I

THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Oakley is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington, having its principal place of business at One Icon, Foothill Ranch, California 92610 and doing business within this judicial district.
- 4. Oakley is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges that Defendant T H Sunglass Corporation is an unknown corporate entity doing business at 1775 Curtiss Court, La Verne, California 91750, and also doing business within this judicial district and on the internet at www.thsunglass.com.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 5. As early as 1985, Oakley has been and continues to be actively engaged in the manufacture and sale of high quality sunglasses and goggles. Oakley is the manufacturer and retailer of several lines of sunglasses and goggles, including its "A Frame"® goggle line, and its "Half Jacket"®, "Flak Jacket"®, "Radar"®, and "e Wire"® lines of sunglasses. These sunglasses and goggles have enjoyed substantial success.
- 6. Oakley is the owner by assignment of U.S. Design Patent No. D405,102, duly and lawfully issued on February 2, 1999, describing and claiming the invention entitled "Goggle," which is embodied by the *A Frame* goggle, made and sold by Oakley. A true and correct copy of U.S. Design Patent No. D405,102 is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 7. Oakley is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges that the Defendant THS is selling goggles that copy the ornamental design and configuration claimed in Oakley's U.S. Patent No. D405,102. The infringing goggle is marked as Model No. 55560PBLKC/M, or similar numbers. This *A Frame* copy goggle sold by Defendant THS embodies the subject matter claimed in Oakley's design patent referred to above without any license thereunder and is thereby infringing U.S. Patent No. D405,102. Oakley is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant THS makes, imports, uses, offers to sell

and/or sells this goggle directly and to third parties for resale, including at retail and on the internet.

- 8. Oakley is the owner by assignment of U.S. Patent No. 5,387,949 duly and lawfully issued on February 7, 1995, disclosing and claiming technology for an eyeglass connection device entitled "Eyeglass Connection Device," which is embodied by its *Half Jacket* and *Flak Jacket* sunglasses. A true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 5,387,949 is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 9. Oakley is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges that the Defendant THS is selling sunglasses that incorporate the technology for an eyeglass connection device claimed in Oakley's U.S. Patent No. 5,387,949. The infringing sunglasses marked as Model Nos. X54909, X540117, X540064, 5511, 540199 and 540156M. These sunglasses, sold by Defendant THS, embody the subject matter claimed in Oakley's utility patent referred to above without any license thereunder and thereby infringe U.S. Patent No. 5,387,949. Oakley is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant THS makes, imports, uses, offers to sell and/or sells these sunglasses directly and to third parties for resale, including at retail and on the internet.
- 10. Oakley is the owner by assignment of U.S. Patent No. 7,347,545, duly and lawfully issued on March 25, 2008, describing and claiming the technology for a sport specific shield invention entitled "Sports-Specific Shield," which is embodied in Oakley's *Radar* sunglass, made and sold by Oakley. A true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,347,545 is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
- 11. Oakley is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges that the Defendant THS is selling sunglasses that incorporate the technology claimed in Oakley's U.S. Patent No. 7,347,545. The infringing sunglasses are marked as Model Nos. 55554 and 55476. These sunglasses, sold by Defendant THS, embody the subject matter claimed in Oakley's utility patent referred to above without any license thereunder and is thereby infringing U.S. Patent No. 7,347,545. Oakley is

11

9

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

28

27

informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant THS makes, imports, uses, offers to sell and/or sells these sunglasses directly and to third parties for resale, including at retail and on the internet.

