

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC.,	)	
	)	
	)	C.A. No. _____
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
SIEMENS HEARING INSTRUMENTS, INC. and SIEMENS AUDIOLOGISCHE TECHNIK GMBH,	)	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND**

1. Plaintiff ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. (“ETG” or “Plaintiff”), by and through its attorneys, hereby demands a jury trial and complains of Defendant SIEMENS HEARING INSTRUMENTS, INC. (“Siemens Hearing Instruments”) and SIEMENS AUDIOLOGISCHE TECHNIK GmbH (“Siemens Audiologische Technik GmbH” or “Siemens AT GmbH”) (collectively, “Defendants”) as follows:

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

2. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.* to enjoin and obtain damages resulting from Defendants’ unauthorized manufacture, use, sale, offer to sell and/or importation into the United States for subsequent use or sale of products, methods, processes, services and/or systems that infringe one or more claims of United States Patent No. 4,731,850 (the “850 Patent”) entitled “Programmable Digital Hearing Aid System” and one or more claims of United States Patent No. 4,879,749 (the “749 Patent”) entitled “Host Controller for Programmable Digital Hearing

Aid System.” A copy of the ‘850 Patent is attached as Exhibit A and a copy of the ‘749 Patent is attached as Exhibit B. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief to prevent Defendants from continuing to infringe Plaintiff’s ‘850 Patent and the ‘749 Patent. In addition, Plaintiff seeks a recovery of monetary damages resulting from Defendants’ past infringement of the ‘850 Patent and the ‘749 Patent.

3. This action for patent infringement involves Defendants’ manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, and/or importation into the United States of infringing products, methods, processes, services and systems that are primarily used or primarily adapted for use of or in a programmable digital hearing aid device.

4. Plaintiff has been irreparably harmed by Defendants’ infringement of its valuable patent rights. Moreover, Defendants’ unauthorized, infringing use of Plaintiff’s patented systems and methods has threatened the value of this intellectual property because Defendants’ conduct results in Plaintiff’s loss of its lawful patent rights to exclude others from making, using, selling, offering to sell and/or importing the patented inventions.

#### **THE PARTIES**

5. Plaintiff ETG is a Delaware corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware and having its principal place of business at 654 Madison Avenue, Suite 1705, New York, NY 10021.

6. Plaintiff ETG is the lawful assignee of all right, title and interest in and to the ‘850 Patent and the ‘749 Patent. The ‘850 Patent was lawfully issued on March 15, 1988 in the name of Dr. Harry Levitt, Richard S. Dugot and Kenneth W. Kopper, as the named inventors. The ‘749 Patent was lawfully issued on November 7, 1989 in the name of Dr. Harry Levitt, Richard S. Dugot and Kenneth W. Kopper as the named inventors. Plaintiff ETG’s predecessors,

Audimax Corporation and Audimax, Inc., were involved in developing innovation for use in the hearing instrument industry.

7. Siemens is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, and maintains a place of business at 10 Constitution Avenue, P.O. Box 1397, Piscataway, New Jersey 08855.

8. Siemens GmbH is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Germany, and maintains a place of business at Gebbertstrasse 125, 91058 Erlangen, Germany.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

9. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this patent infringement action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a). The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants in that each has committed acts within Delaware and this judicial district giving rise to this action and each of the Defendants has established minimum contacts with the forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over each of the Defendants would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

10. ETG is a Delaware corporation. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), and 1400(b) for at least the reasons that the Defendants each have committed acts within this judicial district giving rise to this action and does business in this district, including sales, and providing service and/or support to their respective customers in this district.

**COUNT I**

**(Patent Infringement of United States Patent No. 4,731,850)**

11. Paragraphs 1 through 10 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.

12. Defendants make, use, sell, offer to sell and/or import into the United States for subsequent sale or use products, services, methods or processes that infringe directly and/or indirectly, which employ systems, components and/or steps that make use of other systems or processes that infringe directly and/or indirectly or which are made according to a patented process, one or more of the claims of the '850 Patent.

