Case3:11-cv-02136-EMC Document63 Filed06/29/11 Page1 of 7

1	MARTIN L. FINEMAN, California State Bar No. 104413		
2	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 505 Montgomery St., Suite 800		
3	San Francisco, California 94111-6533 Telephone: (415) 276-6500		
	Facsimile: (415) 276-6599		
4	E-mail: martinfineman@dwt.com		
5	RAYMOND P. NIRO (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) DEAN D. NIRO (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
6	PATRICK F. SOLON (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
7	RICHARD B. MEGLEY, JR. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) JOSEPH A. CULIG (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
8	NIRO, HALLER & NIRO 181 W. Madison St., Suite 4600		
	Chicago, IL 60602-4515		
9	Telephone: (312) 236-0733 Facsimile: (312) 236-3137		
10	Email: rniro@nshn.com Email: dniro@nshn.com		
11	Email: solon@nshn.com		
12	Email: megleyjr@nshn.com Email: culig@nshn.com		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
14	ADC TECHNOLOGY, INC.		
15	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	ADC TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	Case No. 11cv-02136 - EMC	
17	Plaintiff,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
18	v.	DEMAND FOR JUNE 1 RIAL	
19	PALM, INC., and		
20	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,		
21	Defendants.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

1	Plaintiff ADC Technology
2	(hereinafter "Palm") and Hewlett-Pa
3	<u>N</u>
4	1. This is a claim for pat
5	States, Title 35 of the United States C
6	
7	2. ADC Technology Inc
8	Japan with a principal place of busin
9	technology and sells products used in
10	3. ADC is the owner of
11	for ADC, in the field of mobile comr
12	4. ADC owns all right
13	infringement of the following United
14	• No. 6,985,136 issued
15	• No. 7,057,605 issued
16	• No. 7,567,361 issued
17	5. Palm has infringed or
18	to sell, and/or importing mobile con
19	California and this judicial district.
20	6. Palm, Inc. ("Palm") i
21	Avenue, Sunnyvale, California 940
22	selling mobile communication device
23	

Plaintiff ADC Technology Inc., for its complaint against defendants Palm, Inc. reinafter "Palm") and Hewlett-Packard Company (hereinafter "HP"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE SUIT

1. This is a claim for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United tates, Title 35 of the United States Code.

PARTIES

- 2. ADC Technology Inc. ("ADC") is a corporation organized under the laws of Japan with a principal place of business in Nagoya, Japan. Among other things, ADC develops technology and sells products used in wireless communication.
- 3. ADC is the owner of a series of patents on inventions made by Toshiharu Enmei for ADC, in the field of mobile communication devices.
- 4. ADC owns all right, title, and interest in, and has standing to sue for, the infringement of the following United States Patents that are titled "Portable Communicator":
 - No. 6,985,136 issued January 10, 2006 ("the '136 Patent");
 - No. 7,057,605 issued June 6, 2006 ("the '605 Patent"); and
 - No. 7,567,361 issued July 28, 2009 ("the '361 Patent").
- 5. Palm has infringed one or more of the ADC patents by making, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing mobile communication devices in the United States, including sales in California and this judicial district.
- 6. Palm, Inc. ("Palm") is a Delaware corporation with an office at 950 West Maude Avenue, Sunnyvale, California 94085. Palm is in the business of designing, importing and selling mobile communication devices in the United States.
- 7. Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP") is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters located at 3000 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, California 94304.

24

25

- 8. In July, 2010, HP acquired Palm. Palm is now part of HP's Personal Systems Group business segment.
- 9. HP has infringed one or more of the ADC patents by making, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing mobile communication devices in the United States, including sales in California and this judicial district.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 10. This cause of action was originally filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on June 24, 2010.
 - 11. Case number 1:10-cv-3940 was assigned to Honorable Judge William J. Hibbler.
- 12. On September 1, 2010 Defendant Palm filed a Motion to Transfer the case to the Northern District of California.
- 13. On April 11, 2011, Judge Hibbler granted Palm's Motion to Transfer this action to the Northern District of California.
- 14. On May 3, 2011, this Court designated this case to have case number CV 11-02136 EMC.
- 15. This Court has exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).
- 16. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Palm because it conducts business in California, and because Palm has committed acts of patent infringement in California and this judicial district, such as the marketing and sale of mobile communication devices accused of infringement in this case to customers in California.
- 17. Palm has placed its infringing products in the stream of commerce with knowledge and intent that the products would be distributed and sold, directly or through others in a distribution chain, to customers in California and this judicial district.

