

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Jean-Marc Zimmerman (JZ 7743)  
Zimmerman, Levi & Korsinsky, LLP  
226 St. Paul Street  
Westfield, NJ 07090  
Tel: (908) 654-8000  
Fax: (908) 654-7207

Attorneys for Plaintiff Millennium, L.P.

MILLENNIUM, L.P.,

Plaintiff,

v.

OPTIO SOFTWARE, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.: \_\_\_\_\_

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT  
INFRINGEMENT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, Millennium, L.P., a limited partnership (hereinafter referred to as "Millennium"),  
demands a jury trial and complains against the defendant as follows:

**THE PARTIES**

1. Millennium is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the  
Cayman Islands, with its principal place of business at P.O. Box 500, Georgetown, Grand Cayman,  
Cayman Islands.

2. Upon information and belief, Optio Software, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as  
"Defendant" or "Optio") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of  
Georgia, having a place of business at 3015 Windward Plaza, Windward Fairways II, Atlanta  
30005.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States of America, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

4. On information and belief, Defendant is doing business and committing infringements in this judicial district and are subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district.

5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

**CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

6. Plaintiff, Millennium, repeats and incorporates herein the entirety of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 5 above.

7. On November 2, 1993, U.S. Patent No. 5,258,855 (hereinafter referred to as “the ‘855 patent”) was duly and legally issued to Millennium for an invention entitled “Information Processing Methodology.” A copy of the ‘855 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 1.

8. On November 29, 1994, U.S. Patent No. 5,369,508 (hereinafter referred to as “the ‘508 patent”) was duly and legally issued to Millennium for an invention entitled “Information Processing Methodology.” A copy of the ‘508 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 2.

9. On April 29, 1997, U.S. Patent No. 5,625,465 (hereinafter referred to as “the ‘465 patent”) was duly and legally issued to Millennium for an invention entitled “Information Processing Methodology.” A copy of the ‘465 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 3.

10. On June 16, 1998, U.S. Patent No. 5,768,416 (hereinafter referred to as “the ‘416 patent”) was duly and legally issued to Millennium for an invention entitled “Information Processing Methodology.” A copy of the ‘506 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 4.

11. On July 25, 2000, U.S. Patent No. 6,094,505 (hereinafter referred to as “the ‘505 patent”) was duly and legally issued to Millennium for an invention entitled “Information Processing Methodology.” A copy of the ‘505 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 5.

12. Millennium is the owner of all right, title and interest in and to the '855 patent, the '508 patent, the '465 patent, the 506 patent and the '505 patent.

**COUNT ONE**

13. Plaintiff, Millennium, repeats and incorporates herein the entirety of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 12 above.

14. Optio has for a long time past and still is infringing, actively inducing the infringement of and contributorily infringing in this judicial district, the '855 patent by, among other things, importing, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling computer hardware, software and systems as defined by the claims of the '855 patent without permission from Millennium and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.

15. Plaintiff, Millennium, has been damaged by such infringing activities by the Defendant of the '855 patent and will be irreparably harmed unless such infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

**COUNT TWO**

16. Plaintiff, Millennium, repeats and incorporates herein the entirety of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 15 above.

17. Optio has for a long time past and still is infringing, actively inducing the infringement of and contributorily infringing in this judicial district, the '508 patent by, among other things, importing, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling computer hardware, software and systems as defined by the claims of the '508 patent without permission from Millennium and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.

18. Plaintiff, Millennium, has been damaged by such infringing activities by the Defendant, of the '508 patent and will be irreparably harmed unless such infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

**COUNT THREE**

19. Plaintiff, Millennium, repeats and incorporates herein the entirety of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 18 above.

20. Optio has for a long time past and still is infringing, actively inducing the infringement of and contributorily infringing in this judicial district, the '465 patent by, among other things, importing, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling computer hardware, software and systems as defined by the claims of the '465 patent without permission from Millennium and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.

21. Plaintiff, Millennium, has been damaged by such infringing activities by the Defendant of the '465 patent and will be irreparably harmed unless such infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

**COUNT FOUR**

22. Plaintiff, Millennium, repeats and incorporates herein the entirety of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 21 above.

23. Optio has for a long time past and still is infringing, actively inducing the infringement of and contributorily infringing in this judicial district, the '506 patent by, among other things, importing, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling computer hardware, software and systems as defined by the claims of the '506 patent without permission from Millennium and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.

