



Inc.; Feit Electric Company, Inc.; Technical Consumer Products, Inc d/b/a TCP; PureSpectrum, Inc.; Elliott Electric Supply, Inc.; Brookshire Grocery Co.; Services Lighting and Electrical Supplies, Inc. d/b/a 1000bulbs.com; Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.; Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC; FLECO Industries, Inc. d/b/a Texas Fluorescents (collectively, “Defendants”) and allege as follows:

### **I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This action arises under patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
2. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), (c) and 1400(b).

### **II. PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff, Tele-Cons, Inc. is an Illinois corporation having a principal place of business at 8 Druce Street, Brookline, Massachusetts 02445.
4. Plaintiff, Michael Moisin is an individual residing at 8 Druce Street, Brookline, Massachusetts 02445.
5. Defendant General Electric Company (“GE”) is a New York corporation having a principal place of business in Fairfield, Connecticut. It can be served with process by serving its registered agent, CT Corp System, 350 N. St. Paul St., Suite 2900, Dallas, TX 75201. Defendant GE is doing business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere. Defendant GE has been served and has answered this action.
6. Defendant Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. is a foreign corporation with its principal place of business in the Netherlands. . Defendant Koninklijke Philips is doing business and committing acts of patent infringement in this Judicial District and elsewhere. It is the parent corporation of Defendant Philips Electronics North America. Defendant Koninklijke Philips has been served and has answered this action.

7. Defendant Philips Electronics North America, Corporation is a Delaware corporation having a principal place of business in Andover, Massachusetts. It can be served with process by serving its registered agent, Corporation Service Company, 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, TX 78701. Defendant Philips Electronics is doing business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere. Defendant Philips Electronics, which was erroneously named as Philips Lighting North America, has been served and has answered this action.

8. Defendant Neptun Light, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Lake Bluff, Illinois. It can be served with process by serving its registered agent, Andrew Bobel, President, Neptun Light, Inc. 960 North Shore Dr., Lake Bluff, IL 60044. Defendant Neptun is doing business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere. Defendant Neptun, which was served and filed an answer in this action, has been dismissed by agreement of the parties.

9. Defendant Global Consumer Products, Inc. is a New Jersey corporation with its principal place of business in Wayne, New Jersey. It may be served with process by serving its registered agent, Suchita Perti, at 42 Augusta Dr., Wayne, NJ 07470. Defendant Global Consumer Products is doing business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere. Defendant Global Consumer, which was served in this action, has been dismissed by agreement of the parties.

10. Defendant EarthTronics, Inc. is a Michigan corporation with its principal place of business in Muskegon, Michigan. It may be served with process by serving its registered agent, Mark D. Evans, 43252 Woodard Ave., Suite 190, Bloomfield, MI 48302. Defendant EarthTronics is doing business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere. Defendant EarthTronics, which was served in this action, has been dismissed by agreement of the parties.

11. Defendant Feit Electric Company, Inc. is a California corporation with its principal place of business in Pico Rivera, California. It may be served with process by serving its registered agent, Aaron Feit,

4901 Gregg Rd., Pico Rivera, CA 90660. Defendant Feit is doing business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere. Defendant Feit has been served and has answered this action.

12. Defendant Technical Consumer Products, Inc. d/b/a TCP is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Aurora, Ohio. It may be served with process by serving its registered agent, The Corporation Trust Company, 605 Aurora Dr., Houston, TX 77008. Defendant TCP is doing business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere. Defendant TCP has been served and has answered this action.

13. Defendant PureSpectrum, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Savannah, Georgia. It may be served with process by serving its registered agent, William R. Norton, at 340 Eisenhower Dr., Suite 610, Savannah, GA 31406. Defendant PureSpectrum is doing business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere. Defendant PureSpectrum has been served, but it has not answered this action. A notice of default has been entered against it.

14. Defendant Elliott Electrical Supply, Inc. is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business in Nacogdoches, Texas. It may be served with process by serving its registered agent, William M. Elliott, Sr. at 2526 North Stalling Dr., Nacogdoches, Texas 75964. Defendant Elliott is doing business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere. Defendant Elliott has been served and has filed an answer in this action.

15. Defendant Brookshire Grocery Co. is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business in Tyler, Texas. It may be served with process by serving its registered agent, Tim King, 1600 W. South West Loop 323, Tyler, TX 75701. Defendant Brookshire Grocery Co. is doing business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere. Defendant Brookshire has been served and has answered in this action.