- 12. Oakley is the owner by assignment of U.S. Design Patent No. D376,381, duly and lawfully issued on December 10, 1996, describing and claiming the invention entitled "Pair of Spectacles Without Earstems," which is embodied by the e Wire sunglass, made and sold by Oakley. A true and correct copy of U.S. Design Patent No. D376,381 is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.
- 13. Oakley is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges that the Defendant THS is selling sunglasses that copy the ornamental design and configuration claimed in Oakley's U.S. Patent No. D376,381. The infringing sunglass is marked as Model No. 2240S. This e Wire copy sunglass sold by Defendant THS embodies the subject matter claimed in Oakley's design patent referred to above without any license thereunder and is thereby infringing U.S. Patent No. D376,381. Oakley is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant THS makes, imports, uses, offers to sell and/or sells this sunglass directly and to third parties for resale, including at retail and on the internet.
- 14. Defendant has received written notice of Oakley's proprietary rights in its patents by way of letters caused to be delivered on Oakley's behalf of the Further, Defendant has received constructive notice of Oakley's Defendant. patents, as Oakley caused its patents to be placed plainly on the product and/or packaging. Despite actual and constructive knowledge, Defendant continues to infringe Oakley's patent rights. On information and belief, such infringement by Defendant must have been willful and wanton.
- 15. Oakley is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the sale of the unauthorized, infringing goggles and sunglasses has resulted in lost sales, reduced the business and profit of Oakley, and greatly injured the general

Second Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement

.

reputation of Oakley due to the inferior quality of the copies, all to Oakley's damage in an amount not yet fully determined.

16. The exact amount of profits realized by Defendant as a result of its infringing activities is presently unknown to Oakley, as are the exact amount of damages suffered by Oakley as a result of said activities. These profits and damages cannot be accurately ascertained without an accounting.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Patent Infringement

- 17. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 16 are repled and realleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 18. This is a claim for patent infringement, and arises under 35 U.S.C. Sections 271 and 281.
 - 19. Jurisdiction is founded upon 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 20. Oakley is the owner of U.S. Design Patent No. D405,102, which protects the ornamental design of an goggle embodied by Oakley's *A Frame* goggle. A true and correct copy of U.S. Design Patent No. D405,102 is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By statute, the patent is presumed to be valid and enforceable under 35 U.S.C. § 282.
- 21. Defendant, through its agents, employees and servants, makes, uses, imports, offers to sell and/or sells, without any rights or license, goggles that fall within the scope and claim contained in U.S. Design Patent No. D405,102.
- 22. Oakley is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant willfully infringed upon Oakley's exclusive rights under this patent, with full notice and knowledge thereof.
- 23. Oakley is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant has derived, received and will continue to derive and receive from their respective acts of infringement, gains, profits and advantages in an amount not presently

Second Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement

known to Oakley. By reason of these acts of infringement, Oakley has been, and will continue to be, greatly damaged.

24. Defendant may continue to infringe U.S. Design Patent No. D405,102 to the great and irreparable injury of Oakley, for which Oakley has no adequate remedy at law unless the Defendant is enjoined by this court.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF Patent Infringement

- 25. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 16 are repled and realleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 26. This is a claim for patent infringement, and arises under 35 U.S.C. Sections 271 and 281.
 - 27. Jurisdiction is founded upon 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 28. Oakley is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 5,387,949, which protects the technology for an eyeglass connection device embodied by Oakley's *Half Jacket* and *Flak Jacket* sunglasses. A true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 5,387,949 is attached hereto as Exhibit 2. By statute, the patent is presumed to be valid and enforceable under 35 U.S.C. § 282.
- 29. Defendant, through its agents, employees and servants, makes, uses, imports, offers to sell and/or sell, without any rights or license, sunglasses that fall within the scope and claim contained in U.S. Patent No. 5,387,949.
- 30. Oakley is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant willfully infringed upon Oakley's exclusive rights under this patent, with full notice and knowledge thereof.
- 31. Oakley is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant has derived, received and will continue to derive and receive from their respective acts of infringement, gains, profits and advantages in an amount not presently known to Oakley. By reason of these acts of infringement, Oakley has been, and will continue to be, greatly damaged.