24. Plaintiff, Millennium, has been damaged by such infringing activities by the Defendant, of the '506 patent and will be irreparably harmed unless such infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

**COUNT FIVE**

25. Plaintiff, Millennium, repeats and incorporates herein the entirety of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 24 above.

1           26.     Optio has for a long time past and still is infringing, actively inducing the  
2 infringement of and contributorily infringing in this judicial district, the '505 patent by, among  
3 other things, importing, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling computer hardware,  
4 software and systems as defined by the claims of the '505 patent without permission from  
5 Millennium and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.

6           27.     Plaintiff, Millennium, has been damaged by such infringing activities by the  
7 Defendant, of the '505 patent and will be irreparably harmed unless such infringing activities are  
8 enjoined by this Court.  
9

10                                   **COUNT SIX**

11           28.     Plaintiff, Millennium, repeats and incorporates herein the entirety of the allegations  
12 contained in paragraphs 1 through 27 above.

13           29.     Upon information and belief, Optio's infringement has been willful and deliberate,  
14 justifying the assessment of treble damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §284 and attorneys' fees pursuant  
15 to 35 U.S.C. §285 against that entity.

16                                   **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

17           WHEREFORE, THE Plaintiff, Millennium prays for judgment against the Defendant Optio  
18 on all the counts and for the following relief:

- 19           A.     Declaration that the Plaintiff is the owner of the '855 patent, and that the Plaintiff has  
20 the right to sue and to recover for infringement thereof;  
21           B.     Declaration that the '855 patent is valid and enforceable;  
22           C.     Declaration that the Defendant has infringed, actively induced infringement of, and  
23 contributorily infringed '855 patent;  
24           D.     Declaration that the Plaintiff is the owner of the '508 patent, and that the Plaintiff has  
25 the right to sue and to recover for infringement thereof;  
26           E.     Declaration that the '508 patent is valid and enforceable;  
27  
28

- 1 F. Declaration that the Defendant has infringed, actively induced infringement of, and  
2 contributorily infringed '508 patent;
- 3 G. Declaration that the Plaintiff is the owner of the '465 patent, and that the Plaintiff has  
4 the right to sue and to recover for infringement thereof;
- 5 H. Declaration that the '465 patent is valid and enforceable;
- 6 I. Declaration that the Defendant has infringed, actively induced infringement of, and  
7 contributorily infringed '465 patent;
- 8 J. Declaration that the Plaintiff is the owner of the '506 patent, and that the Plaintiff has  
9 the right to sue and to recover for infringement thereof;
- 10 K. Declaration that the '506 patent is valid and enforceable;
- 11 L. Declaration that the Defendant has infringed, actively induced infringement of, and  
12 contributorily infringed '506 patent;
- 13 M. Declaration that the Plaintiff is the owner of the '505 patent, and that the Plaintiff has  
14 the right to sue and to recover for infringement thereof;
- 15 N. Declaration that the '505 patent is valid and enforceable;
- 16 O. Declaration that the Defendant has infringed, actively induced infringement of, and  
17 contributorily infringed '505 patent;
- 18 P. A preliminary and permanent injunction against the Defendant, each of its officers,  
19 agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, all parent and subsidiary corporations,  
20 their assigns and successors in interest, and those persons acting in active concert or  
21 participation with them, including distributors and customers, enjoining them from  
22 continuing acts of infringement, active inducement of infringement, and contributory  
23 infringement of Millennium's '855, '508, '465, 506 and '505 patents;
- 24 Q. An accounting for damages under 35 U.S.C. §284 for infringement of Millennium's  
25 '855, '508, '465, 506 and '505 patents by the Defendant and the award of damages  
26 so ascertained to the Plaintiff, Millennium, together with interest as provided by law;  
27  
28

- 1 R. A judgment that the Defendant is a willful infringer and an award of treble damages  
2 to the Plaintiff, Millennium, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §284 against the Defendant,  
3 Optio;  
4 S. Award of reasonable attorney's fees to the Plaintiff, Millennium, pursuant to 35  
5 U.S.C. §285;  
6 T. Award of Millennium's costs and expenses; and  
7 U. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem proper, just and equitable.  
8

9  
10 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

11 The Plaintiff, Millennium, demands a trial by jury of all issues properly triable by jury in  
12 this action.

13 By: /s/ Jean-Marc Zimmerman  
14 Jean-Marc Zimmerman (JZ 7743)  
15 Zimmerman, Levi & Korsinsky, LLP  
226 St. Paul Street  
Westfield, NJ 07090  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Millennium, L.P.

16 Dated: May 17, 2005  
17 Westfield, NJ  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28