16. Defendant Services Lighting and Electrical Supplies, Inc. d/b/a 1000bulbs.com (“Defendant 1000bulbs.com”) is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business in Garland, Texas. It may be served with process by serving its registered agent, Kim Pedersen, 2140 Merritt Dr., Garland, TX 75041. Defendant Services Lighting and Electrical Supplies, Inc. d/b/a 1000bulbs.com is doing business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere. Defendant Services Lighting has been served and has answered in this action.

17. Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Bentonville, Arkansas. It may be served with process by serving its registered agent, C T Corporation System, 350 N. St. Paul St., Ste. 2900, Dallas, TX 75201-4234. Defendant is doing business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere. Defendant Wal-Mart Stores has been served and has answered in this action.

18. Defendant Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Bentonville, Arkansas. It may be served with process by serving its registered agent, C T Corporation System, 350 N. St. Paul St., Ste. 2900, Dallas, TX 75201-4234. Defendant is doing business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere. Defendant Wal-Mart Stores Texas has been served and has answered in this action.

19. Defendant FLECO Industries, Inc. a/k/a Texas Fluorescents (“FLECO”) is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business in Carrollton, Texas. It may be served with process by serving its registered agent, Lawrence E. Sayah, at 2055 Luna Rd., # 142, Carrollton, TX 75201-4234. Defendant is doing business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere. Defendant Fleco has been served and has answered in this action.

### III. BACKGROUND

20. On September 21, 1999, United States patent number 5,955,841 (“the ’841 Patent”) was issued for an invention related to a ballast circuit for fluorescent lamps. A true and correct copy of the ’841 Patent is attached to this pleading as **Exhibit A**. Plaintiff Moisin was one of two original inventors on the ’841 Patent. Through assignments, Plaintiffs acquired all rights to the ’841 Patent and have standing to assert the present causes of action. Plaintiffs are in the business of designing, manufacturing, and selling lighting products, including dimmable compact fluorescent lamps (“CFLs”). Plaintiffs currently license and manufacture dimmable CFLs under the ’841 Patent. Plaintiffs ensure that substantially all dimmable CFLs manufactured or sold by Plaintiffs or under a license to the ’841 Patent are properly marked with the ’841 Patent number.

21. On November 11, 1997, United States patent number 5,686,799 (“the ’799 Patent”) was issued for an invention related to a ballast circuit for CFLs. A true and correct copy of the ’799 Patent is attached to this pleading as **Exhibit B**. Plaintiff Moisin was one of two original inventors on the ’799 Patent. Through assignments, Plaintiffs acquired all rights to the ’799 Patent and have standing to assert the present causes of action. Plaintiffs currently license and manufacture dimmable CFLs under the ’799 Patent. Plaintiffs ensure that substantially all dimmable CFLs manufactured or sold by Plaintiffs or under a license to the ’799 Patent are properly marked with the Patent number.

22. Plaintiffs discovered that Defendants were making, using, selling, offering for sale or importing dimmable CFLs. Plaintiffs set out to determine if Defendants’ dimmable CFLs practiced the patented inventions. Plaintiffs acquired samples of Defendants’ dimmable CFLs and evaluated those samples to determine whether or not they infringed the ’841 Patent and the ’799 Patent. Plaintiffs discovered that Defendants’ dimmable CFLs, including but not limited to those identified below, infringe one or more claims of the ’841 Patent and the ’799 Patent. Plaintiffs therefore filed the present First Amended Complaint to assert their rights under both patents.

#### IV. CLAIMS

23. Based on the above-described products, Plaintiffs assert several causes of action against the Defendants. As a preliminary matter, Plaintiffs confirm that they have complied with the statutory requirement of placing a notice of the '841 Patent and the '799 Patent on dimmable CFLs that Plaintiffs manufacture and sell, and have given Defendants written notice of their infringement. These causes of action are detailed as follows.

##### **A. Direct Patent Infringement**

24. The allegations of paragraphs 1-23 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

##### 1. Infringement of the '841 Patent

25. Each Defendant makes, uses, or sells dimmable CFLs that infringe one or more claims of the '841 Patent. By way of example only and without limitation, the following dimmable CFLs directly infringe at least claims 1, 7, 25 or 35 of the '841 Patent.

26. Defendant GE makes and sells dimmable CFLs that infringe the Patent. By way of example only and without limitation, Defendant GE's dimmable CFLs sold under the model number GE Energy Smart FLE15/2/DV/R30 directly infringe at least claims 1, 7, 25 or 35 of the '841 Patent.

