Filed 02/<u>0</u>9/07 Page 1 of 32

Case 3:07-cv-00280-IEG-RBB Document 1

TO THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that defendant Foley & Lardner ("Defendant") hereby removes the above-entitled action from the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Diego ("S.D. Superior Court") to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1338(a) and 1441(a)

- 1. On December 28, 2006, plaintiff Vaxion Therapeutics, Inc. filed a complaint in the S.D. Superior Court entitled *VAXIION THERAPEUTICS, INC. v. FOLEY & LARDNER LLP, et al.*, Case No. GIC877641 (the "Complaint"). True and correct copies of all state court pleadings and process served on or by Defendant to date are attached hereto as Exhibits A & B, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a).
- Defendant was served with the complaint on January 11, 2007. Consequently, this
 Notice of Removal is timely filed.
- 3. Plaintiff sues Defendant for (1) negligence; (2) dual representation of adverse interests; (3) breach of contract; (4) interference with prospective economic advantage; and (5) constructive fraud. See Exhibit A, at pp. 4-6.
- 4. Plaintiff retained Defendant to prosecute a provisional patent application which Defendant drafted and filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office on behalf of Plaintiff on May 24, 2001. See Exhibit A, at pg. 2. Defendant filed a second, and expanded, provisional U.S. application on behalf of Plaintiff on February 25, 2002, claiming priority to the earlier May 24, 2001 U.S. provisional application. See Exhibit A, at pg. 2. Plaintiff also requested that Defendant file an international patent application under the Paris Cooperation Treaty ("PCT") based on the U.S. provisional applications. See Exhibit A, at pg. 2. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant failed to exercise reasonable care and skill by filing Plaintiff's PCT application after the one year deadline imposed by the PCT under which the PCT application could claim priority back to the May 24, 2001 U.S. provisional application filing date. See Exhibit A, at pg. 2. Plaintiff further alleges that, as a result of Defendant's failure to exercise reasonable care, an Australian-based company, EnGene, "applied for

6

3

25

27

HOWREY LLP

and has received a positive office action on the PCT patent regarding Plaintiff's technology" resulting in damage to Plaintiff. See Exhibit A, at pg. 4.

- This case may be removed under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1338(a) and 1441(a) for the following reasons:
 - Plaintiff's claims are based on the patentability and priority dates, if any, of the a. applications Defendant filed on Plaintiff's behalf, and therefore "the cause of action or . . . plaintiff's right to relief necessarily depends on resolution of a substantial question of federal patent law, [and] in that patent law is a necessary element of one of the well-pleaded claims." See Christianson v. Colt Indus. Operating Corp., 486 U.S. 800, 808-809 (1988) (citations omitted).
 - b. Plaintiff's claims involve allegations that Defendant failed to timely file a patent application, and that such conduct amounts to negligence. The determination of such a claim gives rise to a question of federal patent law. GroteApproach, Ltd. v. Reynolds, No. 3:04-CV-2735-BF, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16362, *1 (N.D. Tex. Aug. 9, 2005).
 - To prove its case Plaintiff must show that, but for Defendant's alleged c. negligence, it would have been awarded a valid and enforceable patent, if anything. As such, the prosecution of the application that would have resulted in a valid and enforceable patent, if anything, will be at issue in this case. The scope, validity and enforceability of a patent are substantial questions of federal (and international) patent law. See Air Measurement Techs., Inc., v. Hamilton, Hamilton & Terrile, LLP., No. SA-03-CA-0541-RF, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16391, *13 (W.D. Tex. Sept. 5, 2003).
 - Plaintiff alleges that, due to Defendant's failure to exercise reasonable care and d. skill, the EnGene patent applications interfere with the patentability, if any, of Plaintiff's PCT application and its related patent applications and patents, if any. To resolve this issue, the Court will have to construe and compare the relevant claims of the EnGene patent application with the properly construed relevant

1 claims of Plaintiff's PCT application and its related patent applications and patents, if any. The construction of patent claims gives rise to a question of 2 federal (and international) patent law. 3 6. The existence of a single removable claim allows removal of the entire action. 4 28 U.S.C. 1441(c); National Audubon Soc'y v. Dept. of Water & Power, 496 F. Supp. 499, 509 (E.D. Cal. 1980). 7. 7 Notice of this removal will be filed with the state court and provided to all adverse parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d). 8 9 This removal is based on this Notice of Removal to the United States District Court, the complete file in the state court case, and any other matters which the Court deems applicable. 10 11 Dated: February 9, 2007 Respectfully submitted, 12 **HOWREY LLP** 13 14 15 By: 16 Attorneys for Defendant Foley & Lardner LLP 17 18 20214593v1 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

////

Complaint

G& 3200 I

431609.1

VINCENT J. BARTOLOTTA, JR., ESQ. SB#055139 1 KAREN R. FROSTROM, ESQ. SB#207044 BRETT J. SCHREIBER, ESQ. SB#239707 2 THORSNES BARTOLOTTA McGUIRE 2550 Fifth Avenue, Eleventh Floor 3 SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT San Diego, CA 92103 Date 12-29-06 Oper 2d JRN Fax: (619) 236-9653 Phone: (619) 236-9363 4 910977641 Cose No. Attomeys for Plaintiff Receipt No. 024611-32319 5 VAXION THERAPEUTICS, INC. Trans Type AF Allocations 6 Annua : 320,00 SΕ 7 Total Alincated 320.00 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CK AND 320,60 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO Ant Paid 320.00 9 3.60 VAXION THERAPEUTICS, INC., 10 Plaintiff, 11 12 FOLEY & LARDNER LLP and DOES 1 13 through 20, inclusive, 14 Defendants. 15 Plaintiff alleges the following on information and belief unless otherwise indicated: 16 Plaintiff Vaxiion Therapeutics, Inc. ("Vaxiion") is a pharmaceutical development 17 1. company incorporated in the state of California. 18 Defendant Foley & Lardner LLP ("Foley") is a law firm operating internationally. 19 2. Upon information and belief, DOES 1 through 10 are fictitious individuals meant to 20 3. represent the officers, directors, franchisees, shareholders, founders, owners, agents, servants, 21 employees, sales representatives and/or independent contractors of Defendants who have been 22 involved in the conduct that gives rise to this Complaint, but are heretofore unknown to the Plaintiff. 23 As these Defendants are identified, Plaintiff shall amend the Complaint to include them. 24 Upon information and belief, XYZ Corporations 11 through 20 are fictitious 25 //// 26 27 ////

- 1 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

corporations meant to represent any additional corporations that have been involved in the conduct that gives rise to this Complaint, but are heretofore unknown to the Plaintiff. As these Defendants are identified, Plaintiff shall amend the Complaint to include them.

