IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

LANDMARK TECHNOLOGY, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

AÉROPOSTALE, INC.. et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 6:09-cv-00262 (LED)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Landmark Technology, LLC ("Landmark"), for its Complaint against Aéropostale, Inc., Autozone, Inc., Coach, Inc., Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc., Fossil, Inc., Select Comfort Corporation and The Wet Seal, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants"), alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is an action for patent infringement in violation of the Patent Act of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq*.

2. This Court has original and exclusive subject matter jurisdiction over the patent infringement claims for relief under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants have transacted and are transacting business in the Eastern District of Texas that includes, but is not limited to, the use of products and systems that practice the subject matter claimed in the patents involved in this action.

4. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b-c) and 1400(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District where Defendants have done business and committed infringing acts and continue to do business and to commit infringing acts.

PARTIES

 Plaintiff Landmark Technology, LLC ("Plaintiff") is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business at 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500, Las Vegas, Nevada 89169. Plaintiff operates offices at 719 W. Front Street, Suite 157, Tyler, Texas, 75702.

6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Aéropostale, Inc. ("Aéropostale"), is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 112 West 34th Street, 22nd floor, New York, NY 10120. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Aéropostale is in the business of designing, manufacturing, marketing, selling and/or distributing clothing, apparel and accessories, and derives a significant portion of its revenue from sales and distribution via Internet-based electronic commerce conducted on and using at least, but not limited to, the Internet websites located at http://www.aeropostale.com and http://www.ps4u.com (the "Aéropostale Websites"). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that, at all times relevant hereto, Aéropostale has done and continues to do business in this judicial district, including, but not limited to, by selling products to customers located in this judicial district by way of the Aéropostale Websites.

7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant AutoZone, Inc. ("AutoZone"), is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Nevada with its principal place of business at 123 South Front Street, Memphis, Tennessee 38103. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that AutoZone is in the business of marketing, selling and/or distributing automotive parts and accessories, and derives a significant portion of its revenue from sales and distribution via Internet-based electronic commerce conducted on and using the Internet website located at http://www.autozone.com (the "AutoZone Website"). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that, at all times relevant hereto, AutoZone has done and continues to do business in this judicial district, including, but not limited to, by selling products to customers located in this judicial district by way of the AutoZone Website.

Case 6:09-cv-00262-LED -JDL Document 125 Filed 04/13/10 Page 3 of 16

8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Coach, Inc. ("Coach"), is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Maryland, with its principal place of business at 516 West 34th Street, New York, New York 10001. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Coach is in the business of designing, manufacturing, marketing, selling and/or distributing leather goods and other personal accessories, and derives a significant portion of its revenue from sales and distribution via Internet-based electronic commerce conducted on and using the Internet website located at http://www.coach.com (the "Coach Website"). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that, at all times relevant hereto, Coach has done and continues to do business in this judicial district, including, but not limited to, by selling products to customers located in this judicial district by way of the Coach Website.

9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc., ("Dick's"), is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 300 Industry Drive, RIDC Park West, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15275. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Dick's is in the business of marketing, selling and/or distributing sporting goods, athletic apparel, and other products, and derives a significant portion of its revenue from sales and distribution via Internet-based electronic commerce conducted on and using at least, but not limited to, the Internet websites located at http://www.dickssportinggoods.com and http://www.golfgalaxy.com (the "Dick's Websites"). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that all times relevant hereto, Dick's has done and continues to do business in this judicial district, including, but not limited to, by selling products to customers located in this judicial district by way of the Dick's Websites.

10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Fossil, Inc., ("Fossil"), is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business at 2280 North Greenville Avenue, Richardson, Texas 75082. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Fossil is in the business of designing, manufacturing, marketing, selling and/or distributing watches, and other personal accessories,

Case 6:09-cv-00262-LED -JDL Document 125 Filed 04/13/10 Page 4 of 16

apparel, and related products, and derives a significant portion of its revenue from sales and distribution via Internet-based electronic commerce conducted on and using the Internet website located at http://www.fossil.com (the "Fossil Website"). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that, at all times relevant hereto, Fossil has done and continues to do business in this judicial district, including, but not limited to, by selling products to customers located in this judicial district by way of the Fossil Website.