27. The Philips Defendants make, use, and sells dimmable CFLs that infringe the '841 Patent. By way of example only and without limitation, the Philips Defendants' dimmable CFLs sold under the model name Philips Marathon Classic 65BR30 directly infringes at least claims 1, 7, 25 or 35 of the '841 Patent.

28. Defendant Neptun Light, Inc. makes and sells dimmable CFLs that infringe the '841 Patent. By way of example only and without limitation, Defendant Neptun's dimmable CFLs sold under the model numbers 33016-ADIM and 34016-ADIM directly infringe at least claims 1, 7, 25 or 35 of the '841 Patent.

29. Defendant Global Consumer Products, Inc. makes and sells dimmable CFLs that infringe the '841 Patent. By way of example only and without limitation, Defendant Global Consumer Product's dimmable CFLs sold as model Global OverDrive Dimmable 23W/ODSPDIM directly infringe at least claims 1, 7, 25 or 35 of the '841 Patent.

30. Defendant EarthTronics, Inc. makes and sells dimmable CFLs that infringe the '841 Patent. By way of example only and without limitation, Defendant EarthTronics' dimmable CFLs sold as model CF24SW1BDIM directly infringes at least claims 4 or 35 of the '841 Patent.

31. Defendant Feit makes and sells dimmable CFLs that infringe the '841 Patent. By way of example only and without limitation, Defendant Feit's dimmable CFLs directly infringe at least claims 7 or 35 of the '841 Patent.

32. Defendant TCP makes and sells dimmable CFLs that infringe the '841 Patent. By way of example only and without limitation, Defendant TCP's dimmable CFLs directly infringe at least claims 7 or 35 of the '841 Patent.

33. Defendant PureSpectrum makes and sells dimmable CFLs that infringe the '841 Patent. By way of example only and without limitation, Defendant PureSpectrum dimmable CFLs sold as model PS20SP-27-12-L directly infringes at least claims 1, 7, 25 or 35 of the '841 Patent.

34. Defendant Elliott is a retailer of electrical and industrial products. Among the products sold by Defendant Elliott are dimmable CFLs. Some of the dimmable CFLs sold by Defendant Elliott infringe the '841 Patent. By way of example only, Defendant Elliott sells dimmable CFLs that are made by Defendant GE such as the GE Energy Smart FLE15/2/DV/R30 described above that directly infringe at least claims 1, 7, 25 or 35 of the '841 Patent.

35. Defendant Brookshire Grocery Co. is a retailer of consumer products. Among the products sold by Defendant Brookshire are dimmable CFLs. The dimmable CFLs sold by Defendant Brookshire



infringe the '841 Patent. By way of example only, Defendant Brookshire sells dimmable CFLs that are made by Defendant GE such as GE Energy Smart FLE15/2/DV/R30 described above that directly infringe at least claims 1, 7, 25 or 35 of the '841 Patent.

36. Defendant 1000bulbs.com is a retailer of lighting products, including dimmable CFLs. Defendant 1000bulbs.com sells dimmable CFLs that infringe the '841 Patent. By way of example only and without limitation, Defendant 1000bulbs.com sells dimmable CFLs that are made by Defendants Global Consumer Products, Neptun, and Earthtronics such as those described above that directly infringe at least claims 1, 7, 25 or 35 of the '841 patent.

37. Defendants Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC (collectively "Defendants Wal-Mart") are retailers of consumer products. Among the products sold by Defendants Wal-Mart are dimmable CFLs. The dimmable CFLs sold by Defendants Wal-Mart infringe the '841 Patent. By way of example only, Defendants Wal-Mart sell dimmable CFLs that are made by Defendant GE such as GE Energy Smart FLE15/2/DV/R30 described above that directly infringe at least claims 1, 7, 25 or 35 of the '841 Patent.

38. Defendant FLECO is a retailer of consumer lighting products. Among the products sold by Defendant FLECO are dimmable CFLs. The dimmable CFLs sold by Defendant FLECO infringe the '841 Patent. By way of example only, Defendant FLECO sells dimmable CFLs that are made by Neptun, specifically including the Neptun 33016-ADIM dimmable CFL described above that directly infringes at least claims 1, 7, 25 or 35 of the '841 Patent.

## 2. Infringement of the '799 Patent

39. Each Defendant makes, uses, or sells dimmable CFLs that infringe one or more claims of the '799 Patent. By way of example only and without limitation, the following dimmable CFLs directly infringe at least claims 1 and 17 of the '799 Patent.

