bar No. 6103
2	HOLLAND & HART LLP
١	3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 10 th Floor
3	Las Vegas, Nevada, 89169
١	Telephone: (702) 669-4600
1	Facsimile: (702) 669-4650
١	preilly@hollandhart.com
5	
١	John R. Posthumus, Esq. (pro hac vice)
5	Sheridan Ross PC
١	1560 Broadway, Suite 1200
7	Denver, CO 80202-5141
١	Tel: (303) 863-9700
3	Fax: (303) 863-0223
١	jposthumus@sheridanross.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

PATTI DONNER RUBIN, a Florida resident, Plaintiff, vs.

Case No. 2:09-cv-02419-GMN-RJJ

AMENDED COMPLAINT JURY DEMAND

THE SCOTTS COMPANY LLC, an Ohio limited liability corporation,

Defendant.

Plaintiff Patti Donner Rubin ("Plaintiff" or "Rubin"), by and through counsel alleges and complains against Defendant The Scotts Company LLC ("Defendant" or "Scotts") as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Rubin is a co-inventor and sole owner of U.S. Patent No. 7,587,856 ("the '856 patent") that discloses and claims a unique and nonobvious "Compressed Growing Medium." A copy of the '856 patent is attached as Exhibit A. Plaintiff maintains a residence in this judicial district and operates her business commercializing products under the '856 Patent in this judicial district.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Scotts is an Ohio limited liability 2. corporation having a place of business at 14111 Scottslawn Rd., Marysville, Ohio 43041. Upon

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

information and belief, Defendant, inter alia, makes, sells and distributes garden and lawn products throughout the United States, including, without limitation, in this judicial district for many years. Upon information and belief, Defendant has sold, offered for sale, promoted, and/or advertised a grass seed mix product named EZ SEED, a product that Plaintiff accuses of infringing the '856 Patent, throughout the United States, including this judicial district.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This action is for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Scotts due to its continuous and systematic business dealings relating to its garden and lawn products in the State of Nevada, including, without limitation, the sale, offering for sale, and/or advertising in Nevada the product accused of infringing the '859 Patent, i.e., the EZ SEED product.
 - 5. Venue is proper in the District of Nevada pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. Plaintiff Rubin is the president and majority shareholder of The IPatt Group, Inc. ("Ipatt"), a Las Vegas-based, small start-up soil business with seven full time employees that manufactures, packages and sells products under the name "Wonder Soil" that are covered by the '856 Patent.
- 7. In May 2005, Plaintiff started her soil business using her patent pending (at the time) technology in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 8. Prior to May 2005, Plaintiff, a resident of Florida, had investigated a number of possible sites for her business and ultimately decided that Las Vegas was the best choice because its dry climate is ideal for making soil-based products using Plaintiff's patent pending (at the time) technology.
- 9. In May 2005, while continuing to maintain a residence in Florida, Plaintiff moved to Las Vegas and started Wonder Soil. As a result, since May 2005, Plaintiff has maintained two residences, one in Florida and one in Las Vegas. Since 2006, Ms. Rubin has maintained a residence on East Desert Inn Road in Las Vegas, and from 2005 to 2006, maintained a residence

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

on Paradise Road in Las Vegas. Since 2005, Ms. Rubin has operated Wonder Soil at 3035 South Highland Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada.

- 10. The '856 patent issued September 15, 2009 from an application that was filed August 25, 2006 and that claims priority to a patent application filed on November 19, 2004.
- 11. On October 29, 2008, Scotts filed a patent application (application no. 12/260,605) relating to, upon information and belief, compressed soil technology used in the EZ SEED product. On September 29, 2009, the United States Patent and Trademark Office rejected all 33 claims in Scotts' patent application under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Plaintiff's '856 Patent.
- 12. On March 29, 2010, Scotts filed a patent application no. 12/749,222 relating to, upon information and belief, compressed soil technology used in the EZ SEED product. On July 22, 2010, the United States Patent and Trademark Office again rejected all 33 claims in Scotts' patent application under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Plaintiff's '856 Patent.
- 13. Since at lease as early as the fall of 2009, Scotts has been promoting and selling the EZ SEED product, and upon information and belief, is currently promoting and selling its EZ SEED product throughout the United States through various retailers such as Home Depot.
- 14. After learning of Scotts' infringing EZ SEED product, Plaintiff contacted Scotts to inform Scotts of the '856 Patent in September 2009.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Patent Infringement Under 35 U.S.C. § 271)

