

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
ORLANDO DIVISION

E-Z LOAD GATE, INC.,
a Florida corporation,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 6:07 CV ORL

6-07-CV-1962-ORL-19DAB

v.

AMERICAN MOTO PRODUCTS, INC.,
a California corporation, and
89908, Inc., a California corporation,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF
AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff E-Z LOAD GATE, INC., a Florida corporation, sues Defendants AMERICAN MOTO PRODUCTS, INC. and 89908, INC., California corporations, and alleges:

1. This is an action for declaratory relief concerning the validity of certain claims of a United States patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §101 et seq.; this Court has exclusive jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1338.
2. Plaintiff sells a truck bed extender and cargo restraint device to dealers throughout the United States and in other countries; said device is a component of U.S. Letters Patent No. 7,258,386 to Earle et al. for an adjustable cargo gate system.
3. Defendants are assignees of U.S. Letters Patent No. 7,063,366 (the '366 patent) to Leitner et al. for a vehicle cargo bed extender; Defendants market their bed extender throughout the United States.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

2007 DEC 12 AM 11:36

F I L E D

4. Defendants claim that Plaintiff's device infringes certain claims of the '366 patent, including but not limited to Claims 6, 10, 11 and 15.

5. Plaintiff is in great doubt as to the validity of the claims alleged to be infringed, for the following reasons:

a.) the subject matter would have been obvious, at the time it was disclosed and claimed, to a person with ordinary skill in the art to which it pertains;

b.) the subject matter was anticipated, disclosed and claimed in prior art patents issued more than one year prior to the filing date of the '366 patent.

c.) the invention of the '366 patent was conceived and reduced to practice by another prior to conception and reduction to practice by Defendants' assignors.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands that the court adjudge:

(1) that the claims of the '366 patent alleged to be infringed are invalid, thus Plaintiff's device does not infringe the '366 patent;

(2) that Plaintiff recover its costs.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: 12-12-2007

Elsie C. Turner
ELSIE C. TURNER, Esq.
201 Park Place, Suite 204
Altamonte Springs, FL 32701
Tel: (407) 339-3013
FAX: (407) 339-4244
Florida Bar #205249
Attorney for Plaintiff.