11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Select Comfort Corporation, ("Select Comfort"), is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Minnesota, with its principal place of business at 9800 59th Avenue North, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 55442. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Select Comfort is in the business of designing, manufacturing, marketing, selling and/or distributing beds, bedding, linens and other furniture and related accessories, and derives a significant portion of its revenue from sales and distribution via Internet-based electronic commerce conducted on and using the Internet website located at http://www.selectcomfort.com (the "Select Comfort Website"). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that, at all times relevant hereto, Select Comfort has done and continues to do business in this judicial district, including, but not limited to, by selling products to customers located in this judicial district by way of the Select Comfort Website.

12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant The Wet Seal, Inc., ("Wet Seal"), is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 26972 Burbank, Foothill Ranch, California 92610. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Wet Seal is in the business of designing, manufacturing, marketing, selling and/or distributing clothing and accessories, and derives a significant portion of its revenue from sales and distribution via Internet-based electronic commerce conducted on and using the Internet website located at http://www.wetseal.com and http://www.ardenb.com (the "Wet Seal Websites"). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that all times relevant hereto, Wet Seal has done and continues to do business in

this judicial district, including, but not limited to, by selling products to customers located in this judicial district by way of the Wet Seal Website.

FACTS

13. On November 19, 1996, United States Patent No. 5,576,951 entitled "Automated Sales and Services System" was duly and legally issued to Lawrence B. Lockwood ("Lockwood") as inventor. A true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 5,576,951 is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by this reference. Following a reexamination of Patent No. 5,576,951, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued an Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, Number US 5,576,951 C1, on January 29, 2008, confirming the validity of all ten (10) original claims and allowing twenty-two (22) additional claims. A true and correct copy of Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, Number US 5,576,951 C1 is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and incorporated herein by this reference. (United States Patent No. 5,576,951, together with the additional claims allowed by Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, Number US 5,576,951 C1, shall hereinafter be referred to as the "951 Patent.") On September 1, 2008, Lockwood licensed all rights in the '951 Patent to Plaintiff. Plaintiff is the exclusive licensee of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '951 Patent, including all rights to enforce the '951 Patent and to recover for infringement. The '951 Patent is valid and in force.

14. On September 11, 2001, United States Patent No. 6,289,319 entitled "Automated Business and Financial Transaction Processing System" was duly and legally issued to Lawrence B. Lockwood as inventor. A true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,289,319 is attached hereto as Exhibit "C" and incorporated herein by this reference. Following a reexamination of Patent No. 6,289,319, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued an Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, Number US 6,289,319 C1, on July 17, 2007, confirming the validity of all six (6) original claims and allowing twenty-two (22) additional claims. A true and correct copy of Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, Number US 6,289,319 C1 is attached hereto as Exhibit "D" and incorporated herein by this reference. (United States Patent No. 6,289,319, together with the additional claims allowed by Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, Number US 6,289,319 C1, shall hereinafter be referred to as the "'319 Patent.") On September 1, 2008, Lockwood licensed all rights in the '319 Patent to Plaintiff. Plaintiff is the exclusive licensee of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '319 Patent, including all rights to enforce the '319 Patent and to recover for infringement. The '319 Patent is valid and in force.

15. On March 7, 2006, United States Patent No. 7,010,508 entitled "Automated Multimedia Data Processing Network" (the "'508 Patent") was duly and legally issued to Lawrence B. Lockwood as inventor. A true and correct copy of the '508 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit "E" and incorporated herein by this reference. On September 1, 2008, Lockwood licensed all rights in the '508 Patent to Plaintiff. Plaintiff is the exclusive licensee of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '508 Patent, including all rights to enforce the '508 Patent and to recover for infringement. The '508 Patent is valid and in force.

16. As more fully laid out below, Defendants have been and are now infringing the '951 Patent, the '319 Patent, and the '508 Patent, in this judicial district and elsewhere, by selling and distributing their products and services using electronic commerce systems, which, individually or in combination, incorporate and/or use subject matter claimed by the '951 Patent, the '319 Patent, and the '508 Patent.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Direct Infringement of the '951 Patent,

in Violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a))

Against All Defendants

17. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1-16.

18. The claims of the '951 Patent relate to "a computer search system for retrieving information" and "a computerized system for selecting and ordering a variety of information, goods and services," each comprising a variety of features.