- 15. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by this reference the preceding allegations of this Complaint.
- 16. Defendant's actions as described above, and specifically Defendant's unauthorized manufacture, use, offers for sale, and sales of its EZ SEED product constitute infringement of the '856 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 17. Defendant's continued actions of making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or distributing its infringing EZ SEED product has injured, is injuring, and will cause irreparable injury to Plaintiff if not preliminarily and permanently enjoined.
 - 18. Because Defendant has actual knowledge of the '856 Patent and its applicability to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

its own EZ SEED product, and particularly in view of the actions of the United States Patent and Trademark Office in rejecting Defendant's patent applications based on Plaintiff's '856 Patent, Defendant's continued manufacture, sales, offers for sale, uses, importing, and/or distribution of its EZ SEED product will demonstrate a deliberate and conscious decision to infringe the '856 Patent or, at the very least, a reckless disregard of Plaintiff's patent rights.

- 19. Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction prohibiting Defendant from further making, using, selling, or offering to sell the EZ SEED product or any similar compressed soil/seed product without permission or license from Plaintiffs under 35 U.S.C. § 283.
- 20. Plaintiff is entitled to recover all damages caused by Defendant's infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 21. In light of the willful nature of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff is entitled to treble damages and attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, along with prejudgment interest under 35 U.S.C. §§ 284, 285.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that:

- This Court preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendant, its officers, directors, principals, agents, servants, employees, successors and assigns, and all others aiding, abetting, or acting in concert or active participation therewith, from making, using, selling, or offering to sell its EZ SEED product and any other similar compressed soil/seed product that infringes the '856 Patent;
- 2. This Court enter judgment against Defendant for and infringement of the '856 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271;
- 3. This Court order that Defendant account to Plaintiff for all sales, revenues, and profits derived from the unauthorized sale of the EZ Seed Product, and that Defendant pay to Plaintiff all compensatory damages to which Plaintiff is entitled by law, including without limitation lost profits, disgorgement of profits, reasonable royalties, price erosion damages, and/or unjust enrichment damages;
 - 4. This Court award Plaintiff three times the damages found in accordance with

Case 2:09-cv-02419-GMN -RJJ Document 34 Filed 09/15/10 Page 5 of 6

HOLLAND & HART LLP3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, 10th Floor
Las Vegas, NV 89169
Phone: (702) 669-4600; Fax: (702) 669-4650

subparagraph (e) above pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

- 5. This Court award Plaintiff, against Defendant, the costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred in this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 and the equity powers of the Court;
- 6. This Court award Plaintiff prejudgment interest against Defendant on all sums allowed by law;
- 7. This Court award Plaintiff such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby make demand that all claims or causes of action raised in this Complaint be tried by a jury to the fullest extent possible under the United States Constitution.

DATED this 15th day of September, 2010.

HOLLAND & HART LLP

By /s/ Patrick J. Reilly
Patrick J. Reilly, Esq.
3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 10th Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada, 89169

John R. Posthumus, Esq. (pro hac vice) Sheridan Ross PC 1560 Broadway, Suite 1200 Denver, CO 80202-5141

Attorneys for Plaintiff

HOLLAND & HART LLP

3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, 10th Floor Las Vegas, NV 89169 Phone: (702) 669-4600; Fax: (702) 669-4650

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 15th day of September, 2010, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **AMENDED COMPLAINT – JURY DEMAND** was served on counsel as follows:

Electronic Service through the Court's electronic service system:

Chad R. Fears, Esq. Gregory A. Brower, Esq. Snell & Wilmer 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5958 cfears@swlaw.com

/s/ Susann Thompson
An Employee of Holland & Hart LLP