40. As described above, Defendant GE makes and sells dimmable CFLs. By way of example only and without limitation, those and other of Defendant GE's dimmable CFLs directly infringe at least claims 1 and 17 of the '799 Patent.

41. As described above, the Philips Defendants make, use, and sells dimmable CFLs that infringe the '799 Patent. By way of example only and without limitation, those and other of the Philips Defendants' dimmable CFLs directly infringe at least claims 1 and 17 of the '799 Patent.

42. Defendant Feit makes and sells dimmable CFLs that infringe the '799 Patent. By way of example only and without limitation, Defendant Feit's dimmable CFLs directly infringe at least claims 1 and 17 of the '799 Patent.

43. Defendant TCP makes and sells dimmable CFLs that infringe the '799 Patent. By way of example only and without limitation, Defendant TCP's dimmable CFLs directly infringe at least claims 1 and 17 of the '799 Patent.

44. Defendant Elliott is a retailer of electrical and industrial products. Among the products sold by Defendant Elliott are dimmable CFLs. Some of the dimmable CFLs sold by Defendant Elliott infringe the '799 Patent. By way of example only, Defendant Elliott sells dimmable CFLs that are made by Defendant GE such as the GE Energy Smart FLE15/2/DV/R30 described above that directly infringe at least claims 1 and 17 of the '799 Patent.

45. Defendant Brookshire Grocery Co. is a retailer of consumer products. Among the products sold by Defendant Brookshire are dimmable CFLs. The dimmable CFLs sold by Defendant Brookshire infringe the '799 Patent. By way of example only, Defendant Brookshire sells dimmable CFLs that are made by Defendant GE such as GE Energy Smart FLE15/2/DV/R30 described above that directly infringe at least claims 1 and 17 of the '799 Patent.

46. Defendant 1000bulbs.com is a retailer of lighting products, including dimmable CFLs. Defendant 1000bulbs.com sells dimmable CFLs that infringe the '799 Patent. By way of example only and without limitation, Defendant 1000bulbs.com sells dimmable CFLs that are made by Defendants Global Consumer Products, Neptun, and Earthtronics such as those described above that directly infringe at least claims 1 and 17 of the '799 Patent.

47. Defendants Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC (collectively "Defendants Wal-Mart") are retailers of consumer products. Among the products sold by Defendants Wal-Mart are dimmable CFLs. The dimmable CFLs sold by Defendants Wal-Mart infringe the '799 Patent. By way of example only, Defendants Wal-Mart sell dimmable CFLs that are made by Defendant GE such as GE Energy Smart FLE15/2/DV/R30 described above that directly infringe at least claims 1 and 17 of the '799 Patent.

48. These claims are made under the provisions of the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 271 et seq. Plaintiffs are the owners of the '841 and the '799 Patents. In violation of one or more provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 271, each of the Defendants has infringed, and continues to infringe, one or more of the claims of the '841 and the '799 Patents by making, using, selling and/or offering for sale dimmable CFLs and ballast products, in this Judicial District and elsewhere. The infringing products are exemplified by, but not limited to, those products identified above.

### **B. Contributory Patent Infringement**

49. The allegations of paragraphs 1-38 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

50. Further and in the alternative, Defendants by the conduct described above are actively offering for sale or selling dimmable CFLs knowing that those articles are especially made or adapted for a use that infringes at least claims 1, 7, 25 or 35 and other claims of the '841 Patent. Defendant's above-described conduct further infringes at least claims 1 and 17 of the '799 Patent. Such conduct is in violation of one or

more provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 271. Such infringing products are exemplified by, but not limited to, those products identified above. The infringing products are not staple articles of commerce and are not suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.

**C. Inducement of Patent Infringement**

51. The allegations of paragraphs 1-40 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

52. Further and in the alternative, Plaintiffs believe that Defendants by the conduct described above have induced infringement of the Patent. Such conduct is in violation of one or more provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 271. Defendants make, use, sell, or offer for sale dimmable CFLs in a manner that encourages infringement of the '841 and '799 Patents. By way of example only and without limitation, Defendants engage in such conduct by specifically advertising dimmable CFLs in a way that infringes at least claims 1, 4, 7, 25 or 35 of the '841 Patent and at least claims 1 and 17 of the '799 Patent as described above. Such infringing products are exemplified by, but not limited to, those products identified above.