FACTUAL SUMMARY

- 5. Vaxion's work is based on the use of bacterial minicells as a multi-purpose platform technology that could prove useful in targeted gene delivery, vaccine delivery, targeted drug delivery, drug screening, molecular diagnostics, clinical diagnostics, and many other potential applications.
- 6. One of the founders of Vaxion is a professor and scientist at San Diego State University, Dr. Roger Sabbadini. Vaxiion was originally incorporated as Mpex Biosciences, Inc. (for simplicity purposes, the company will be referred to as Vaxion herein no matter what date is at issue). Three years of research work in Dr. Sabbadini's laboratory led to the preparation of a 460-claim provisional patent application entitled "Minicell Compositions and Methods." This was a rather voluminous patent and eventually Vaxiion would break this provisional application into 23 divisional applications as suggested by legal counsel.
- Vaxion retained the law firm of Foley & Lardner to prosecute its original provisional 7. patent application. Through Foley, the first provisional patent application was filed in the United States on May 24, 2001 (the "Original Filing Date"). A revised (and expanded) provisional application was prepared and submitted in the U.S. on February 25, 2002. All of the aforementioned patent applications maintain a U.S. priority date of May 24, 2001. Two of those divisional applications were published on November 13, 2003. The publication of these applications results in the presence of "public domain" art that prevents further applications, either in the U.S. or internationally, in these areas of invention.
- Under the Paris Cooperation Treaty, an applicant may obtain international protection starting from the original filing date of the U.S. provisional patent application (i.e., May 24, 2001 in this case) by filing for an international patent, called a PCT, within one year of filing with the U.S. patent office (i.e., by May 24, 2002 in this case). In other words, if the PCT application is filed within one year of the original filing date, then the PCT application is "backdated" to the Original Filing Date of May 24, 2001. If the PCT application is not filed within one year, however, the priority protection

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

is lost and the PCT application is not backdated to the Original Filing Date (i.e., May 24, 2001).

- Prior to the due date for Vaxion's PCT, Vaxion's then CEO, William Gerhart called Foley attorney Richard Warburg and reminded him that the application was due and needed to be filed. Warburg assured Gerhart that all was under control. Gerhart was also assured that Warburg was personally monitoring the work and would make sure the PCT application would be filed in the appropriate International office(s) on time.
- Notwithstanding Warburg's assurances, Foley did not file Vaxion's PCT within the 10. one year limit, but instead missed the deadline by four days, filing the PCT application on May 28, 2002. As such, the priority date for the PCT application was NOT the Original Filing Date of May 24, 2001, but instead was the Second Filing Date of February 25, 2002. Unfortunately for Vaxion, these four days were the difference between getting and losing outside the U.S. because on October 15, 2001, a competitor company called EnGene filed a U.S. patent application covering the same intellectual property as one of the Vaxiion divisional patent applications involving gene therapy and minicells. EnGene then timely filed its PCT and was able to claim, in the international arena, with priority dating back to October 15, 2001, besting Vaxion's February 25, 2002 priority date. Had Foley filed the PCT application on time, Vaxiion's international priority date would have been May 24, 2001, almost five months earlier than EnGene's priority date.
- Vaxiion's US patent application concerning gene therapy and minicells was allowed 11. - in other words confirmed as being novel and commercially viable - on February 27, 2006 with the title "Eubacterial Minicells and their use as vectors for nucleic acid delivery and expression." On May 9, 2006, the preeminent journal "Vaccine" published a peer reviewed journal article about this technology further validating its novelty and scientific merit. Vaxiion's now novel and commercially viable intellectual property protections have been limited to the United States as a direct consequence of Foley's failure to file the PCT in a timely fashion.
- In 2003, EnGene approached Vaxion about potential cross-licensing agreements. Vaxiion conducted its due diligence into EnGene's intellectual property and discovered that it was the Foley law firm that filed EnGene's October 2002 PCT, the filing of which eliminated Vaxion's chances of obtaining international protection. In other words, not only did Vaxiion's own attorneys

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

miss a key deadline that gave others a nine-month window to gain priority over Vaxiion, but it was
these same attorneys that represented the company that took advantage of this opportunity and now
has the intellectual property that Vaxion lost in the process.

13. Beginning in October 2002, Vaxiion and Foley executed tolling agreements related to liability under these facts. The most recent agreement expires on December 31, 2006.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligence (Against All Defendants)

- 14. Plaintiff repeats and alleges each and every allegation made above, fully incorporating those allegations herein.
- 15. Plaintiff had a meritorious PCT patent application to submit for approval following the submission of its United States patent application.
- 16. Defendant failed to exercise reasonable care and skill in representing Plaintiff and in submitting and/or prosecuting Plaintiff's PCT patent application. Plaintiff is informed and believes that it would have been awarded the PCT patent had the application been timely submitted. One reason for that belief is that the U.S. patent on the same technology was issued in February 2006.
- 17. As a proximate result of such negligence, another company, EnGene, applied for and has received a positive office action on the PCT patent regarding Plaintiff's technology.
 - Plaintiff has suffered injury and damages as a direct result of the failures alleged above.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Dual Representation of Adverse Interests (Against All Defendants)

- 19. Plaintiff repeats and alleges each and every allegation made above, fully incorporating those allegations herein.
- 20. At the same time Defendant was representing Plaintiff in the aforementioned action, Defendant was also retained by an Australian-based company named EnGene. The legal interests of Plaintiff and those of EnGene were actually adverse at the time of the dual representation in that both companies were applicants or potential applicants for the same US and international patent rights. This conflict was not disclosed to the Plaintiff at the time it existed. Plaintiff did not waive and would not have waived any such conflict.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

21.	As a proximate result of Defendant's dual representation and breach of duty of care and					
of fiduciary duties to Plaintiff, Plaintiff suffered injury and damage in lost profits and earning capacity						
Foley's failur	e to timely file Plaintiff's International patent.					