32. Defendant may continue to infringe U.S. Patent No. 5,387,949 to the great and irreparable injury of Oakley, for which Oakley has no adequate remedy at law unless the Defendant is enjoined by this court.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF Patent Infringement

- 33. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 16 are repled and realleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 34. This is a claim for patent infringement, and arises under 35 U.S.C. Sections 271 and 281.
 - 35. Jurisdiction is founded upon 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 36. Oakley is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 7,347,545, which protects the technology for a sport specific shield embodied by Oakley's *Radar* sunglass. A true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,347,545 is attached hereto as Exhibit 3. By statute, the patent is presumed to be valid and enforceable under 35 U.S.C. § 282.
- 37. Defendant, through its agents, employees and servants, makes, uses, imports, offers to sell and/or sells, without any rights or license, sunglasses that fall within the scope and claim contained in U.S. Patent No. 7,347,545.
- 38. Oakley is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant willfully infringed upon Oakley's exclusive rights under this patent, with full notice and knowledge thereof.
- 39. Oakley is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant has derived, received and will continue to derive and receive from their respective acts of infringement, gains, profits and advantages in an amount not presently known to Oakley. By reason of these acts of infringement, Oakley has been, and will continue to be, greatly damaged.

 40. Defendant may continue to infringe U.S. Patent No. 7,347,545 to the great and irreparable injury of Oakley, for which Oakley has no adequate remedy at law unless the Defendant is enjoined by this court.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Patent Infringement

- 41. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 16 are repled and realleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 42. This is a claim for patent infringement, and arises under 35 U.S.C. Sections 271 and 281.
 - 43. Jurisdiction is founded upon 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 44. Oakley is the owner of U.S. Design Patent No. D376,381, which protects the ornamental design of an sunglass embodied by Oakley's *e Wire* sunglass. A true and correct copy of U.S. Design Patent No. D376,381 is attached hereto as Exhibit 4. By statute, the patent is presumed to be valid and enforceable under 35 U.S.C. § 282.
- 45. Defendant, through its agents, employees and servants, makes, uses, imports, offers to sell and/or sells, without any rights or license, sunglasses that fall within the scope and claim contained in U.S. Design Patent No. D376,381.
- 46. Oakley is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant willfully infringed upon Oakley's exclusive rights under this patent, with full notice and knowledge thereof.
- 47. Oakley is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant has derived, received and will continue to derive and receive from their respective acts of infringement, gains, profits and advantages in an amount not presently known to Oakley. By reason of these acts of infringement, Oakley has been, and will continue to be, greatly damaged.

-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	
		•							

48. Defendant may continue to infringe U.S. Design Patent No. D376,381 to the great and irreparable injury of Oakley, for which Oakley has no adequate remedy at law unless the Defendant is enjoined by this court.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Oakley, Inc. prays as follows:

- 1. That Defendant be adjudicated to have infringed Oakley's U.S. Patent No. D405,102, and that the patent is valid, enforceable, and owned by Oakley;
- 2. That Defendant be adjudicated to have infringed Oakley's U.S. Patent No. 5,387,949, and that the patent is valid, enforceable, and owned by Oakley;
- 3. That Defendant be adjudicated to have infringed Oakley's U.S. Patent No.7,347,545, and that the patent is valid, enforceable, and owned by Oakley;
- 4. That Defendant be adjudicated to have infringed Oakley's U.S. Patent No.D376,381, and that the patent is valid, enforceable, and owned by Oakley;
- 5. That Defendant, its agents, servants, employees, and attorneys and all persons in active concert and participation with them, be forthwith preliminarily and thereafter permanently enjoined from making, using offering to sell, or selling any sunglass and/or goggle that infringes United States Patent Nos. D405,102, 5,387,949, 7,347,545 and D376,381;
- 6. For an assessment and award of damages against Defendant, based on its acts of infringement, in an amount no less than lost profits, reasonable royalty, or Defendant's profits derived from their infringement of Plaintiff's patent rights, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 289 at Oakley's election;
- 7. For an order requiring Defendant to deliver up and destroy all infringing sunglasses and goggles;
- 8. That an award of reasonable costs, expenses, and attorney's fees be awarded against Defendant pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- 9. That Defendant be directed to file with this court and serve upon Oakley within 30 days after the service of the injunction, a report in writing under

ase 3:09-cv-01120-L-BLM Document 16 Filed 08/27/09 Page 10 of 10