1 2	Steven W. Ritcheson, Esq. (SBN 174062) WHITE FIELD, INC. 9800 D Topanga Canyon Blvd. #347 Chatsworth, California 91311 Telephone: (818) 882-1030 Facsimile: (818) 337-0383 swritcheson@whitefieldinc.com	
3	Telephone: (818) 882-1030	
4	Facsimile: (818) 337-0383 swritcheson@whitefieldinc.com	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff, OLYMPIC DEVELOPMENTS AG, LLE	
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	LOS ANGELES DIVISION	
11		
12	OLYMPIC DEVELOPMENTS AG, LLC,	Case No. 10-cv-08545 GW (PLAx)
13	Plaintiff,	
14	v.	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
15		FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
16	MOTOROLA, INC., PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH	Jury Trial Demanded
17	AMERICA, SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS, USA, INC., TIVO, INC.,	
18	Defendants.	DY FAX
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT	

PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Olympic Developments AG, LLC ("Plaintiff"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this Second Amended Complaint against Motorola Inc., Panasonic Corporation of North America, Samsung Electronics, USA, Inc., and Tivo, Inc. (collectively "Defendants") as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a patent infringement action to stop Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's United States Patent No. 6,246,400 entitled "Device for Controlling Remote Interactive Receiver" (the "'400 patent"; a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A) ("the patent-in-suit"). Plaintiff is the exclusive licensee of the '585 patent and '400 patent with respect to the Defendants. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and monetary damages.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware. Plaintiff maintains its principal place of business at 1000 North West Street, Suite 1200, Wilmington, DE 19801. Plaintiff is the exclusive licensee of the 400 patent with respect to the Defendants, and possesses the right to sue for infringement and recover past damages.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Motorola, Inc. ("Motorola") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 1303 East Algonquin Road, Schaumburg, Illinois 60196.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Panasonic Corporation of North America, ("Panasonic") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at One Panasonic Way, Secaucus, New Jersey 07094.

- 5. Upon information and belief, Samsung Electronics, USA, Inc. ("Samsung") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 105 Challenger Road, Ridgefield Park, New Jersey 07660.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Tivo, Inc. ("Tivo") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 2160 Gold Street, Box 2160 Alviso, California, 95002.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 8. The Court has personal jurisdiction over each Defendant because: each Defendant is present within or has minimum contacts with the State of California and the Central District of California; each Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of California and in the Central District of California; each Defendant has sought protection and benefit from the laws of the State of California; each Defendant regularly conducts business within the State of California and within the Central District of California; and Plaintiff's causes of action arise directly from Defendants' business contacts and other activities in the State of California and in the Central District of California.
- 9. More specifically, each Defendant, directly and/or through authorized intermediaries, ships, distributes, offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises (including the provision of an interactive web page) its products and services in the United States, the State of California, and the Central District of California. Upon information and belief, each Defendant has committed patent infringement in the

- State of California and in the Central District of California. Each Defendant solicits customers in the State of California and in the Central District of California. Each Defendant has many paying customers who are residents of the State of California and the Central District of California and who each use each of the respective Defendant's products and services in the State of California and in the Central District of California.
- 10. Venue is proper in the Central District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

COUNT I – PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 11. The '400 patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on June 12, 2001, after full and fair examination for systems for transactional system terminals. Plaintiff is the exclusive licensee of the '400 patent with respect to the Defendants, and possesses all rights of recovery under the '400 patent with respect to the Defendants, including the right to sue for infringement and recover past damages.
- 12. Plaintiff asserts that Motorola makes, uses, sells, and offers for sale smart phone devices that include the Amazon MP3 Store Application, in particular, the "Droid" line of smart phones ("the Motorola devices"). Motorola has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '400 patent by making, using, providing, offering to sell, and selling (directly or through intermediaries), in this district and elsewhere in the United States, systems and methods for remotely selecting and receiving desired programming selections, including via the Amazon MP3 Store Application. More particularly, Plaintiff asserts that Motorola has and/or requires and/or directs users to access and/or view and/or purchase products from a remote programming system via the Motorola Devices in a manner claimed in the '400 patent.

- 13. Plaintiff asserts that Panasonic owns, operates, advertises, controls, sells, and otherwise provides hardware and software "on demand video services" including via the VieraCast system ("the Panasonic services) available on consumer Blu-Ray and/or television devices provided by Panasonic, including at least the 2010 GT25, VT25 and VT20 series of Full 3D Viera Plasma Televisions; the G20 and G25 series of Plasma televisions and the 2010 Blue Ray players with Model Nos. DMP-BDT350, DMP-BDT300, DMP-BDT100, DMP-BD85, DMP-BD70V, DMP-BD65 and DMP-B500 ("the Panasonic devices"). Panasonic has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '400 patent by making, using, providing, offering to sell, and selling (directly or through intermediaries), in this district and elsewhere in the United States, systems and methods for remotely selecting and receiving desired programming selections, including via the Panasonic services. More particularly, Plaintiff asserts that Panasonic has and/or requires and/or directs users to access and/or view and/or purchase products from a remote programming system via a Blu-Ray and/or television device in a manner claimed in the '400 patent.
- 14. Plaintiff asserts that Samsung owns, operates, advertises, controls, sells, and otherwise provides hardware, software and websites for "on demand video services" including via set-top cable boxes provided by Samsung ("the Samsung devices"). Samsung has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '400 patent by making, using, providing, offering to sell, and selling (directly or through intermediaries), in this district and elsewhere in the United States, systems and methods for remotely selecting and receiving desired programming selections, including via the Samsung services. More particularly, Plaintiff asserts that Samsung has and/or requires and/or directs users to access and/or view and/or purchase products from a remote programming system via a cable set-top box in a manner claimed in the '400 patent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 16. Each Defendant's aforesaid activities have been without authority and/or license from Plaintiff.
- 17. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendants the damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of the Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 18. Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under the 400 patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

JURY DEMAND

19. Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court find in its favor and against Defendants, and that the Court grant Plaintiff the following relief:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. An adjudication that one or more claims of the '400 patent have been 1 infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by 2 one or more Defendants; 3 B. An award to Plaintiff of damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for 4 the Defendants' acts of infringement together with pre-judgment and 5 post-judgment interest; 6 C. That this Court declare this to be an exceptional case and award 7 Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with 35 8 U.S.C. §285; and 9 D. Any further relief that this Court deems just and proper. 10 11 Respectfully submitted, 12 WHITE FIELD, INC. 13 14 Dated: May 12, 2011 Steven W. Ritcheson, 15 Attorney for Plaintiff 16 Olympic Developments AG, LLC 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28