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Contract (Against All Defendants)

- 22. Plaintiff repeats and alleges each and every allegation made above, fully incorporating those allegations herein.
- 23. A legal services contract was executed between the Plaintiff and the Defendant related to the provision of intellectual property legal services to the Plaintiff.
 - 24. Plaintiff has at all times performed the terms of the legal services contract.
- 25. Defendants, and each of them, have failed to perform its obligations under the contract in that they failed to timely file the international patent application.
- Defendants, and each of them, have failed to perform its obligations under the contract 26. by representing interests adverse to Plaintiff while at the same time representing Plaintiff pursuant to the contract of legal representation.
- Defendants' failure to perform its obligations under the contract has directly damaged 27. Plaintiff through the loss of international profits from the technology.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage (Against All Defendants)

- 28. Plaintiff repeats and alleges each and every allegation made above, fully incorporating those allegations herein.
- 29. Defendants knew that the Plaintiff possessed a significant economic advantage in obtaining an international patent for this technology.
- Notwithstanding that knowledge, Defendants either negligently or intentionally 30. deprived Plaintiff of that advantage by successfully prosecuting an international patent application on behalf of EnGene.
- As a direct result of Defendants' interference, Plaintiff has been damaged and injured 31. through loss of profits from international markets related to this technology.

Defendants' acts alleged above were willful, wanton, malicious, and oppressive, and 32. were undertaken with the intent to defraud, and thereby justify the awarding of exemplary and punitive damages.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Constructive Fraud (Against All Defendants)

- 33. Plaintiff repeats and alleges each and every allegation made above, fully incorporating those allegations herein.
 - 34. A fiduciary duty was owed to Plaintiff by the Defendants.
- 35. The Defendants have concealed material facts from Plaintiff, including but not limited to the fact of Defendants' representation of EnGene and Defendants' submission of EnGene's international patent application. Such concealment violates the Defendants' fiduciary duty to Plaintiff.
- 36. Plaintiff suffered damage and injury as a result of Defendants' conduct in violation of their fiduciary obligations.
- 37. Defendants' acts alleged above were willful, wanton, malicious, and oppressive, and were undertaken with the intent to defraud, and thereby justify the awarding of exemplary and punitive damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore Plaintiff prays for relief accordingly:

- 1. General damages according to proof;
- 2. Special damages according to proof;
- 21 3. Punitive damages;

22 1111

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23 ////

24 ////

25 ////

26 ////

27 ////

28 1///

- 6 -

Complaint

431609.1

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	1	4. Reasonable attorneys' fees,
	2	5. Costs of suit herein incurred; and
	3	6. Such and other relief as the court may deem proper.
	4	
	5	Dated: 12-28, 2006 THORSNES, BARTOLOTTA McGUIRE
	6	
	7	By: Vm/ 2 Bartolotte 2
	8	VINCENT JØBARTOLOTTA, JR., ESQ. KAREN R. FROSTROM, ESQ.
	9	VINCENT JABARTOLOTTA, JR., ESQ. KAREN R. FROSTROM, ESQ. BRETT J. SCHREIBER, ESQ. Attorneys for Plaintiff, VAXIION THERAPEUTICS, INC.
	10	
ro)	11	
THORSNES BARTOLOTTA MCGUIRE ZEGO FFTH ANDUE BENDATH HOOR SAN RECO. CALFORM SCCO. (RB) ZE 3565 FAR (RB) ZE 9555	12	
A MCC	13	
OTTA MENTHER 4A 9200 59 236-5	14	
NES BARTOLOTT, ZEGIFTH AFRICE ELEVENH SAN MEGO, CALFORMA SCA (RIS) ZGE SZGS 1 AK (RIS) ZZG	15	
BAR FIH AVE I (NEGO.)	16	
NES ZEO E SAN (BIS) Z	17	
HORS	18	
F	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26 27	
	28	
	20	- 7 -
		Complaint 431609.1