19. The Aéropostale Websites, the AutoZone Website, the Coach Website, the Dick's Websites, the Fossil Website, the Select Comfort Website and the Wet Seal Websites (collectively, the "Websites") are each, individually, "computer search system[s] for retrieving information" and "computerized system[s] for selecting and ordering a variety of information, goods and services" practicing the claims of the '951 Patent.

Case 6:09-cv-00262-LED -JDL Document 125 Filed 04/13/10 Page 7 of 16

20. By way of example only, and not limited to it, each Defendants' Websites infringes Claim 10 of the '951 Patent in that, for example, the Defendant's Websites provide a system that practices all of the limitations of the claim and on which it's customers search for information about products and purchase products, including:

a. Each of the Websites is a computerized system for selecting and ordering a variety of information, goods and services.

b. Each of the Websites includes a plurality of computerized data processing installations (the web server and its supporting systems) programmed for processing orders for said information, goods and services.

c. Each of the Websites is operated through at least one computerized station (the customer's computer).

d. The web server of each of the Websites and that Defendant's customers' computers practice all of the remaining limitations of Claim 10 of the '951 Patent.

21. Defendants, therefore, by the acts complained of herein, are making, using, selling, or offering for sale in the United States, including in the Eastern District of Texas, products and/or services embodying the invention, and have in the past and are now continuing to infringe the '951 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

22. Defendants threaten to continue to engage in the acts complained of herein and, unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to do so, all to Plaintiff's irreparable injury. It would be difficult to ascertain the amount of compensation that would afford Plaintiff adequate relief for such future and continuing acts, and a multiplicity of judicial proceedings would be required. Plaintiff does not have an adequate remedy at law to compensate it for the injuries threatened.

23. By reason of the acts of Defendants alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered damage in an amount to be proved at trial.

24. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants' infringement is willful, wanton, and deliberate, without license and with full knowledge of the '951 Patent, thereby making this an exceptional case entitling Plaintiff to attorneys' fees and enhanced damages.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Inducing and/or Contributing to the Infringement of the '951 Patent,

in Violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b)-(c))

Against All Defendants

25. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1-16, 18-20.

26. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each Defendant has actively and knowingly induced and/or contributed to the infringement of the '951 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and/or 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), by inducing its customers (the endusers of its Website(s)) to utilize their own computers in combination with its Website(s), and incorporated and/or related systems, to search for and order information and products from its Website(s) in such a way as to infringe the '951 Patent and/or by selling or offering to sell within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or multiple component(s) of the invention, knowing the same to be especially made or adapted for use in the infringement of the patent.

27. By reason of the acts of Defendants alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered damage in an amount to be proved at trial.

28. Defendants threaten to continue to engage in the acts complained of herein and, unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to do so, all to Plaintiff's irreparable injury. Plaintiff does not have an adequate remedy at law.

29. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants' infringement is willful, wanton, and deliberate, without license and with full knowledge of the '951 Patent, thereby making this an exceptional case entitling Plaintiff to attorneys' fees and enhanced damages.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Direct Infringement of the '319 Patent,

in Violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a))

Against All Defendants

30. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1-16.

Case 6:09-cv-00262-LED -JDL Document 125 Filed 04/13/10 Page 9 of 16

31. The claims of the '319 Patent relate to "an automated data processing system for processing business and financial transactions between entities from remote sites" comprising a variety of features.

32. The Aéropostale Websites, the AutoZone Website, the Coach Website, the Dick's Websites, the Fossil Website, the Select Comfort Website and the Wet Seal Websites (collectively, the "Websites") are each, individually, "an automated data processing system for processing business and financial transactions between entities from remote sites" practicing the claims of the '319 Patent.

33. By way of example, only, and not limited to it, each of the Websites infringes Claim 1 of the '319 Patent in that, for example, the Defendant's Websites provide a system that practices all of the limitations of the claim and on which it's customers search for information about products and purchase products, including:

a. Each of the Websites is an automatic data processing system for processing business and financial transactions between entities from remote sites. That is, between the particular Defendant and its customers.

b. Each of the Websites includes a central processor (the web server and its supporting systems) programmed and connected to process a variety of inquiries and orders transmitted from said remote sites. Each of the websites sells a broad range of products, thus a range of orders are possible. Each of the websites provides search functions as well as account and order status subject to "a variety of inquiries."

c. Each of the Websites is operated through a terminal (the customer's computer) at each of said remote sites, which terminal includes a data processor and operates in response to operational sequencing lists of program instructions (the HTML code constituting the web pages of the Website).

d. The web server of each of the Websites and that Defendant's customers' computers practice all of the remaining limitations of Claim 1 of the '319 Patent.

