#### Case5:07-cv-06222-RMW Document163 Filed03/19/10 Page1 of 7 Juanita Brooks (CA#75934 / brooks@fr.com) FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 12390 El Camino Real San Diego, CA 92130 Telephone: (858) 678-5070 3 Facsimile: (858) 678-5099 4 Michael J. Kane (Admitted *pro hac vice* / kane@fr.com) 5 William R. Woodford (Admitted *pro hac vice /* woodford@fr.com) Michael J. Pape (Admitted *pro hac vice* / pape@fr.com) 6 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 60 South Sixth Street 7 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: (612) 335-5070 8 Facsimile: (612) 288-9696 9 Limin Zheng (CA #226875 / zheng@fr.com) FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 10 500 Arguello Street, Suite 500 Redwood City, CA 94063 11 Telephone: (650) 839-5070 Facsimile: (650) 839-5071 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff 13 HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 16 (SAN JOSE DIVISION) 17 Civil Case No. 07-6222 RMW HALO ELECTRONICS, INC., 18 Plaintiff, 19 FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR v. 20 PATENT INFRINGEMENT BEL FUSE INC., E & E MAGNETIC 21 PRODUCTS LIMITED, ELEC & ELTEK (USA) **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** CORPORATION, WURTH ELECTRONICS 22 MIDCOM, INC., WURTH ELEKTRONIK GMBH & CO. KG, and XFMRS, INC., 23 Defendants, 24 25 26 27 28

## 

Plaintiff, Halo Electronics, Inc. files this First Amended Complaint and demand for jury trial seeking relief for patent infringement by the Defendants. Halo Electronics, Inc. states and alleges the following:

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 *et seq*. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over each of the Defendants because each Defendant has substantial and continuous contacts within this judicial district and/or because each Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement within this judicial district, including placing infringing products into the stream of commerce under circumstances such that each Defendant reasonably should have anticipated being subject to suit in this judicial district. The Court also has personal jurisdiction over each of the Defendants because the acts of patent infringement are aimed at this judicial district and/or have effect in this judicial district.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(b).

## INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

4. Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 3-2(c), this matter is assignable on a district-wide basis.

#### THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Halo Electronics, Inc. ("Halo") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Nevada. Halo's headquarters is located at 3005 East Post Road Front, Las Vegas, Nevada. Halo maintains a place of business at 1861 Landings Drive, Mountain View, California.
- 6. Defendant Bel Fuse Inc. ("Bel Fuse") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 206 Van Vorst Street, Jersey City, New Jersey 07302.
- 7. Defendant E & E Magnetic Products Limited ("EEMPL") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's

- 8. Defendant Elec & Eltek (USA) Corporation ("E&E (USA)") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of California, with its principal place of business at 25255 Cabot Road, Suite 201, Laguna Hills, CA 92653, and/or 5201 Great America Pkwy, Suite 355, Santa Clara, CA 95054. Upon information and belief, E&E (USA) does business as EEMPL.
- 9. Defendant Wurth Electronics Midcom, Inc. ("Midcom") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of South Dakota, with its principal place of business located at 121 Airport Drive, Watertown, SD 57201.
- 10. Defendant Wurth Elektronik GmbH & Co. KG ("Wurth GmbH") is company organized and existing under the laws of Germany, with sales offices for the infringing products in the United States, including sales offices located at 121 Airport Drive, Watertown, SD 57201 and/or 1629 South Main Street Suite A, Milpitas, CA 95035.
- 11. Defendant XFMRS, Inc. ("XFMRS") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Indiana, with its principal place of business at 7570 E. Landersdale Road, Camby, Indiana 46113.

#### **COUNT I**

#### (PATENT INFRINGEMENT)

- 12. Halo restates and realleges the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 13. On August 12, 1997, United States Patent No. 5,656,985 ("the '985 patent") entitled "Electronic Surface Mount Package" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Halo owns the '985 patent by assignment. A true and correct copy of the '985 patent is attached as Exhibit A.
- 14. On October 2, 2001, United States Patent No. 6,297,720 ("the '720 patent") entitled "Electronic Surface Mount Package" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Trademark Office. Halo owns the '720 patent by assignment. A true and correct copy of the '720 patent is attached as Exhibit B.