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): Otay a hardner hit and policy in the polic	SU MONS	SUM-100
AVISO AL DEMANDADO: Other is Latchier bits and the post of the po	(CITACION JUDICIAL)	
You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court is hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find those court forms and more nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court form that you can use for your response. You can find those court forms and more nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court fam that you can use for your response. You can find those court forms and more nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court fam that you can use for your response. You can find those court forms and more nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court fam the court forms and the response of the court forms and more your pays to the feel to be case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal resultered. You can not have you can be compared to the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There are not to the response of the pays the pa		(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)
You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want to call an attending the count forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Centre lower courtlines, a professifi for contribution of the count forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Centre the filing five, ask the court letter for a few water form. If you do not from wan automory, you may want to call an attending the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attending the case of the call and the court of the case of the case of the case of the case of the plain requirements. You may want to call an attending the case of the	Foley & Lardner LLP AND DOES THROUGH 20,	
You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summorts and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or proof, call will not prove you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court from their god proved you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court from their god your work the court to hear your case. There may be a court from their god your work the court to hear your case. There may be a court form their god your case have the California Courts Collins and their god your dependence in the California Court of the California Court of the California Court of the California Court of their god your legal and your god your legal court or county bar association. Their are other legal or create at the California Legal Services when said court or county bar association. There is 20 LAS DE CALENDARIO despited a gue to entregue use state circles by appele legales para presents una response to program. You can locate these one propright of your local court or county bar association. There is 20 LAS DE CALENDARIO despited as que le entregue as state circles by passed septime para presents una response program. You can locate these and passed services are supposed to produce as a form of the propriet o	ILCLUSIVE	ers was all transfer
You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and logal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper logal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a count from that you can see for your response, You can find these court may not response you case. There may be a count from that you can use for your response, You can find these court may not find the your count play the filing for, as ket he court deaft for a few water form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. If you cannot pay the filing for, as ket he court deaft for a few water form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. It was not to be case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. The case of the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. The case of the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. The case of the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. The same of the case by default, and your wages and the court of the case by default and the case of the c		한 것 같은 그는 그를 모두 하는 것
You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and logal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper logal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a count from that you can see for your response, You can find these court may not response you case. There may be a count from that you can use for your response, You can find these court may not find the your count play the filing for, as ket he court deaft for a few water form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. If you cannot pay the filing for, as ket he court deaft for a few water form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. It was not to be case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. The case of the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. The case of the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. The case of the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. The same of the case by default, and your wages and the court of the case by default and the case of the c		<u>:</u>
You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and logal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper logal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a count from that you can see for your response, You can find these court may not response you case. There may be a count from that you can use for your response, You can find these court may not find the your count play the filing for, as ket he court deaft for a few water form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. If you cannot pay the filing for, as ket he court deaft for a few water form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. It was not to be case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. The case of the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. The case of the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. The case of the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. The same of the case by default, and your wages and the court of the case by default and the case of the c	20. Incluse	
Vou have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court from that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/self-help), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing foe, as kit he court clark for a few water form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There is no there represents the property of the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There is not the representation of the case of the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. The second of the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. The second of the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. The second of the case by default, and your was a second to the property of the case o	YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:	
You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. You written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfoc.ac.goviesfihelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response or time, you may lose the case by default, and you wages, money, and properly may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an atterney for may be slighely for free legal searches from a norphreti legal service. If you cannot afford an atterney, you may be slighely for free legal searches from a norphreti legal service. By court on the search search search search services from a norphreti legal service. By court on the search		
copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to mear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selffelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fore, ask the court cherk for a few selver form. If you do not know an attorney, you may lose the case by default, and your weges, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. Your may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away, If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you and not the remaining the serve attorney and the remaining	• ,	
copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to mear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selffelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fore, ask the court cherk for a few selver form. If you do not know an attorney, you may lose the case by default, and your weges, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. Your may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away, If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you and not the remaining the serve attorney and the remaining		
copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to mear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selffeip), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot help a the filing fore, ask the court cherk for a few advertorm. If you do not file you response on them, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. Your may want to call an attorner yight away. If you do not know an orthorous, you may want to call an attorner yight away, If you do not know an orthorous, you may want to call an attorner yight away. If you do not know an orthorous, you may want to call an orthorous of the property of the		
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of San Diego 330 West Broadway San Diego 92101 The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Vincent J. Bartolotta, Jr., Bar No. 55139 619-236-9363 619-236-9653 Thornes Bartolotta McGuire 2550 5th Ave, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92103 DATE: DEC 28 2006 (Secretario) (Fecha) (Secretario) (For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-Q(Q)) (Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el farmulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1. as an individual defendant. 2. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person) other (specify):	information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), nearest you. If you cannot pay the filling fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further there are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal se program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local courts on the court of the court o	your county law library, or the courthouse do not file your response on time, you may warning from the court. not know an attorney, you may want to call an rvices from a nonprofit legal services we.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California ourt or county bar association. Tales para presentar una respuesta por escrito frontca no lo protegen. Su respuesta por Es posible que haya un formulario que usted ción en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no e exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede liamar a un inos requisitos para obtener servicios os grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de a California, le abogados locales.
San Diego 92101 The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Vincent J. Bartolotta, Jr., Bar No. 55139 619-236-9363 619-236-9653 Thornes Bartolotta McGuire 2550 5th Ave, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92103 DATE: DEC 28 2006 (Secretario) (Secretario) (Percha) (Adjunto) (Fecha) (Fecha) (Secretario) (Pos-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify): 3. on behalf of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person) other (specify):	(El nombre y dirección de la corte es):	CASE NUMBER: (Ass): (Numero del Caso): (1) 877641
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attomey, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Vincent J. Bartolotta, Jr., Bar No. 55139 619-236-9363 619-236-9653 Thornes Bartolotta McGuire 2550 5th Ave, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92103 DATE: DEC 2 8 2006 (Clerk, by Secretarió) (Pecha) (Pos-010)). (Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1. as an individual defendant. 2. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person) other (specify):		
(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Vincent J. Bartolotta, Jr., Bar No. 55139 619-236-9363 619-236-9653 Thornes Bartolotta McGuire 2550 5th Ave, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92103 DATE: DEC 2 8 2006 (Secretario) (Pecha) (Deputy (Fecha) (Post-10)). (For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010)). (Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED; You are served 1. as an individual defendant. 2. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify): 3. on behalf of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person) other (specify):	San Diego 92101	
(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-Q1Q).) (Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1.	(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del dema Vincent J. Bartolotta, Jr., Bar No. 55139 619- Thornes Bartolotta McGuire 2550 5th Ave, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92103 DATE: [][[2 & 2006 Clerk, by]	ndante que no tiene abogado, es): 236-9363 619-236-9653
NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1.	(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-019).	
1. as an individual defendant. 2. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify): 3. on behalf of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person) other (specify):	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served	'US-010)).
3. on behalf of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person) other (specify):	(SEAL) 1. as an individual defendant.	, and the second
under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person) other (specify):		рөспу):
CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person) other (specify):	3. Cit defial of (specify).	
CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person) other (specify):		
4 by personal delivery on (date): Pege 1 of 1		Bana d ad d

		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State	Rac number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Vincent J. Bartolotta, Jr.		
Thornes Bartolotta McGuire		
2550 5th Ave, Suite 1100		
	₩	
San Diego, CA 92103	004 0450	E 20 P 1: 112
TELEPHONE NO.: 236-9363	FAX NO.: 236-9653	n 200 F 1 75
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff		1.3
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNT X. HALL OF JUSTICE, 330 W. BROADWAY, SAN D	TY OF SAN DIEGO DIEGO, CA 92101-3827	
NORTH COUNTY DIVISION, 325 S. MELROSE I	DR., VISTA, CA 92081-6643	
EAST COUNTY DIVISION, 250 E. MAIN ST., EL	CAJON, CA 92020-3941	
RAMONA BRANCH, 1428 MONTECITO RD., RA SOUTH COUNTY DIVISION, 500 3RD AVE., CH	MONA, CA 92065-5200 In a vista. Ca 91910-5649	
CASE NAME: Vaxion vs. Foley		
	Complex Case Designation	CASE MANGER: 877641
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Counter Joinder	4411 011047
Unlimited Limited (Amount demanded (Amount demanded	Filed with first appearance by defendant	JUDGE:
exceeds \$25,000) is \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 1811)	DEPT.:
Items 1-	5 below must be completed (see instruction	ns on page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type to		,
Auto Tort	Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)
Auto (22)	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Uninsured motorist (46)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 1800–1812)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	insurance coverage (18)	Construction defect (10)
Asbestos (04)	United States Other contract (37)	Mass tort (40)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Securities litigation (28)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Non-Pi/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	RICO (27)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
O This area Time to are	mpley under rule 1800 of the California Rul	les of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
2. This case is sis not confactors requiring exceptional judicial man	nagement:	war an admining to the same of the transfer of the same of the sam
a. Large number of separately rep		r of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising	ng difficult or novel e. Coordination	with related actions pending in one or more court
issues that will be time-consum	ning to resolve in other count	ies, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of document		ost-judgment judicial supervision
3. Type of remedies sought (check all that	apply):	
a. x monetary b. nonmone	tary; declaratory or injunctive relief c.	punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify):		
5. This case is x is not a	class action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file	e and serve a notice of related case. (You	may use form CM-UTO.)
Date: December 28, 2006	▶ /.	& Bartalette
Vincent J. Bartolotta, Jr. (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIC	SNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
(TYPE DK PRINT RAME)	NOTIOE	
a Disintiff must file this sever shoot with th	NOTICE Notice paper filed in the action or proceeding	ng (except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code. Family Code.	or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Ru	les of Court, rule 201.8.) Failure to file may
I result in sanctions		
File this cover sheet in addition to any c His case is complex under rule 1800.	over sneet required by local count rule. et sea, of the California Rules of Court, vol	must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
I ather partice to the action of proceeding	7	
 Unless this is a complex case, this cover 	r sheet will be used for statistical purposes	only. Page 1 of 2

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNT. OF SAN DIEGO

INDEPENDENT CALENDAR CLERK 330 W. Broadway San Diego, CA 92101

TO:

FILE COPY

VINCENT J. BARTOLOTTA JR. (P)

VAXIION THERAPEUTICS INC

Case No.:

GIC877641

Plaintiff(s)

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT

VS.