13. Defendants have been and continue infringing one or more of the claims of the '850 Patent through the aforesaid acts, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Defendants' wrongful conduct has caused Plaintiff to suffer irreparable harm resulting from the loss of its lawful patent rights to exclude others from making, using, selling, offering to sell and importing the patented inventions.

14. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for the infringement.

**COUNT II**

**(Willful Patent Infringement of United States Patent No. 4,731,850)**

15. Paragraphs 1 through 14 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.

16. Defendants' infringement has been willful, deliberate and with knowledge of Plaintiff's rights under the '850 Patent, and unless Defendants are enjoined by this Court, such acts of willful infringement by Defendants will continue. Therefore, Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law. ETG is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for the infringement of the '850 Patent, as well as additional damages for willful infringement including increased

damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

### **COUNT III**

#### **(Patent Infringement of United States Patent No. 4,879,749)**

17. Paragraphs 1 through 16 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.

18. Defendants make, use, sell, offer to sell and/or import into the United States for subsequent sale or use products, services, methods or processes that infringe directly and/or indirectly, which employ systems, components and/or steps that make use of other systems or processes that infringe directly and/or indirectly or which are made according to a patented process, one or more of the claims of the '749 Patent.

19. Defendants have been and continue infringing one or more of the claims of the '749 Patent through the aforesaid acts, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Defendants' wrongful conduct has caused Plaintiff to suffer irreparable harm resulting from the loss of its lawful patent rights to exclude others from making, using, selling, offering to sell and importing the patented inventions.

20. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for the infringement.

### **COUNT IV**

#### **(Willful Patent Infringement of United States Patent No. 4,879,749)**

21. Paragraphs 1 through 20 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.

22. Defendants' infringement has been willful, deliberate and with knowledge of Plaintiff's rights under the '749 Patent, and unless Defendants are enjoined by this Court, such acts of willful infringement by Defendants will continue. Therefore, Plaintiff is without adequate

remedy at law. ETG is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for the infringement of the '749 Patent, as well as additional damages for willful infringement including increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

**JURY DEMAND**

23. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all matters to which it is entitled to trial by jury pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 38.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, granting Plaintiff the following relief:

A. That this Court adjudge and decree that the '850 Patent is valid and enforceable against Defendants;

B. That this Court adjudge and decree that the '749 Patent is valid and enforceable against Defendants;

C. That this Court adjudge and decree that Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '850 Patent;

D. That this Court adjudge and decree that Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '749 Patent;

E. That this Court order an accounting of all damages sustained by ETG as the result of the acts of infringement by each Defendant;


F. That this Court enter an award to Plaintiff of such damages as it shall prove at trial against Defendants that are adequate to compensate Plaintiff for said infringement, said damages to be no less than a reasonable royalty together with prejudgment interest and costs;

G. That this Court order an award to ETG of up to three times the amount of compensatory damages because of Defendants' willful infringement, and any enhanced damages provided by 35 U.S.C. § 284;

H. That this Court render a finding that this case is "exceptional" and award to ETG its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees, as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

I. That this Court grant to Plaintiff such other, further, and different relief as may be just and proper.

ASHBY & GEDDES



Steven J. Balick (I.D. #2114)  
John G. Day (I.D. #2403)  
Tiffany Geyer Lydon (I.D. #3950)  
500 Delaware Avenue, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor  
P.O. Box 1150  
Wilmington, DE 19899  
(302) 654-1888

*Attorneys for Energy Transportation Group, Inc.*

*Of Counsel:*

Marty Steinberg  
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP  
1111 Brickell Avenue  
Suite 2500  
Miami, Florida 33131  
Telephone: (305) 810-2500  
Facsimile: (305) 810-2460

Brian M. Buroker  
Yisun Song  
Robert L. Kinder, Jr.  
Hunton & Williams LLP  
1900 K Street, N.W.; Suite 1200  
Washington, DC 20006  
(T) (202) 955-1500  
(F) (202) 778-2201

Maya M. Eckstein  
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP  
Riverfront Plaza, East Tower  
951 East Byrd Street  
Richmond, VA 23219-4074  
Telephone: (804) 788-8788  
Facsimile: (804) 343-4630

Dated: August 28, 2007  
183636.1