- 15. On October 2, 2001, United States Patent No. 6,297,721 ("the '721 patent") entitled "Electronic Surface Mount Package" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Halo owns the '721 patent by assignment. A true and correct copy of the '721 patent is attached as Exhibit C.
- On February 5, 2002, United States Patent No. 6,344,785 ("the '785 patent") entitled 16. "Electronic Surface Mount Package" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Halo owns the '785 patent by assignment. A true and correct copy of the '785 patent is attached as Exhibit D.
- 17. On December 16, 2003, United States Patent No. 6,662,431 ("the '431 patent") entitled "Electronic Surface Mount Package" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Halo owns the '431 patent by assignment. A true and correct copy of the '431 patent is attached as Exhibit E.
- 18. Defendant Bel Fuse has been and is infringing, actively inducing others to infringe, and/or contributing to the infringement of the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents by making, using, selling, and/or offering to sell surface-mount transformers embodying the patented inventions and/or devices that have or contain an electronic surface-mount package embodying the patented inventions, including, but not limited to, part number S558-5500-12-F.
- 19. Bel Fuse was given notice of the '985, '720, '721, and '785 patents by Halo at least as early as July 2002.
- 20. Bel Fuse has been and is infringing the '985, '720, '721, '785 patents with knowledge of one or more of the patents, and thus Bel Fuse's infringement is willful.
- 21. Defendant XFMRS has been and is infringing, actively inducing others to infringe, and/or contributing to the infringement of the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents by making, using, selling, and/or offering to sell surface-mount transformers embodying the patented inventions and/or devices that have or contain an electronic surface-mount package embodying the patented inventions, including, but not limited to, part number XF0013B4.

- 22. XFMRS was given notice of the '985, '720, '721, and '785 patents by Halo at least as early as July 2002.
- 23. XMFRS has been and is infringing the '985, '720, '721, '785 patents with knowledge of one or more of the patents, and thus XMFRS's infringement is willful.
- 24. Defendant Midcom has been and is infringing, actively inducing others to infringe, and/or contributing to the infringement of the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents by making, using, selling, and/or offering to sell surface-mount transformers embodying the patented inventions and/or devices that have or contain an electronic surface-mount package embodying the patented inventions, including, but not limited to, part number EDFP-G48.
- 25. Midcom, Inc., predecessor to Defendant Wurth Electronics Midcom, Inc., was given notice of the '985, '720, '721, and '785 patents by Halo at least as early as July 2002.
- 26. Midcom has been and is infringing the '985, '720, '721, '785 patents with knowledge of one or more of the patents, and thus Midcom's infringement is willful.
- 27. Defendant Wurth GmbH has been and is infringing, actively inducing others to infringe, and/or contributing to the infringement of the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents by making, using, selling, and/or offering to sell surface-mount transformers embodying the patented inventions and/or devices that have or contain an electronic surface-mount package embodying the patented inventions, including, but not limited to, part numbers 749023015 and 749023016.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Wurth GmbH had knowledge of one or more of the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents before December 7, 2007, the date of the original Complaint.
- 29. Defendant EEMPL has been and is infringing, actively inducing others to infringe, and/or contributing to the infringement of the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents by making, using, selling, and/or offering to sell surface-mount transformers embodying the patented inventions and/or devices that have or contain an electronic surface-mount package embodying the patented inventions, including, but not limited to, part numbers 824-00119 and 824-00115.
- 30. Upon information and belief, EEMPL had knowledge of one or more of the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents before December 7, 2007, the date of the original Complaint.

- 31. Upon information and belief, EEMPL has been and is infringing the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents with knowledge of one or more of the patents, and thus EEMPL's infringement is willful.
- 32. Defendant E&E (USA) has been and is infringing, actively inducing others to infringe, and/or contributing to the infringement of the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents by making, using, selling, and/or offering to sell surface-mount transformers embodying the patented inventions and/or devices that have or contain an electronic surface-mount package embodying the patented inventions, including, but not limited to, part number 824-00119.
- 33. Upon information and belief, E&E (USA) had knowledge of one or more of the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents before December 7, 2007, the date of the original Complaint.
- 34. Upon information and belief, E&E (USA) has been and is infringing the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents with knowledge of one or more of the patents, and thus E&E (USA)'s infringement is willful.
- 35. Upon information and belief, Defendants will continue to infringe the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents unless and until they are enjoined by this Court.
- 36. The Defendants have caused and will continue to cause Halo irreparable injury and damage by infringing the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents. Halo will suffer further irreparable injury, for which it has no adequate remedy at law, unless and until the Defendants are enjoined from infringing the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Halo respectfully requests that this Court:

- (1) Enter judgment that Defendants have infringed the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents;
- (2) Enter an order permanently enjoining Defendants and their officers, agents, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them, from infringing the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents;

# 1 (3) Award Halo damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for Defendants' 2 infringement of the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents, together with prejudgment and post-3 judgment interest and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 284; 4 (4) Treble the damages awarded to Halo under 35 U.S.C. § 284 by reason of 5 Defendants' willful infringement of the '985, '720, '721, '785, and '431 patents; 6 (5) Declare this case to be "exceptional" under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award Halo its 7 attorney fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action; and 8 (6) Award Halo such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. 9 10 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Halo demands a jury trial on all issues so triable. 11 12 13 Dated: February 12, 2010 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 14 15 16 By: /s/ Limin Zheng Limin Zheng 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff Halo Electronics, Inc. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case5:07-cv-06222-RMW Document163 Filed03/19/10 Page7 of 7