Judge:

JAY M. BLOOM

Department:

70

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

Defendant(8)

Phone: 619-685-6128

COMPLAINT FILED 12/28/06

IT IS THE DUTY OF EACH PLAINTIFF (AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT) TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH THE COMPLAINT (AND CROSS-COMPLAINT).

ALL COUNSEL WILL BE EXPECTED TO BE FAMILIAR WITH SUPERIOR COURT RULES WHICH HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED AS DIVISION II, AND WILL BE STRICTLY ENFORCED.

TIME STANDARDS: The following timeframes apply to general civil cases and must be adhered to unless you have requested and been granted an extension of time. General civil consists of all cases except: Small claims appeals, petitions, and unlawful detainers.

COMPLAINTS: Complaints must be served on all named defendants, and a CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (SDSC CIV-345) filed within 60 days of filing. This is a mandatory document and may not be substituted by the filing of any other document. (Rule 2.5)

DEFENDANT'S APPEARANCE: Defendant must generally appear within 30 days of service of the complaint. (Plaintiff may stipulate to no more than a 15 day extension which must be in writing and filed with the Court.) (Rule 2.6)

DEFAULT: If the defendant has not generally appeared and no extension has been granted, the plaintiff must request default within 45 days of the filing of the Certificate of Service. (Rule 2.7)

THE COURT ENCOURAGES YOU TO CONSIDER UTILIZING VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES TO LITIGATION, INCLUDING MEDIATION AND ARBITRATION, PRIOR TO THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. MEDIATION SERVICES ARE AVAILABLE UNDER THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAMS ACT AND OTHER PROVIDERS. SEE ADR INFORMATION PACKET AND STIPULATION.

YOU MAY ALSO BE ORDERED TO PARTICIPATE IN ARBITRATION PURSUANT TO CCP 1141.10 AT THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. THE FEE FOR THESE SERVICES WILL BE PAID BY THE COURT IF ALL PARTIES HAVE APPEARED IN THE CASE AND THE COURT ORDERS THE CASE TO TO ARBITRATION PURSUANT TO CCP 1141.10. THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE WILL BE CANCELLED IF YOU FILE FORM SDSC CIV-359 PRIOR TO THAT HEARING.

ALSO SEE THE ATTACHED NOTICE TO LITIGANTS.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am not a party to the above-entitled case; on the date shown below, I served this notice on the parties shown by personally handing it to the attorney or their personal representative at SAN DIEGO California.

DATED: 12/28/06

BY: CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

si					
3 4 5 6 7 8	Robert E. Gooding, Jr. (SBN 50617) Scott B. Garner (SBN 156728) Ryan E. Lindsey (SBN 235073) HOWREY LLP 2020 Main Street, Suite 1000 Irvine, California 92614 Telephone: (949) 721-6900 Facsimile: (949) 721-6910 Email: goodingr@howrey.com Email: garners@howrey.com Email: lindseyr@howrey.com Kenneth S. Klein (SBN 129172) Foley & Lardner LLP 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 234-6655 Facsimile: (619) 234-3510 Email: kklein@foley.com				
11	Attorneys for Defendant Foley & Lardner LLP				
12	Actioneys for Berendant Poley & Bardner BBr				
13	SUPERIOR COURT	OF CALIFORNIA			
14	COUNTY OF	SAN DIEGO			
15					
16	VAXIION THERAPEUTICS, INC.,) Case No. GIC877641			
17	Plaintiff,) Assigned to Judge Jay M. Bloom			
	·	Dept. 70			
18	VS.	GENERAL DENIAL OF DEFENDANT			
19	FOLEY & LARDNER LLP and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			
20	Defendants.	Complaint Filed: December 28, 2006			
21)			
22	ri-				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28		1			
HOWREY LLP	GENERAL DENIAL OF DEFENDANT FOLEY & LARDNER LLP				

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

HOWREY LLP

Defendant Foley & Lardner LLP ("Foley & Lardner") answers Plaintiff Vaxiion Therapeutics, Inc.'s ("Plaintiff") unverified Complaint on its own behalf and on behalf of no other Defendant as follows: **GENERAL DENIAL** Pursuant to California Civil Procedure Code section 431.30(d), Foley & Lardner denies generally each and every allegation of the Complaint. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES As separate and affirmative defenses to the Complaint, and each cause of action stated therein, Foley & Lardner alleges as follows: FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Failure to State a Claim) Neither the Complaint nor any purported cause of action alleged by Plaintiff therein states facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Foley & Lardner. SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Application Not Impacted by EnGene Application) The examination, claim scope, validity, enforceability, and value (if any) of Vaxiion's PCT application and its associated non-U.S. patents or patent applications have not, would not, and cannot be negatively impacted by the filing of EnGene's PCT application. THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Products Not Impacted by EnGene Application) The research and development, manufacturing, sale, offering for sale, distribution, marketing, importation, and exportation of Vaxiion's products, if any, have not, would not, and cannot be negatively impacted by the filing of EnGene's PCT application. FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (No Conflict with EnGene Technology or Application) Vaxiion's technologies and patent applications at issue do not present any legal or actual 26 conflict with EnGene's technologies and patent applications at issue.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statue of Limitations)

Plaintiff' action, and each alleged cause of action, is barred by (a) the applicable California statute of limitations including, but not limited to, California Civil Procedure Code sections 337, 338, 340.6, and 343, and (b) any applicable statute of limitation and/or statute of repose.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Laches)

Plaintiff unreasonably and without good cause delayed in bringing this action. Foley & Lardner was prejudiced as a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff' unreasonable delay. Thus, this action is barred by laches.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Comparative Negligence)