34. Defendants, therefore, by the acts complained of herein, are making, using, selling, or offering for sale in the United States, including in the Eastern District of Texas, products and/or

services embodying the invention, and have in the past and are now continuing to infringe the '319 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

35. Defendants threaten to continue to engage in the acts complained of herein and, unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to do so, all to Plaintiff's irreparable injury. It would be difficult to ascertain the amount of compensation that would afford Plaintiff adequate relief for such future and continuing acts, and a multiplicity of judicial proceedings would be required. Plaintiff does not have an adequate remedy at law to compensate it for the injuries threatened.

36. By reason of the acts of Defendants alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered damage in an amount to be proved at trial.

37. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants' infringement is willful, wanton, and deliberate, without license and with full knowledge of the '319 Patent, thereby making this an exceptional case entitling Plaintiff to attorneys' fees and enhanced damages.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Inducing and/or Contributing to the Infringement of the '319 Patent,

in Violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b)-(c))

Against All Defendants

38. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1-16, 31-33.

39. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each Defendant has actively and knowingly induced and/or contributed to the infringement of the '319 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and/or 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), by, among other things, inducing its customers (the endusers of its Website(s)) to utilize their own computers in combination with its Website(s), and incorporated and/or related systems, to search for and order information and products from its Website(s) in such a way as to infringe the '319 Patent and/or by selling or offering to sell within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or multiple component(s) of the invention, knowing the same to be especially made or adapted for use in the infringement of the patent.

40. By reason of the acts of Defendants alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered damage in an amount to be proved at trial.

41. Defendants threaten to continue to engage in the acts complained of herein and, unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to do so, all to Plaintiff's irreparable injury. Plaintiff does not have an adequate remedy at law.

42. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants' infringement is willful, wanton, and deliberate, without license and with full knowledge of the '319 Patent, thereby making this an exceptional case entitling Plaintiff to attorneys' fees and enhanced damages.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Direct Infringement of the '508 Patent,

in Violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a))

Against All Defendants

43. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1-16.

44. The claims of the '508 Patent relate to "an automated multimedia system for data processing for delivering information on request to at least one user," comprising a variety of features.

45. The Aéropostale Websites, the AutoZone Website, the Coach Website, the Dick's Websites, the Fossil Website, the Select Comfort Website and the Wet Seal Websites (collectively, the "Websites") are each, individually, "an automated multimedia system for data processing for delivering information on request to at least one user," practicing the claims of the '508 Patent.

46. By way of example, only, and not limited to it, each of the Websites infringes Claim 8 of the '508 Patent in that, for example, the Defendant's Websites provide a system that practices all of the limitations of the claim and on which it's customers search for information about products, including:

a. Each of the Websites is an automated multimedia system for data processing for delivering information on request to at least one user. That is, it uses text and

graphics, among other means, to deliver product information and other information to the customers of the particular Defendant.

b. Each of the Websites includes at least one computerized station (the web server and its supporting systems).

c. The web server of each of the Websites practices all of the remaining limitations of Claim 8 of the '508 Patent.

47. Defendants, therefore, by the acts complained of herein, are making, using, selling, or offering for sale in the United States, including in the Eastern District of Texas, products and/or services embodying the invention, and have in the past and are now continuing to infringe the '508 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

48. Defendants threaten to continue to engage in the acts complained of herein and, unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to do so, all to Plaintiff's irreparable injury. It would be difficult to ascertain the amount of compensation that would afford Plaintiff adequate relief for such future and continuing acts, and a multiplicity of judicial proceedings would be required. Plaintiff does not have an adequate remedy at law to compensate it for the injuries threatened.

49. By reason of the acts of Defendants alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered damage in an amount to be proved at trial.

50. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants' infringement is willful, wanton, and deliberate, without license and with full knowledge of the '508 Patent, thereby making this an exceptional case entitling Plaintiff to attorneys' fees and enhanced damages.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Inducing and/or Contributing to the Infringement of the '508 Patent,

in Violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b)-(c))

Against All Defendants

51. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1-16, 44-46.

52. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each Defendant has actively and knowingly induced and/or contributed to the infringement of the '508 Patent, in

violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and/or 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), by, among other things, inducing its customers (the endusers of its Website(s)) to utilize their own computers in combination with its Website(s), and incorporated and/or related systems, to search for and order information and products from its Website(s) in such a way as to infringe the '508 Patent and/or by selling or offering to sell within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or multiple component(s) of the invention, knowing the same to be especially made or adapted for use in the infringement of the patent.

53. By reason of the acts of Defendants alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered damage in an amount to be proved at trial.

54. Defendants threaten to continue to engage in the acts complained of herein and, unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to do so, all to Plaintiff's irreparable damage. Plaintiff does not have an adequate remedy at law.

55. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants' infringement is willful, wanton, and deliberate, without license and with full knowledge of the '508 Patent, thereby making this an exceptional case entitling Plaintiff to attorneys' fees and enhanced damages.

JURY DEMAND

56. Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

A. For an order finding that the '951 Patent is valid and enforceable;

B. For an order finding that the '319 Patent is valid and enforceable;

C. For an order finding that the '508 Patent is valid and enforceable;

D. For an order finding that, by the acts complained of herein, Defendants have directly infringed, induced others to infringe, and/or have contributed to the infringement of the '951 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271;

Case 6:09-cv-00262-LED -JDL Document 125 Filed 04/13/10 Page 14 of 16

E. For an order finding that, by the acts complained of herein, Defendants have directly infringed, induced others to infringe, and/or have contributed to the infringement of the '319 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271;

F. For an order finding that, by the acts complained of herein, Defendants have directly infringed, induced others to infringe, and/or have contributed to the infringement of the '508 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271;

G. For an order temporarily, preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants, their officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, subsidiaries, divisions, branches, parents, attorneys, representatives, and all others acting in concert or privity with any of them, from infringing the '951 Patent, from contributing to the infringement of the '951 Patent and from inducing others to infringe the '951 Patent;

H. For an order temporarily, preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants, their officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, subsidiaries, divisions, branches, parents, attorneys, representatives, and all others acting in concert or privity with any of them, from infringing the '319 Patent, from contributing to the infringement of the '319 Patent and from inducing others to infringe the '319 Patent;

I. For an order temporarily, preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants, their officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, subsidiaries, divisions, branches, parents, attorneys, representatives, and all others acting in concert or privity with any of them, from infringing the '508 Patent, from contributing to the infringement of the '508 Patent and from inducing others to infringe the '508 Patent;

J. For an order directing Defendants to deliver to Plaintiff for destruction or other disposition all infringing products and systems in their possession;

K. For an order directing Defendants to file with the Court, and serve upon Plaintiff's counsel, within thirty (30) days after entry of the order of injunction, a report setting forth the manner and form in which Defendants have complied with the injunction;

L. For an order awarding Plaintiff general and/or specific damages, including a reasonable royalty and/or lost profits, in amounts to be fixed by the Court in accordance with proof,

including enhanced and/or exemplary damages, as appropriate, as well as all of Defendants' profits or gains of any kind from their acts of patent infringement, and further for an order that such acts by Defendants were willful and wanton;

M. For an order awarding Plaintiff all of its costs, including its attorneys' fees, incurred in prosecuting this action, including, without limitation, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 and other applicable law;

N. For an order awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and

O. For an order awarding Plaintiff such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: April 13, 2010

Respectfully Submitted,

OF COUNSEL:

Jeffer, Mangels, Butler and Marmaro, LLP

Stanley M. Gibson (Cal. Bar No. 162329) smg@jmbm.com

Joshua S. Hodas, Ph.D. (Cal. Bar No. 250812) *jsh@jmbm.com*

1900 Avenue of the Stars, Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 203-8080 Facsimile: (310) 203-0567 By:/s/Charles Ainsworth Charles Ainsworth State Bar No. 00783521 Robert Christopher Bunt State Bar No. 00787165 PARKER, BUNT & AINSWORTH, P.C. 100 E. Ferguson, Suite 1114 Tyler, TX 75702 903/531-3535 903/533-9687 E-mail: charley@pbatyler.com E-mail: rcbunt@pbatyler.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Landmark Technology, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that all counsel of record, who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served this 13^{th} day of April, 2010, with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3).

/s/ Charles Ainsworth

CHARLES AINSWORTH