- 18. This Court has jurisdiction over HP because it conducts business in California, and because HP has committed acts of patent infringement in California and this judicial district, such as the marketing and sale of mobile communication devices accused of infringement in this case to customers in California.
- 19. HP has placed its infringing products in the stream of commerce with knowledge and intent that the products would be distributed and sold, directly or through others in a distribution chain, to customers in California and this judicial district.
- 20. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1400(b) and 1391. Both Palm and HP reside in this district because they are subject to personal jurisdiction here. Both Palm and HP have committed acts of infringement in this district, and a substantial part of the infringing acts have occurred here.

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 21. Palm has infringed at least one claim of each of the '136, '605, and '361 patents, at least by making, using, selling, importing and offering to sell mobile communication devices, including but not limited to Palm-brand phones designated by the names Treo 800W, Treo 755P, Treo 750, Pixi, Pixi Plus, Pre, Pre Plus, and Veer.
- 22. Palm's infringement has injured ADC, and ADC is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for such infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty.
- 23. ADC's injury will continue unless and until this Court enters an injunction against further infringement by Palm.
- 24. HP has infringed at least one claim of the '136, '605, and '361 patents, at least by making, using, selling, importing and offering to sell mobile communications devices, including, but not limited to HP-brand phones designated by the name iPAQ Glisten. Also, since July, 2010 HP has infringed at least one claim of each of the '136, '605 and '361 patents at least by

making, using, selling, importing, and offering to sell mobile communication devices including, but not limited to the Pre, Pre 2, Pre 3, Pre Plus, Pixi, Pixi Plus and Veer brand phones under HPs Palm Global Business Unit.

- 25. HP's infringement has injured ADC, and ADC is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for such infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty.
- 26. ADC's injury will continue unless and until this Court enters an injunction against further infringement by HP.
- 27. With respect to Palm and Palm phones now sold under HPs Palm Global Business Unit, ADC has complied with any applicable provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 287.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff ADC Technology Inc. respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendants Palm, Inc., and Hewlett-Packard Company, and against their subsidiaries, successors, parents, affiliates, officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, granting the following relief:

- A. The entry of judgment in favor of ADC Technology Inc. on the claim of infringement for each of the '136, '605, and '361 patents;
- B. An award of damages adequate to compensate ADC Technology Inc. for the infringement that has occurred (together with prejudgment interest from the date the infringement began), but in no event less than a reasonable royalty as permitted by 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- C. A permanent injunction against further infringement of the '136, '605, and '361 patents;
- D. A finding that this case is exceptional and an award to ADC Technology Inc. of all relief provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

1	E. Such other and further relief that	ADC Technology Inc. is entitled to under law,
2	and any additional relief that this Court or a jury may deem just and proper.	
3	JURY DEMAND	
4	ADC Technology Inc. demands a trial by	jury on all issues presented in this complaint.
5		Respectfully Submitted,
6		/s/Richard B. Megley, Jr.
7		NIRO, HALLER & NIRO Raymond P. Niro (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
8		Dean D. Niro (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Patrick F. Solon (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Pickerd P. Magley, Jr. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
9		Richard B. Megley, Jr. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Joseph A. Culig (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Email: rniro@nshn.com
10		Email: dniro@nshn.com Email: solon@nshn.com
11		Email: megleyjr@nshn.com Email: culig@nshn.com
12		DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
13		Martin L. Fineman (California State Bar No. 104413)
14		Email: martinfineman@dwt.com
15		Attorneys for Plaintiff ADC TECHNOLOGY, INC.
16		*
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR IURY TRIAL.	- ADC TECHNOLOGY INC v 6

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 The undersigned hereby certifies that on June 29, 2011 the foregoing FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 3 was filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will then send a notification 4 of such filing to the following counsel of record. 5 Nathan L. Walker Nathan.walker@wilmerhale.com 6 Mark D. Flanagan Mark.flanagan@wilmerhale.com Anna T. Lee 7 Anna.lee@wilmerhale.com Christine E. Duh 8 Christine.duh@wilmerhale.com Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 9 950 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304 Tel: (650) 858-6000 10 Fax: (650) 858-6101 11 Peter W. Baik Peter.baik@wilmerhale.com Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 12 399 Park Avenue New York, NY 10022 13 Tel: (212) 230-8800 Fax: (212) 230-8888 14 Daniel J. O'Connor Daniel.oconnor@bakermckenzie.com 15 Edward K. Runyan Edward.runyan@bakermckenzie.com 16 Daniel A. Tallitsch Daniel.Tallitsch@bakermckenzie.com Baker & McKenzie LLP 17 130 East Randolph Drive Chicago, IL 60601 18 Tel: (312) 861-8000 Fax: (312) 698-2420 19 ATTORNEYS FOR PALM, INC. 20 I certify that all parties in this case are represented by counsel who are CM/ECF participants. 21 22 23 /s/ Richard B. Megley, Jr. ATTORNEYS FOR ADC TECHNOLOGY, INC. 24 25