Plaintiff was negligent in and about the matters alleged in the first and fourth causes of action of the Complaint; this negligence proximately caused, in whole or in part, the damages alleged in the Complaint. In the event Plaintiff is entitled to any damages, the amount of these damages should be reduced by the comparative fault of Plaintiff.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Waiver)

Plaintiff, by reason of its conduct, waived its right to assert any of the purported claims in the Complaint, and said waiver bars the relief requested.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Estoppel)

Plaintiff is estopped by reason of its conduct from asserting any of the causes of action alleged in the Complaint.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

HOWREY LLP

-2-

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 1 2 (Unclean Hands) 3 Each and every claim in the complaint is barred under the doctrine of unclean hands because 4 Plaintiff's own improper actions caused the allege damages. 5 **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** 6 (Assumption of Risk) 7 Plaintiff assumed the risks associated with the conduct alleged in its first and fourth causes of 8 action. 9 TWELVTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Failure to Mitigate) 10 Plaintiff failed to exercise due diligence to mitigate its loss, injury, or damages, if any. 11 Accordingly, the amount of damages to which Plaintiff is entitled, if any, should be reduced by the 12 13 amount of damages which otherwise would have been mitigated. THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 14 (No Entitlement to Punitive Damages) 15 Neither the Complaint nor any purported cause of action alleged therein against Foley & 16 17 Lardner states sufficient facts to entitle Plaintiff to an award of punitive damages against Foley & Lardner. 18 19 FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Punitive Damages – Due Process) 20 The imposition of punitive damages in this matter would deprive Foley & Lardner of its 21 property without due process of law under the United States Constitution and the California 22 23 Constitution. 24 25 26 27 28 HOWREY LLP GENERAL DENIAL OF DEFENDANT FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Punitive Damages – Burden of Proof)

The imposition of any punitive damages in this matter would constitute a criminal fine or penalty and, therefore, if awarded on less than a showing of beyond a reasonable doubt, would be in violation of the United States Constitution and the California Constitution.

WHEREFORE, Foley & Lardner prays:

- (1) That Plaintiff take nothing by its Complaint;
- (2) That Judgment be entered in favor of Foley & Lardner;
- (3) That Foley & Lardner be dismissed from this suit;
- (4) For recovery of Foley & Lardner's cost of suit; and
- (5) For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 9, 2007

HOWREY LLP

By:

Scott B. Garner

Attorneys for Defendant

Foley & Lardner LLP

2728

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

HOWREY LLP

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
4	COUNTY OF ORANGE) ss.:
5	I am annula and had a Compton of Common State of Collifornia. I am around he are of 10 and mate
6	I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2020 Main Street, Suite 1000, Irvine, California
7	92614.
8	On February 9, 2007, I served on the interested parties in said action the within:
9	GENERAL DENIAL OF DEFENDANT FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
10	by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as stated below.
11	Vincent J. Bartolotta, Jr. Karen R. Frostrom
12	Brett J. Schreiber THORSNES BARTOLOTTA MCGUIRE
13	2550 Fifth Ave., 11th Floor San Diego, CA 92103
14	Facsimile No.: (619) 236-9653
15	(MAIL) I am readily familiar with this firm's practice of collection and processing
16	correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more
17	than 1 day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
18	(OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) I deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by Overnite Express, an express service carrier, or delivered to a courier or driver authorized by
19	said express service carrier to receive documents, a true copy of the foregoing document in sealed envelopes or packages designated by the express service carrier, addressed as stated
20	above, with fees for overnight delivery paid or provided for.
21	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
22	Executed on February 9, 2007, at Irvine, California.
23	= 2.100 m to 5.1 m to 1.1 m to
24	Shawn Beem Slew
25	(Type or print name) (Signature)
26	
27	
28	
HOWREY LLP	-5- GENERAL DENIAL OF DEFENDANT FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

Case 3:07-cv-00280-	EG-RBB Document 1 Filed	d 02/09/07 Page 23 of 32 CM-010
	umber, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Scott B. Garner (SBN 156728)		
HOWREY LLP		
2020 Main Street, Suite 1000 Irvine, CA 92614		
· ·	FAX NO.: (949) 721-6910	
TELEPHONE NO.: (949) 721-6900 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Defendant Foley & Lard	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN		
STREET ADDRESS: 220 W. Broadway	DIEGO	
MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. Box 122725		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101-3	509	
BRANCH NAME: Central Division	000	
CASE NAME: Vaxiion Therapeutics, Inc. v.	Foley & Lardner LLP et al	
CASE IVANIE. VARIOTI THOTAPOULOS, IIIO. 1.	, roloy a bararior and , or an	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET=Cour	ter Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
⊠ Unlimited ☐ Limited		GIC 877641
(Amount (Amount	⊠ Counter ☐ Joinder	JUDGE: Jay M. Bloom
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defen	dant
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) below must be completed (see instruction	·
1. Check one box below for the case type that		nis on page 2).
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Insurance coverage (18)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other contract (37)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04) Product liability (24)	Real Property	Securities litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Wrongful eviction (33)	above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Other real property (26)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Commercial (31)	Enforcement of judgment (20) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Defamation (13)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Fraud (16)	☐ Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
intellectual property (19)	Judicial Review Asset forfeiture (05)	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Professional negligence (25)	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Other judicial review (39)	
Other employment (15)	,	
	er rule 3.400 of the California Rules	of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana		•
a. Large number of separately repre		er of witnesses
b. 🛛 Extensive motion practice raising		with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consumin		nties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documenta		postjudgment judicial supervision
3. Type of remedies sought (check all that ap		V
	y; declaratory or injunctive relief c.	□ punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 5		
5. This case is is not a class a	ction suit.	Ak
6. If there are any known related cases, file a	nd serve a notice of related case. (You	may useriomy-civio 15.)
Date: February 9, 2007	_	VI 1717 B // `
SCOTT B. GARNER (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OF ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
(THE ON TRINT TO WIE)	NOTICE	
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the		ing (except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or	Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Ru	ules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions.	·	
File this cover sheet in addition to any cov	er sheet required by local court rule.	#4Lt
 If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et other parties to the action or proceeding. 	seq. of the California Rules of Court, yo	ou must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
 Unless this is a complex case, this cover s 	heet will be used for statistical purpose	S ONIV. Page 1 of 2
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.220, 3.400-3.403;
Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. January 1, 2007]	Offic OAGE GOVER OFFICE	Standards of Judicial Administration, § 19 www.courtinfo.ca.gov