**V. DAMAGES**

53. The above-described acts of infringement have damaged Plaintiffs. For such infringement, Plaintiffs seek recovery of the following damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

**A. Lost Profits**

54. The allegations of paragraphs 1-43 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

55. As discussed above, Plaintiffs are in the business of manufacturing and selling dimmable CFLs under the Patent. Plaintiffs contend that but for Defendants' infringement, Plaintiffs would have made additional profits. Plaintiffs accordingly seek recovery of those lost profits under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

**B. Reasonable Royalty**

56. The allegations of paragraphs 1-45 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

57. Further and in the alternative, Plaintiffs seek damages to adequately compensate for Defendants' infringement of the Patent. Such damages should be no less than the amount of a reasonable royalty under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

**C. Enhanced Damages, Attorneys' Fees, and Expenses**

58. The allegations of paragraphs 1-47 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

59. Based on information and belief, Plaintiffs contend that Defendants' above-described acts of infringement have been and are willful. Therefore under 35 U.S.C. § 284, Plaintiffs seeks a finding of willfulness and recovery of enhanced damages up to three times the amount of damages found by the trier of fact. Plaintiffs further seek recovery of their reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

**VI. INJUNCTION**

60. The allegations of paragraphs 1-61 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

61. Defendants' acts of infringement have caused and are causing irreparable injury to Plaintiffs, for which monetary damages are inadequate. Plaintiffs will continue to suffer damage unless Defendants are enjoined. Thus Plaintiffs request that Defendants be preliminarily and permanently enjoined and restrained from making, importing, using, selling, or offering for sale any dimmable CFL, including without limitation those identified above, that infringe the Patent or that contribute to infringement or induce infringement of the Patent.

**VII. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

62. Plaintiffs demand a jury trial on all issues triable of right by jury.

**PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that the Court:

Enter judgment that Defendants have infringed U.S. Patent No. 5,955,841;

Enter judgment that Defendants have infringed U.S. Patent No. 5,686,799;

Enter judgment that Defendants' acts of patent infringement have been and are willful;

Temporarily, preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants, their parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, officers, agents, servants, employees, directors, partners, representatives, and all parties in active concert and/or participation with them, from engaging in the aforesaid unlawful acts of infringement;

Order Defendants to account for and pay to Plaintiffs all damages caused to Plaintiffs by Defendants' unlawful acts;

Award Plaintiffs enhanced damages and attorney fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285;

Award Plaintiffs interest and costs incurred in this action; and

Grant Plaintiffs such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,



---

**DEREK GILLILAND**  
STATE BAR NO. 24007239  
**BRAD SEIDEL**  
STATE BAR NO. 24008008  
**JOHN HULL**  
STATE BAR NO. 24050791  
**NIX PATTERSON & ROACH, L.L.P.**  
205 Linda Drive  
Daingerfield, Texas 75638  
903.645.7333 (telephone)  
903.645.5389 (fax)

[dgilliland@nixlawfirm.com](mailto:dgilliland@nixlawfirm.com)  
[bradseidel@nixlawfirm.com](mailto:bradseidel@nixlawfirm.com)  
[johnhull@nixlawfirm.com](mailto:johnhull@nixlawfirm.com)

**D. NEIL SMITH**  
STATE BAR NO. 00797450  
**ANTHONY K. BRUSTER**  
STATE BAR NO. 24036280  
**EDWARD CHIN**  
STATE BAR NO. 50511688  
**ANDREW WRIGHT**  
STATE BAR NO. 24063927  
**NIX PATTERSON & ROACH, L.L.P.**  
5215 N. O'Connor, Suite 1900  
Irving, Texas 75039  
972.831.1188 (telephone)  
972.444.0716 (fax)  
[dneilsmith@mac.com](mailto:dneilsmith@mac.com)  
[akbruster@nixlawfirm.com](mailto:akbruster@nixlawfirm.com)  
[edchin@me.com](mailto:edchin@me.com)  
[awright@nixlawfirm.com](mailto:awright@nixlawfirm.com)

**RICHARD BENJAMIN KING**  
STATE BAR NO. 24048592  
**NIX PATTERSON & ROACH, L.L.P.**  
2900 St. Michael Drive, Suite 500  
Texarkana, Texas 75503  
903.223.3999 (telephone)  
903.223.8520 (facsimile)  
[benking@nixlawfirm.com](mailto:benking@nixlawfirm.com)

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS**  
**TELE-CONS, INC. ET AL.**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document has been served on all counsel of record, via CM/ECF, on this 15<sup>th</sup> day of April 2011.



---

**DEREK GILLILAND**