CM-010

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers

If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 5 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. You do not need to submit a cover sheet with amended papers. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Collections Cases

In complex cases only, parties must also use the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Auto Tort

Auto (22)—Personal Injury/Property Damage Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04)
Asbestos Property Damage
Asbestos Personal Injury/
Wrongful Death
Product Liability (not asbestos or
toxic/environmental) (24)
Medical Malpractice (45)
Medical MalpracticePhysicians & Surgeons
Other Professional Health Care
Malpractice
Other PI/PD/WD (23)
Premises Liability (e.g., slip

Other Professional Health Care
Malpractice
ler PI/PD/WD (23)
Premises Liability (e.g., slip
and fall)
Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD
(e.g., assault, vandalism)
Intentional Infliction of
Emotional Distress
Negligent Infliction of
Emotional Distress
Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business
Practice (07)
Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)
Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)
Fraud (16)
Intellectual Property (19)
Professional Negligence (25)
Legal Malpractice
Other Professional Malpractice
(not medical or legal)
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) Auto Subrogation Other Coverage

Real Property

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Eminent Domain/Inverse
Condemnation (14)
Wrongful Eviction (33)
Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)
Writ of Possession of Real Property
Mortgage Foreclosure
Quiet Title
Other Real Property (not eminent
domain, landlord/tenant, or
foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)
Residential (32)
Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)
Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)
Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ–Administrative Mandamus
Writ–Mandamus on Limited Court
Case Matter
Writ–Other Limited Court Case
Review
Other Judicial Review (39)
Review of Health Officer Order
Notice of Appeal–Labor
Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400–3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
Construction Defect (10)
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
Securities Litigation (28)
Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
Insurance Coverage Claims
(arising from provisionally
complex case type listed above)
(41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)
Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations)
Sister State Judgment
Administrative Agency Award
(not unpaid taxes)
Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)
Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)
Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-harassment)
Mechanics Lien
Other Commercial Complaint
Case (non-tort/non-complex)
Other Civil Complaint
(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate
Governance (21)
Other Petition (not specified above)
(43)
Civil Harassment
Workplace Violence
Elder/Dependent Adult
Abuse
Election Contest
Petition for Name Change
Petition for Relief from Late
Claim
Other Civil Petition

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
4	COUNTY OF ORANGE) ss.:
5	
6	I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2020 Main Street, Suite 1000, Irvine, California
7	92614.
. 8	On February 9, 2007, I served on the interested parties in said action the within:
9	CIVIL COVER SHEET - COUNTER
10	by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as stated below.
11	Vincent J. Bartolotta, Jr. Karen R. Frostrom
12	Brett J. Schreiber THORSNES BARTOLOTTA MCGUIRE
13	2550 Fifth Ave., 11th Floor San Diego, CA 92103
14	Facsimile No.: (619) 236-9653
15	(MAIL) I am readily familiar with this firm's practice of collection and processing
16	correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party
17	served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than 1 day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
18	(OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) I deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by Overnite Express, an express service carrier, or delivered to a courier or driver authorized by
19	said express service carrier to receive documents, a true copy of the foregoing document in sealed envelopes or packages designated by the express service carrier, addressed as stated
20	above, with fees for overnight delivery paid or provided for.
21	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
22	Executed on February 9, 2007, at Irvine, California.
23	
24	Shawn Beem (Signature)
25	(Type or print name) (Signature)
26	
27	
28	_
HOWREY LLP	
	DM_US:20214371_1

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
4	COUNTY OF ORANGE) ss.:
5	I am and a discharge of the control
6	I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2020 Main Street, Suite 1000, Irvine, California 92614.
7	
8	On February 9, 2007, I served on the interested parties in said action the within:
9	NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 AND 1338]
10	by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as stated below and causing such envelope(s) to be deposited in the U.S. Mail at Irvine, California.
11	Vincent J. Bartolotta, Jr.
12	Karen R. Frostrom Brett J. Schreiber
13	THORSNES BARTOLOTTA MCGUIRE 2550 Fifth Ave., 11th Floor
14	San Diego, CA 92103
15	Facsimile No.: (619) 236-9653
16	(MAIL) I am readily familiar with this firm's practice of collection and processing
17	correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party
18	served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than 1 day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
19	(OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) by depositing in a box or other facility regularly maintained by
20	Overnite Express, an express service carrier, or delivering to a courier or driver authorized by said express service carrier to receive documents, a true copy of the foregoing document in
21	sealed envelopes or packages designated by the express service carrier, addressed as stated above, with fees for overnight delivery paid or provided for and causing such envelope(s) to be
22	delivered by said express service carrier.
23	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made and that the foregoing is true and correct.
24	Executed on February 9, 2007, at Irvine, California.
25	Shawn Beem
26	(Type or print name) (Signature)
27	
28	
LLP	-4- NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION TO THE
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

HOWREY

Robert E. Gooding, Jr. (SBN 50617) Scott B. Garner (SBN 156728) Ryan E. Lindsey (SBN 235073) HOWREY LLP 2020 Main Street, Suite 1000 Irvine, California 92614 Telephone: (949) 721-6900 Facsimile: (949) 721-6910 5 Email: goodingr@howrey.com Email: garners@howrey.com Email: lindseyr@howrey.com Kenneth S. Klein (SBN 129172) Foley & Lardner LLP 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 234-6655 Facsimile: (619) 234-3510 Email: kklein@folev.com 11 Attorneys for Defendant Foley & Lardner LLP UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 14 280 IEG (RBB) VAXIION THERAPEUTICS, INC., 15 Case No. Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF NOTICE 16 TO ADVERSE PARTY OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION TO THE UNITED STATES 17 VS. DISTRICT COURT FOLEY & LARDNER LLP and DOES 1 through 18 [28 U.S.C. § § 1441 AND 1338] 20, inclusive, 19 [SDSC Case No. GIC877641] Defendants. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 HOWREY LLP CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF NOTICE TO

ADVERSE PARTY OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL

ACTION TO THE USDC

I, Shawn Beem, certify and declare as follows: I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action. My business address is Howrey LLP, 2020 Main Street, Suite 1000, Irvine, California 92614-8200, which is located in the city, county and state where the mailing described below took place. On February 9, 2007, I deposited in the United States Mail at Irvine, California, a copy of the Notice to Adverse Party of Removal of Civil Action to the United States District Court dated February 9, 2007, a copy of which (without Exhibits) is attached to this Certificate. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Dated: February 9, 2007 20214599v1

HOWREY LLP

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
4	COUNTY OF ORANGE) ss.:
5	I am annulated in the Country of Orence State of California I am over the egg of 10 and not a
6	I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2020 Main Street, Suite 1000, Irvine, California 92614.
7	On February 9, 2007, I served on the interested parties in said action the within:
8	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF NOTICE TO ADVERSE PARTY OF REMOVAL OF
9	CIVIL ACTION TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [28 U.S.C. § § 1441 and 1338]
10 11	by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as stated below and causing such envelope(s) to be deposited in the U.S. Mail at Irvine, California.
12	Vincent J. Bartolotta, Jr. Karen R. Frostrom
13	Brett J. Schreiber THORSNES BARTOLOTTA MCGUIRE
14	2550 Fifth Ave., 11th Floor San Diego, CA 92103
15	Facsimile No.: (619) 236-9653
16	(MAIL) I am readily familiar with this firm's practice of collection and processing
17 18	correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more
19	than 1 day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
20	(OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) by depositing in a box or other facility regularly maintained by Overnite Express, an express service carrier, or delivering to a courier or driver authorized by said express service carrier to receive documents, a true copy of the foregoing document in
21	sealed envelopes or packages designated by the express service carrier, addressed as stated above, with fees for overnight delivery paid or provided for and causing such envelope(s) to be
22	delivered by said express service carrier.
23	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made and that the foregoing is true and correct.
24	Executed on February 9, 2007, at Irvine, California.
25	≤ 2
26	Shawn Beem (Type or print name) (Signature)
27	(1) Pro or print imme)
28	
LLP	-2- CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF NOTICE TO ADVERSE PARTY OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION TO THE USDC

HOWREY

JS 44 (Rev. 11/04) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained on neither replace nor suby local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United	supplemei	nt the filing and ser	vice of pr	ngs (or other papers as requ	uired by law,	except as	s provided
the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM I. (a) PLAINTIFFS VAXIION THERAPEUTICS, INC.	M.)	DEFENDAN' FOLEY & LA	rs .	CVP	286	JEG	-(RB)	a
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Sen Dego (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Resider	1)	N U.S. F DEMNA	LAINTIPP CASES TION CASES, USE TI	ONLY)	PM 4.	27
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Vincent J. Bartolotta, Jr. Karen R. Frostrom		Attorneys (If Kno Robert E. Goo Scott B. Garne	ding, J	r.	better .		DF	OANIA O
THORSNES BARTOLOTTA MCGUIRE 2550 Fifth Ave., 11 th Floor San Diego, CA 92103 Tel: (619) 236-9363		HOWREY LL 2020 Main St. Irvine, CA 926	, Suite	1000	Tel: (949)	721-6900		·U F _i y
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		FIZENSHIP O		NCIPA DEF	AL PARTIES (F	Place an "X" is and One Box		
U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)		en of This State			Incorporated or Princip of Business In Th	is State	□ 4 □ 5	☐ 4 ☐ 5
2 U.S. Government 4 Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	☐ 2 ☐ 3	_	Incorporated and Princ of Business In Ar Foreign Nation	•	□ 6	□ ₃
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Fo	oreign Country						
CONTRACT 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 120 Marine 310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury 315 Airplane 365 Personal Injury 315 Airplane 368 Asbestos Personal Injury 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine 345 Marine 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 371 Truth in Lending	rice y interpretation in the property in	ORFEITURE/PE 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & 625 Drug Related S of Property 21 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Star Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. If 730 Labor/Mgmt. R & Disclosure A 740 Railway Labor 790 Other Labor L. 791 Empl. Ret. Inc Security Act	Drug Seizure USC 881 Indards Relations Reporting Act Act itigation	PRO 823 830 830 840 850 861 863 863 864 865 865 871	ANKRUPTCY Appeal 28 USC 158 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PERTY RIGHTS Copyrights Patent Trademark FIAL SECURITY HIA (1395ff) Black Lung (923) DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) SSID Title XVI RSI (405(g)) ERAL TAX SUITS Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State	s and Bank merce virtation eteer Influe upt Organiz umer Credie/Sat TV titve Servic rities/Comr ange omer Challe ISC 3410 r Statutory vultural Act omic Stabi ronmental I gy Allocati dom of Info al of Fee D er Equal Act sstice titutionality Statutes	enced and eations it e modities/ enge Actions is lization Act Matters on Act tormation etermination etermination etermination etermination y of
Proceeding State Court Appellate Court F	Reinstated Reopened	d or 5 anothed (speci	fy)		6 Multidistrict Litigation	☐ 7 Ju M Ju	peal to Didge from agistrate dement	istrict
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which yo 28 USC 1338(a) Brief description of cause: Legal malpractice suit alleging ne				·		y): 		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		EMAND \$	ри		CHECK YES JURY DEMA		anded in (
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE		0.11		DOCKI	ET NUMBER	-		
DATE SIGNATURE OF A Scott B. Garn		EVANTECORS						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # 134824 AMOUNT \$\frac{#350}{29107} APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			MAG. JUDGE	galNet, Inc.	ww.USCou	rtForms.com

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b.) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

(c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)". Pally for a supply so ions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is \(\xi \) one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis & partial property of the control of the boxes. Section and the Section United States are included here. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff which the State of n this box. Not Well Till Where many . The other than the Co sens Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under of the United States, an amendment to the The first of the second of or defendant code takes precedence, and box Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the 1 or 2 should be marked. Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits use the state of th When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section I COURT Southern district of California Residence (citizenship) of Principal P of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this San Diego Division section for each principal party. The name of some of the described of the configuration of the configurat ause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appr to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select ebruary the most definitive. V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the sey Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which original Case-H Otij Amount Mer. berrie Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings in CV086900 3-07-CV-0280 for removal is granted, check this box. 69.00 CH Judge Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check CV886468 in. Use the date of remand as the filing date. 100.00 CH CV510000 Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box pening date as the filing date. se this for within district transfers or multidistrict Transferred from Another District. (5) For litigation transfers. Total Otal-> Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box v ity of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above. define (CO) Chan I do had the a second frequency Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate J ge's decision. FROM: CIVIL FILING ACCOUNT SWEET OF LOS ion of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes Cause of Action. Report the civil s VAXIION THERAPEUTIES unless diversity. Example: FOLEYMET ILLIAND BC# 58526 SH VII. Requested in Complaint. Class of Pariote a chace der Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the dollar ar r demand such as a preliminary injunction.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS-44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being conduct. . . .

28